

See discussions, stats, and author profiles for this publication at: <https://www.researchgate.net/publication/279899575>

Solid State Fermentation for the Production of Industrial Enzymes

Article in *Current Science* · July 1999

CITATIONS

994

READS

16,729

4 authors, including:



Ashok Pandey

Indian Institute of Toxicology Research

1,082 PUBLICATIONS 70,340 CITATIONS

[SEE PROFILE](#)



Carlos Soccol

Federal University of Paraná

873 PUBLICATIONS 36,606 CITATIONS

[SEE PROFILE](#)



Poonam Nigam

University of Ulster

287 PUBLICATIONS 33,395 CITATIONS

[SEE PROFILE](#)

01 **Chapter 10**
02 **Solid-State Fermentation Technology**
03 **for Bioconversion of Biomass**
04 **and Agricultural Residues**
05
06

07
08 **Poonam Singh nee' Nigam and Ashok Pandey**
09
10
11

12 **Contents**
13

14
15 10.1 Agro-Residue Bioconversion in SSF 198
16 10.1.1 Nature of Substrates 200
17 10.2 A Bio-Technology Solid State Fermentation 201
18 10.3 Advantages of SSF Over Conventional Liquid Fermentation 202
19 10.4 Performance Control of SSF Process 204
20 10.4.1 Performance Control by Particle Size of Agro Residues 205
21 10.4.2 Performance Control by Medium Preparation of Agro-Residues 206
22 10.4.3 Performance Control by Moisture Content Of Agro Residues 207
23 10.5 Microorganisms Used For Agro-Residues Bioconversion 209
24 10.6 Designing And Types of SSF 211
25 10.6.1 Fermenter Design for SSF 211
26 10.6.2 Types of SSF Systems 211
27 10.6.3 SSF Bioreactors 212
28 10.7 Scale-Up Stages of SSF 213
29 10.7.1 Flask Level 213
30 10.7.2 Laboratory Fermenter Level 213
31 10.7.3 Pilot Fermenter Level 213
32 10.7.4 Production Fermenter Level 214
33 10.8 Factors Affecting SSF 214
34 10.8.1 Significance of Aeration and Mixing in SSF 214
35 10.8.2 Significance of Control of Temperature and pH in SSF 215
36 10.9 Processes and Products of SSF 216
37 References 216

38 **Abstract** Solid-state fermentations (SSF) have attracted a renewed interest and
39 attention from researchers due to recent developments in the field of microbial-
40 biotechnology. Hence, for the practical, economical and environmentally-friendly

41
42 P. Singh nee' Nigam (✉)
43 Faculty of Life and Health Sciences* University of Ulster, Coleraine BT52 1SA,
44 Northern Ireland, UK
45 e-mail: P.Singh@ulster.ac.uk

bioconversion of agro-industrial wastes, solid state or substrate fermentation has been researched globally and proved to be the ideal technology for this purpose. In this chapter some important aspects of solid-state cultivation system have been discussed, including the variety of substrates and microorganisms used in SSF for the production of various end products; and the performance control of system by regulation of important factors.

Keywords Solid substrates · Agricultural residues · Solid state fermentation · Water activity · Moisture · Bioreactor

10.1 Agro-Residue Bioconversion in SSF

Commonly used substrates in SSF are natural agricultural products, as well as agro-industrial waste residues and by-products serve as a source of carbon in SSF (Table 10.1). Lignocellulosic materials of agriculture origin compose more than 60% of plant biomass produced annually through the process of photosynthesis. This vast resource is the potential and renewable source of biofuels, biofertilizers, animal feed and chemical feedstocks. Lignocellulose may be a substrate for the production of value-added products (Table 10.2), such as biofuels, biochemicals, biopesticides, biopromoters, or may even be a product itself after biotransformation (e.g. compost, biopulp).

In all applications the primary requirement is the hydrolysis of lignocellulose into fermentable sugars by lignocellulolytic enzymes, or appropriate modification of

Table 10.1 Diverse range of agro-residues utilization in SSF technology

Substrates for SSF	Microorganisms used in SSF	Reference
Starchy raw materials	<i>Aspergillus spp</i>	Czajkowska and Ilnicka 1988
Banana waste	<i>A. niger</i>	Baldensperger et al. 1985
Barley Husk	<i>Bjkendra adusta</i>	Robinson and Nigam 2008
Corn cob	<i>A. niger</i>	Singh et al. 1989
Citrus peel	<i>A. niger</i>	Rodriquez et al. 1985
Sugarcane by-products	<i>A. terreus</i>	Blanko et al. 1990
Cassava	<i>Rhizopus oryzae</i>	Daubresse et al. 1987
Sugarbeet pulp	<i>Trichoderma viride</i>	Durand 1998
Cassava	<i>T. resei & yeast</i>	Opoku and Adoga 1980
Wheat straw	<i>T. resei & Endomycopsis fibuleger</i>	Laukevics et al. 1984
Wheat straw	<i>T. resei, Chaetominum</i>	Abdullah et al. 1985
Sugarbeet pulp	<i>T. resei and Fusarium oxysporum</i>	Nigam and Vogel 1988, 1990
Sugarcane bagasse	<i>Polyporus spp</i>	Nigam 1990
Saccharum munja-	<i>Pleurotus spp.</i>	Gujral et al. 1987
Residues Wheat straw	<i>Coprinus spp.</i>	Yadav 1989, 1988
Cassava	<i>Sporotrichum pulverulentum</i>	Smith et al. 1986
Straw	<i>Candida utilis</i>	Han 1987
Sweet potato	<i>Pichia bartonii</i>	Yang 1988
Fodder beets	<i>Saccharomyces cerevisiae</i>	Gibbon et al. 1984

AQ1

AQ2

Table 10.2 Agro-industrial residues used for added-value products

Substrate	Microorganisms	Product	Reference
Oat cereal	<i>Rhizopus oryzae</i>	Lactic acid; various value added products	Koutinas et al. (2007)
Agro-residues	<i>Aspergillus niger</i>	Citric acid	Prado et al. (2004)
Distillers grain	<i>Aspergillus niger</i>	Citric acid	Xie and West (2006)
Wheat bran	<i>Mucor meihei</i>	Rennet	Thakur et al. 1990
Wheat bran	<i>Rhizopus oligosporus</i> , <i>Mucor meihei</i>	Rennet	Karant (1988)
Agar	<i>Trichoderma viride</i>	Cheese aroma	Gervais (1988)
Agro-residues	<i>Fungal cultures</i>	Cheese flavour	Revah and Lebeault (1988)
Polished rice	<i>Neurospora spp</i>	Aroma	Yamauchi et al. (1989)
Barley	<i>Streptomyces</i> <i>Cephalosporium aermonium</i>	Cephalosporin	Jermeni and Demain (1989)
Sweet potato	<i>Aspergillus</i>	Tetracyclines	Yang and Ling (1984)
Rice grains	<i>Streptomyces</i>	Cephalosporin	Wang et al. (1984)
Bagasse	<i>Penicillium chrysogenum</i>	Penicillin	Barrios-G et al. (1990)
Cassava	<i>Aspergillus niger</i>	Aflatoxins	Barrios-G et al. (1990)
Corn	<i>A. flavus</i>	Mycotoxin	Hesseltine (1972)
Soya	Various moulds	Mycotoxin	Bhumiratna et al. 1980
Oat straw	<i>Polyporus spp</i>	Lignin degradation	Bone and Munoz (1984)
Birch lignin	<i>Phanerochaete chrysosporum</i>	Lignin conversion	Mudagett and Paradis (1985)
Maple Wood	<i>Polyporus anceps</i>	Lignin conversion	Matteau and Bone (1980)
Bagasse	<i>2 Polyporous spp</i>	Lignin conversion	Nigam (1990)
Aspen Wood	<i>Phubia tremelloasa</i>	Delignification	Reid (1989)
Wheat bran	<i>Fusarium moniliforme</i> , <i>Gibberella fujikuroi</i>	Gibberellic acid	Kumar and Lonsane (1987a, b, c)
Wheat bran	<i>Fusarium moniliforme</i> , <i>Gibberella fujikuroi</i>	Gibberellic acid	Prema et al. (1988)
Wheat straw	<i>Poms tignium</i>	Hydrogenperoxide	Maltseva et al. (1989)
Soya bean Cassava	<i>R. oligosporus</i>	Tempeh and Koji	Hesseltine (1972)
Koji-type SSF	<i>Filamentous fungi</i>	Fungal spores	Vezina and Singh (1975)
Soya bean	<i>Filamentous fungi</i>	Fungal spores	Lotong and Suwarnit (1983)

the structure of lignocellulose. Economical and effective lignocellulolytic enzyme complexes, containing cellulases, hemicellulases, pectinases and ligninases may be prepared by SSF (Table 10.3). Lignocellulose is also the raw material of the paper industry. To fully utilize the potential of lignocellulose, it has to be converted by chemical and/or biological processes. Solid substrate fermentation (SSF) plays an important role, and has a great perspective for the bioconversion of plant biomass. Lignocellulose may be a good feedstock for the production of biofuels, enzymes and other biochemical products by SSF. Crop residues (straw, corn by-products, bagasse, etc.) are particularly suitable for this purpose, since they are available in large quantities in processing facilities (Pandey et al. 2001).

Lignocellulose in wood may be transformed into good quality paper products with the help of SSF biopulping and biobleaching. Agricultural residues may be converted into animal feed enriched with microbial biomass, enzymes, biopromot-

Table 10.3 Agro-residues used in SSF for enzyme production

Substrates	Microorganisms	Enzymes	Reference
Bagasse, sawdust, corn cobs	<i>A. niger</i>	cellulose, beta glucosidase	Madamwar et al. (1989)
Corn cobs	<i>A. niger</i>	cellulose	Singh et al. (1989)
Wheat bran	<i>A. niger</i>	glucoamylase	Pandey (1990)
Wheat bran	<i>A. niger</i>	glucoamylase	Ramakrishnana et al. (1982)
Sugarbeet pulp	<i>A. phoenicis</i>	beta glucosidase	Deschamps and Huet (1984)
Wheat bran	<i>A. flavus</i>	protease	Malathi and Chakrabarty (1991)
Wheat bran	<i>A. carbonarius</i>	pectinase	Karanth (1988)
Wheat bran	<i>A. niveus</i>	catalase	Karanth (1988)
Sugarbeet pulp	<i>T. viride</i> and <i>A. niger</i>	cellulase and amylase	Desgreaux and Durand (1990)
Wheat bran and rice straw	<i>Trichoderma spp.</i> <i>A. ustus</i> , <i>Botritis</i> <i>spp.</i> , <i>S. pulverulentum</i>	Cellulose, beta- glucosidase, Xylanase	Shamala and Sreekantiah (1986)
Wheat bran	<i>Penicillium spp.</i> <i>Geotrichum</i> <i>Candidum</i> , <i>Mucor</i> <i>meihei</i> & 2 <i>Rhizopus spp.</i>	lipase	Munoz et al. (1991)
Sugarbeet pulp	<i>P. capsulatum</i>	enzymes	Considine et al. (1988)
Citrus pulp-pellets	<i>P. charlesii</i> , <i>Talaromyces</i> <i>flavus</i> , <i>Tubercularia</i> <i>vulgaris</i>	Pectic enzymes	Siessere and Said (1989)
Citrus pulp	<i>T. vulgaris</i>	pectic enzymes	Vieira et al. (1991)
Bagasse	<i>Polyporus spp.</i>	Cellulase & ligninase	Nigam et al. (1987)
Lignocellulose	<i>Lentinula edodes</i>	enzymes	Mishra and Leatham (1990)
Wheat bran	<i>Bacillus</i> <i>licheniformis</i>	alpha amylase	Ramesh and Lonsane (1987a, b, 1990)
Wheat bran	<i>Bacillus subtilis</i>	protease	Jermine and Demain (1989)
Straw	<i>Neurospora crassa</i>	Carboxymethyl cellulase, beta glucosidase	Macris et al. (1987)

ers, and made more digestible by SSF. Lignocellulosic waste may be composted to targeted biofertilizer, biopesticide and biopromoter products. Post-harvest residue may be decomposed on site by filamentous fungi and recycled to the soil with improved biofertilizer and bioprotective properties.

10.1.1 Nature of Substrates

The major organic material available in nature are polymeric in nature e.g. polysaccharides (cellulose, hemicellulose, pectins, and starch etc.), lignin and protein,

Table 10.4 Agro-residues used for microbial growth studies

Substrate	Microorganism	Reference
Cassava	<i>A. niger</i>	Raimbault and Alazard, 1980, Casteada et al., 1990, Oriol 1988
Barley Husk and Barley straw	<i>Bjkendra adusta</i>	Robinson and Nigam 2008
Citrus peel	<i>A. niger</i>	Rodriguez et al. 1985,
Cassva	<i>Rhizosporus oligosporus</i>	Mitchell et al. (1988, 1990)
Soya bean	<i>R. oligosporus</i>	Rathbun and Shuler 1983
Sugarbeet pulp	<i>T. viride, A. niger</i>	Desgreauges and Durand 1990
Sugarrbeet pulp	<i>T. viride, Sporotrichum Pulverulentum and Thermoascus auranticus</i>	Grajek 1988
Buckwheat seeds	<i>Penicillium roqueforti</i>	Desfarges et al. 1987
Wheat straw	<i>Corinus fimetarius</i>	Singh et al. 1990

AQ6

which can be metabolized by different microorganisms as a source of energy. These substrates that are insoluble in water, absorb water onto their matrix, which provides required moisture in SSF system for the growth and metabolic activities of microorganisms. Bacterial and yeast cultures grow on the surface of substrate fibrils and particles while fungal mycelia penetrate into the particles of substrate for nutrition.

The solid phase in SSF provides a rich and complex source of nutrients that may be sufficient or sometimes insufficient and incomplete with respect to the overall nutritional requirements of that particular microorganism that is cultivated on that substrate. The constituents in the agricultural solids are approximately analysed in terms of total carbohydrates, proteins, lipids, various elements and ash content. The solid substrates generally contain some small carbon compounds whereas the bulk of total dry weight is a complex polymer. The polymeric forms require enzymatic hydrolysis for their mineralisation as carbon-energy sources in microbial metabolism. In comparison with liquid-state fermentation, which generally use less complex carbon energy sources, solid insoluble substrates provide mixed ingredients of high molecular weight carbon compounds. Such complex carbon compounds may contribute inhibition, induction, or repression mechanism in microbial metabolism during solid state cultivation.

10.2 A Bio-Technology Solid State Fermentation

Solid substrate systems have been defined in several ways:

1. Solid substrate fermentation (SSF) is the microbial transformation of biological materials in their natural state, in contrast with liquid or submerged fermentation that is carried out in dilute solutions or slurries (Pandey et al. 2001, 2004).
2. Solid substrate fermentation is generally defined as the growth of microorganisms on solid substrates or sometimes referred to as solid-state fermentation since the process taking place is in the absence or near-absence of *free* water in the system (Nigam and Singh 1994). The substrate however, must contain

- 01 enough moisture, which exists in absorbed form within the solid substrate matrix
02 and simulates the fermentation reaction occurring in nature. These moist solid-
03 substrates are insoluble in water and polymeric in nature, are a source of carbon
04 and energy, vitamins, minerals, nutrients and also provide their absorbed water
05 for microbial growth as well as anchorage.
- 06 3. Solid-state or solid-substrate fermentation means that the substrate is moistened,
07 often with a thin layer of water on the surface of the particles, but there is not
08 enough water present to make fluid mixture. Weight ratios of water to substrate
09 in SSF are usually between 1:1 and 1:10.
- 10 4. SSF can be defined as a system with solid matrix particles, a liquid phase bound
11 to them and a gaseous phase entrapped within the particles. The physical prop-
12 erties of this system such as the water potential and water holding capacity, (can
13 be used as an index of aeration) and bulk density (which predicates the volume
14 of pore space) help to define the conditions of solid-state fermentation.

17 **10.3 Advantages of SSF Over Conventional** 18 **Liquid Fermentation**

19
20 Traditional SSF came about for two primary reasons:

- 21
22 1. The desire for more tasty food, as with Oriental fermented foods and mould-
23 ripened cheese; and
24 2. The need to dispose of agricultural and farm waste materials (as in composting).

25
26 A closer examination of SSF processes in recent years in several research centres
27 throughout the world has led to the realisation of its numerous economical
28 and practical advantages (Lonsane et al. 1985; Steinkraus 1984). The attraction
29 of SSF comes from its simplicity and its closeness to the natural way of life for
30 many microorganisms. Since large amount of water are not added to the biological
31 systems, fermenter volumes remain small, necessary manipulations become
32 less expensive and the cost of water removal at the end of fermentation is minimised.
33 This type of fermentation is especially suitable for growing mixed cultures
34 of microorganisms where symbiosis stimulates better growth and productivity
35 (Bushell and Slater 1981). Solid-state fermentations are clearly distinguished from
36 submerged cultures by the fact that microbial colonisation occur at or near the surfaces
37 of solid substrate, or in few cases the soluble substrate supported on the solid
38 insoluble-matrix in the environment of low-moisture contents. In contrast to liquid
39 fermentation, the substrates traditionally fermented in the solid-state are renewable
40 agricultural products, such as wheat, rice, millet, barley, corn and soybeans. The
41 non-traditional substrates, which can be used in industrial process development,
42 include an abundant availability of agricultural, forest and food-processing wastes.

43 From an engineering point of view, SSF offers many attractive features in comparison
44 to conventional stirred tank reactors or aerated liquid medium fermentations
45 because no free water is present, this leads to many benefits.

01 Solid-state fermentations can be used to provide low-shear environments for the
02 cultivation of shear-sensitive mycelial organisms. Solid state cultivations can be and
03 have been used for mass production of spores, which can then be used for the trans-
04 formation of organic compounds such as steroids, antibiotics, fatty acids, and car-
05 bohydrates. Fungal spores have applications in the production of food-flavours and
06 insecticides. The advantage of solid state fermentation includes simplicity, yields
07 and the homogeneity of spore preparations. The expected advantages of SSF over
08 submerged fermentations are:

- 09
- 10 a. Smaller fermenter volume, relative to the yield of the product, as there is no
- 11 excess water taking space in the fermenter,
- 12 b. Lower sterilisation energy costs, as less volume of water needs to be heated,
- 13 c. Seed tanks are not necessary in all cases, as the spore inocula can be successfully
- 14 used to inoculate the solid medium.
- 15 d. Easier aeration, as air can circulate easily and freely between the substrate par-
- 16 ticles, and also because the liquid film covering the substrate has a large surface
- 17 area compared to its volume. Aeration is facilitated by spaces between substrate
- 18 particles and particle mixing.
- 19 e. Reduced or eliminated capital and operating costs for stirring, since occasional
- 20 stirring is sufficient.
- 21 f. Lower costs of product recovery and drying; in many cases the product is concen-
- 22 trated in the substrate and can be used directly e.g. Oriental foods and cheeses,
- 23 or the products can be directly incorporated into animal feeds.
- 24 g. If the product is to be extracted from the substrate e.g. enzymes and other metabo-
- 25 lites, then much less solvent is needed. The fermented solids may be extracted
- 26 immediately by direct addition of solvents or maintained in frozen storage before
- 27 extraction.
- 28 h. Reduced or eliminated capital and operating costs for effluent treatment due to
- 29 lower water content in the system.
- 30

31 The other benefits are:

- 32
- 33 1. The media are relatively simple; a natural, as opposed to a synthetic, medium is
- 34 used;
- 35 2. A more natural environment for microorganisms, e.g. agricultural wastes degrad-
- 36 ing organisms: many of these fungi grow and perform better under SSF than
- 37 submerged conditions;
- 38 3. A less favourable environment for many bacteria, which require a high mois-
- 39 ture level to survive, lowering the risk of contamination, therefore many SSF
- 40 processes need no sterilisation;
- 41 4. SSF is adaptable to either continuous or batch process and the complexity of
- 42 equipment is no greater than that required for submerged reactors.
- 43

44 Above described advantages are so attractive for the biological processing of
45 agricultural by products that most of the work has used SSF process. These

01 advantages can outweigh the disadvantages of SSF, which are the slowness of fer-
02 mentation and the difficulty of controlling the process precisely.

03

04

05 **10.4 Performance Control of SSF Process**

06

07 The difference in process control between SSF and SmF is mainly due to the use of
08 solid substrates with a very low moisture content in system. The disadvantages of
09 large-scale solid cultures are due to the problems of process-control, process scale-
10 up and the major problem of heat build-up. Despite these drawbacks, large-scale
11 SSF processes have been developed successfully in Japan for the manufacture of a
12 variety of products, including fermented foods and food-products, enzymes, and or-
13 ganic acids. The drawbacks have been overcome by carrying these fermentations in
14 stationary and rotary tray processes, where the temperature and humidity-controlled
15 air is circulated through the stacked beds of fermenting solid substrate particles.
16 These tray methods of cultivation have been used for centuries in the manufacture
17 of traditional food products and the cultures experience the shear-sensitivity in some
18 of these processes. These are main reasons of less frequent use of rotary drum-type
19 fermenters.

20 Little information is available in the West on the details of modern control sys-
21 tems in large-scale solid-state cultivations. The control of temperature and humidity
22 within practical limits is exercised through water temperatures, which is used to
23 humidify the circulating air. The humidified air is circulated at flow-rates to meet
24 the requirements of heat and mass transfer. The gas environment has been found to
25 significantly affect the rate and extent of culture colonisation and product forma-
26 tion in SSF. In the commercial production of amylase using rice substrate in SSF,
27 oxygen pressures above atmospheric have been found to significantly stimulate the
28 enzyme productivity, suggesting oxygen limitation at normal atmospheric pressure.
29 The DNA measurements revealed that this only caused a little effect on biomass
30 formation, but the carbon dioxide pressures above 0.01 atm severely affected the
31 process through the inhibition in amylase productivity.

32 In a protein production process by *Aspergillus* species using alfalfa residues,
33 cellulase and pectinase activities have been found stimulated by oxygen and car-
34 bon dioxide pressures above atmospheric levels, and with no effect on biomass
35 formation. These studies have been conducted in controlled gas environments at
36 constant partial pressures, which is maintained by admitting pure oxygen on demand
37 at pressures below a set point and purging carbon dioxide in 30% KOH at pressures
38 above a set point in a closed aeration system. In another type of SSF performed for
39 the degradation of natural birch lignin employing *Phanerochaete chrysosporium*,
40 high oxygen pressures have been found to be stimulating, whereas the high carbon
41 dioxide pressures have been found inhibiting the process. The stimulatory effect of
42 oxygen on breakdown of lignins has been confirmed in laboratory studies by using
43 labeled synthetic lignins and natural wood lignins.

44 Given the present state of the art, the most promising approach in solid state
45 fermentation processes development happens to be the measurements and control of

01 various parameters and process variables, similarly as in any liquid fermentation. In
02 SSF processes, various methods are selected to analyse the temperature, pH, humid-
03 ity, oxygen and carbon dioxide concentrations in gas phases, biochemical analysis
04 of fermented and unfermented solids and their extracts. The manufacturing produc-
05 tivities of some industrial scale submerged liquid fermentations have increased sig-
06 nificantly over years, e.g. antibiotic production. This development has been possible
07 due to applied and basic research in microbial-biochemistry, microbial-physiology,
08 and genetics. To some extent the contribution also goes to engineering research
09 based on concepts of stoichiometry, kinetics, thermodynamics, and heat and mass
10 transfer in control of the microbial fermentation process and its environment.

11 Direct economic comparisons of solid-state and liquid-state fermentations are not
12 possible, it is apparent that the large-scale solid-state fermentations (known as Koji
13 in Orient) have been developed in Japan on an economic basis. Potential economic
14 advantages of such processes to employ suitable microbe-substrate system include:

- 15 1. reduced thermal processing requirements, since many processes are not aseptic;
- 16 2. reduced energy requirements for agitation, since surface-to-volume ratios for gas
17 transfer are high and many processes do not require agitation due to their shear-
18 sensitivity;
- 19 3. high extracellular product concentrations, that can be efficiently recovered by
20 superficial-extraction or leaching methods.

21 22 23 **10.4.1 Performance Control by Particle Size of Agro Residues**

24
25 SSF processes performance can be varied and controlled by changing physical and
26 chemical factors. It has been reported that substrates with finer particles showed im-
27 proved degradation due to an increase in surface area for enzymatic action (Moloney
28 et al. 1984). The greater growth of fungal cultures has been found stimulated
29 by smaller particle size substrates. Higher enzyme productivity in SSF has been
30 achieved with substrates, which contained particles of mixed sizes from 180 μm to
31 1.4 mm.

32 Particles and kernels of grain must be of suitable size, but not be too small in
33 order to avoid particle agglomeration. The particle size must be in a limited size
34 range to be maintained at relatively low moisture content to prevent contamination.
35 The smaller particle size provides a larger surface area which facilitates heat transfer
36 and gas exchange. Smaller particle sizes also distribute equivalent moisture concen-
37 trations in thinner films on external surfaces exposed to the gas environments, given
38 the same void volume fraction (porosity) and pore size distribution. Internal pores
39 maintain the same surface-to-volume ratios with respect to solid surfaces, based on
40 geometric considerations of spherical particles. This results in higher surface nutri-
41 ent concentrations and the diffusion of nutrients takes place via shorter pathways at
42 the surfaces as well as in the pores of those substrates which have same tortuosity.

43 Too small a particle size may result in closer packing densities of the substrates
44 and the void space between particles becomes considerable reduced. The reduced
45 space between particles tends to reduce the available area for heat transfer and

01 gas-exchange with the surrounding environment. If such condition arises, densely
02 packed particles in a cultivation system have to be sufficiently agitated to provide a
03 better separation of particles for the exchanges of gases and heat transfer. There may
04 be a lower limit in particle size at which the heat transfer or gas exchange becomes
05 rate limiting and there may be an upper limit at which the nutrient transfer becomes
06 limiting. Conclusively under any condition, the particle size of the substrate to be
07 used is one of the major variables in the SSF-process development. Various meth-
08 ods are available to obtain particle sizes such as milling, grinding, chopping and
09 sieving to obtain substrates of particular particle-sizes. In the case of lignocellulosic
10 substrates, smaller particle size substrate is usually obtained through ball-milling.

11 12 13 **10.4.2 Performance Control by Medium Preparation** 14 **of Agro-Residues** 15

16
17 Some SSF systems do not require any nutritional supplements as do most of
18 the traditional food fermentations. Medium supplementation is necessary in non-
19 traditional SSF fermentations, as it induces enzyme-synthesis, provides balanced
20 growth conditions for mycelial-colonisation and biomass formation, as well as pro-
21 longing the production of secondary metabolites. SSF employing brown-rot fungi,
22 require an additional carbon source for the induction of enzymes for the cellulose-
23 utilisation. Certain fungi including *Lentinus lapidus*, *Poria monticola*, and *Lezites*
24 *trabea* can be cultivated on lignin-containing natural wood substrates from aspen,
25 pine and spruce, when the SSF medium is supplemented with glucose or cellobiose
26 in smaller quantities of 0.5%, w/v, and an even smaller amount of peptone, as-
27 paragine and yeast extract. In unsupplemented media, growth of these fungi was
28 very slow as negligible. A co-metabolite, such as glucose or cellulose, stimulates the
29 lignin-degrading system in white-rot fungi such as *Phaenerochaete chrysosporium*
30 and *Coriolus versicolor* when these organisms are cultivated on spruce lignin. Other
31 supplementations of cellobiose, mannose, xylose, glycerol or succinate have been
32 found less effective.

33
34 Studies for the nutritional requirements for a developmental microbe-substrate
35 system to be used on a large-scale SSF, can be done in preliminary experiments
36 in small-scale liquid or SSF on laboratory scale. There is a procedure for evaluat-
37 ing the effects of nutritional supplements on culture-growth and product formation,
38 in which microbial-cultures and the solid substrate are contained in separate com-
39 partments divided by a membrane with a molecular-weight-cut-off. The membrane
40 permits the passage of enzymes and small molecular weight compounds but restricts
41 microbial and substrate solids. One of the major difficulties in the development of
42 solid state fermentations has been the problem in separating microbial biomass from
43 the solid substrate particles after the mycelial growth has covered the substrate sur-
44 faces. In solid culture cultivation the microorganism and substrate are intimately
45 associated making the analytical methods of limited value in stoichiometric analy-
sis of SSF. The analysis of biomass yield and growth rate by the measurement of

01 glucosamine, protein, RNA, DNA, oxygen consumption, and carbon dioxide or heat
02 evolution, can not be accurately used in samples of SSF.

03 Solid cultures for the production of secondary metabolites may have another
04 problem in that the nutrient, whose deficiency triggers the pathway leading to forma-
05 tion of secondary metabolite, may be available in excess when the microbial growth
06 becomes limited by other nutrient. Therefore, the selection of a solid substrate and
07 required-supplements is more critical for a SSF process for antibiotic production
08 that for a SSF designed for enzyme and organic acid biosynthesis.

09

10

11

12 ***10.4.3 Performance Control by Moisture Content*** 13 ***of Agro Residues***

14

15 Solid-state or solid-substrate fermentation means that the substrate is moistened,
16 often with a thin layer of water on the surface of the particles, although there is not
17 enough water present to make a fluid mixture. Weight ratios of water to substrate
18 in SSF are usually between 1:1 and 1:10 (Reid 1989a, b). Since biological activity
19 ceases below a moisture content of about 12%, this establishes the lower limit at
20 which SSF can take place. The upper limit is a function of absorbency and hence,
21 moisture content varies with the substrate material type.

22 Solid substrates may be viewed as gas-liquid-solid mixtures. The aqueous phase
23 in such mixtures is intimately associated with solid surfaces in various states of
24 sorption. The aqueous phase in a cultivation system is in contact with the gas phase
25 continuous with the external gas environment. Different types of solid substrates
26 can absorb different amounts of water. Depending on the moisture content of the
27 solid; some of the water is tightly bound to solid surfaces, some amount of water is
28 less tightly bound and remaining water may exist in a free state inside the capillary
29 regions of the solid substrates. The gas-liquid interface provides a boundary for
30 gaseous exchange between carbon dioxide and oxygen as well as for heat exchanges.

31 Water in biological materials exists in three states. The moisture isotherm mea-
32 surements determines that the solids sorb or desorb water vapour in equilibrium
33 with relative humidities in a gas phase (water activities), which can be maintained
34 by saturated salt solutions at a constant temperature. Water is tightly bound to solid
35 surfaces at the surface in a monolayer region. In case of agricultural residues, mono-
36 layer binding is generally 5 to 10 g per 100 g of dry solids. Beyond the surface
37 monolayer in a multilayer region, water is less tightly bound in additional layers
38 at progressively decreasing energy levels. Then beyond the multilayer region, free
39 water exists in a region of capillary condensation. In terms of relationships between
40 water activity and moisture content, the distinction between the multilayer and cap-
41 illary regions is ambiguous. The electric measurements of an agricultural residue
42 containing high starch content has been used to determine the dividing line between
43 multilayer and capillary regions. The dividing line was defined by a moisture content
44 of about 25 to 30% by weight at a water activity of 80 to 85%, which is the lower
45 limit for microbial growth except for some halophilic or osmophilic microbes.

01 The sorption isotherm may vary from one type of product to another, the hys-
 02 teresis is seen in sorption and desorption isotherms. Water may exist in free state
 03 at moisture levels of interest in solid state fermentation, which is in contrast with
 04 general perception about SSF that the free water does not exist in such systems.
 05 Moisture is a critical factor in SSF of aflatoxin production on rice; the yields of
 06 aflatoxins have been found decreasing rapidly at moistures above 40%. The rice
 07 particles become sticky at moistures above 30 to 35%. Moisture content plays an
 08 important role on the growth of lactic acid bacteria on feedlot wastes liquids mixed
 09 with cracked corn; growth and acid production was limited at moisture level less
 10 than 35%, whereas the higher level above 42% in SSF-mixtures caused the contents
 11 to become gummy and aggregate. One of the secrets of a successful SSF-process
 12 is to keep the fermenting substrate moist enough for fungal-growth and coloni-
 13 sation and to avoid higher moisture level not to promote the unwanted bacterial
 14 growth. Therefore, the optimum moisture content for a particular type of SSF for
 15 its microbe-substrate system should be determined for a particular end-product and
 16 cultivation conditions of that SSF.

17 The level of moisture content affects the process productivity significantly in
 18 any SSF system, when available in lower or higher quantities than the optimum
 19 value (Lonsane et al. 1985). Hence, it should be in limited and required amounts in
 20 system. The presence of an optimum moisture content in SSF medium has been em-
 21 phasised also for the cultivation of bacterial cultures (Ramesh and Lonsane 1990).
 22 The process productivities are affected by water content because the physiochemical
 23 properties of the solids depend and vary with moisture available to them. Therefore,
 24 the major key factors determining the outcome of the SSF-process are the moisture
 25 content and the relative humidity levels (Lonsane et al. 1985).

26 Heat removal during fermentation is mostly achieved by evaporative cooling.
 27 This leads to an uneven distribution of water in system due to large quantities of
 28 water evaporation. Workers have practised various ways to maintain the moisture
 29 content of the solids (Lonsane et al. 1985; Ahmed et al. 1987).

30 **10.4.3.1 Control of Water Activity Factor in SSF**

31 Water activity of the substrate has been proposed as the condition of growth and
 32 viability of the microbes and hence, the importance of a_w in SSF has widely been
 33 studied (Nishio et al. 1979; Raimbault and Alazard 1980; Kim et al. 1985). Water
 34 activity is defined as the relative humidity of the gaseous atmosphere in equilibrium
 35 with the substrate and the water activity factor, a_w of the substrate quantitatively
 36 expresses the water requirement for microbial activity (Smith et al. 1985).

$$37 \quad a_w = -Vm \phi / 55.5 \text{ where,}$$

38

39 $V =$ number of ions formed,
 40 $m =$ Molar concentration of solute
 41 $\phi =$ Molar osmotic coefficient, and
 42 $55.5 =$ molar concentration of a solution of pure water.
 43

44
 45 Pure water has an $a_w = 1.00$ and it will decrease with the presence of solutes.

01 The types of the microorganisms that can grow in SSF systems are determined
02 by the water activity factor, a_w . Bacteria mainly grow at higher a_w values while
03 filamentous fungi and some yeasts can grow at lower a_w values (0.6–0.7). The mi-
04 croorganisms capable of carrying out their metabolic activities at lower a_w values
05 are suitable for SSF processes. High a_w favours sporulation in the course of growth
06 in SSF, but low a_w favours spore germination and mycelial growth.

07 Numerous experiments have demonstrated the influence of a_w on microbial-
08 metabolism (Gervais and Buttut 1988), such as, on growth rate and sporogenesis
09 of filamentous fungi (Gervais et al. 1988), on enzyme biosynthesis by fungi (Grajek
10 and Gervais 1987), and on cheese aroma production (Gervais et al. 1988).

11 The a_w of the medium is a fundamental parameter for mass transfer of the water
12 and solutes across the cell membrane (Gervais and Sarrette 1990). The control of
13 this parameter could be used to modify the metabolic production or excretion of a
14 microorganism (Gervais 1989, 1990). A theoretical calculation based on the Ross
15 equation showed that a_w decreased towards the end of the SSF-culture (Oriol 1988).
16 A kinetic model which relates the rate constant of the death of the microbial cells to
17 a_w and temperature has been proposed by Moser (1988), using the equation

$$k = k_{\alpha} a_w \exp -E_A a_w / RT$$

18
19
20
21 Constants k_{α} and E_A are calculated from the experimental value of a_w . Reg-
22 ulation of the a_w can be controlled by the relative humidity of the air. Gervais and
23 Bazelin (1986) reported a SSF process allowing the control of a_w and Gervais (1989)
24 developed a new sensor for the continuous a_w measurement in SSF.

25 26 27 **10.5 Microorganisms Used For Agro-Residues Bioconversion**

28 Selection of a suitable microorganism is one of the most important criteria in SSF.
29 The vast majority of wild type microorganisms are incapable of producing com-
30 mercially acceptable yields of the desired products. The unique characteristics of
31 solid-state cultivations are their ability to provide a selective environment at lower
32 concentrations of moisture ideal for mycelial organisms. The mycelial organisms are
33 capable of producing a range of extracellular enzymes required for the hydrolysis
34 of complex, polymeric solid substrates. Such microorganisms are able to colonise
35 at high nutrient concentrations near solid surfaces. The mycelial organisms include
36 a large number of filamentous fungi and a few bacteria of actinomycetes. The im-
37 portance of microorganisms can be seen from the fact that a culture of *Aspergillus*
38 *niger* can produce as many as 19 types of enzymes, while enzyme alpha amylase
39 can be produced by some 28 different types of cultures (Fogarty and Kelly 1979;
40 Pandey 1992). SSF processes can be placed in two main classes based on the type
41 of microorganism involved:

- 42
43 1. *Natural (Indigenous) SSF*: Ensiling and composting are SSF processes, that
44 utilise natural microflora. In nature, SSF is often carried out by mixed cultures
45 in which several microorganisms show symbiotic cooperation.

AQ7

AQ8

AQ9

01 2. *Pure culture SSF*: Known purified microorganisms are used in such processes
02 either singly or in mixed culture. SSF using a pure culture is known since antiq-
03 uity e.g. the Koji process with *Aspergillus oryzae*. A pure culture is necessary in
04 industrial SSF process for improved rate of substrate utilisation and controlled
05 product formation. A typical example of pure mixed culture SSF is the bio-
06 conversion of agricultural residues to fungal biomass (protein) using two pure
07 cultures of *Chaetomium cellulolyticum* and *Candida utilis*.

08
09 Several microorganisms have been employed in a wide range of SSF processes
10 for various objectives. The cultivation of filamentous fungi on solid substrates has
11 been widely used for different purposes at laboratory scale e.g. for Koji fermenta-
12 tion, for lignocellulose fermentation (Matteau and Bone 1980), for fungal spores
13 (Lotong and Suwarnarit 1983), and for mycotoxin production (Hesseltine 1972;
14 Bhumiratna et al. 1980). For various purposes, among the filamentous fungi three
15 classes, viz. Phycomycetes (*Mucor* and *Rhizopus*), Ascomycetes (*Aspergillus* and
16 *Penicillium*) and Basidiomycetes (Nigam and Prabhu 1985), have been most
17 widely used.

18 SSF has been most commonly used employing *Aspergillus niger* for protein en-
19 richment (Rodriquez et al. 1985; Baldensperger et al. 1985; Czajkowska and Il-
20 nicka 1988) as well as for enzymes production, such as, cellulase (Singh et al. 1989;
21 Madamwar et al. 1989), amylase, glucoamylase (Ramakrishna et al. 1982; Pandey
22 1990), beta glucosidase, and protease (Malathi and Chakrabarty 1991). Production
23 of alcohols, ketones and aldehyde in rice fermentation was achieved by the use of
24 *A. oryzae* (Ito et al. 1990). For protein enrichment and kinetic studies related to
25 SSF process *Rhizopus oligosporus* has been employed (Rathbun and Shuler 1983;
26 Mitchell et al. 1988, 1990).

27 Fungal rennet has been produced by *R. oligosporus* and *Mucor meihei* (Karanth
28 1988). For enzyme production and protein enrichment cultures of *Trichoderma*
29 spp. have been employed in pure, single and mixed SSF (Daubresses et al. 1987;
30 Grajek 1988). Lipase enzyme production has been reported (Munoz et al. 1991)
31 using six species of *Penicillium*, two species of *Rhizopus*, *Geotrichum candidum*
32 and *Mucor meihei*, whereas the maximum lipase activity was obtained with *P. can-*
33 *didum*, *P. camembertii* and *M. meihei*. For the production of several other enzymes
34 e.g. hydrolases and pectic enzymes (Siesser and Said 1989) several other species of
35 *Penicillium* have been employed in SSF.

36 Production of the antibiotic penicillin was achieved in a non-sterile SSF pro-
37 cess on sugar cane bagasse impregnated with culture medium using *Penicillium*
38 *chrysogenum*. Protein enrichment of lignocellulosic substrates for animal feed pro-
39 duction (Nigam 1990; Nigam and Vogel 1990a, b), lignin degradation (Bone and
40 Munoz 1984), and cellulase and ligninase enzyme production (Nigam et al. 1987a,
41 b) have been obtained by white-rot cultures in SSF.

42 Production of gibberellic acid has been reported using *Fusarium moniliforme*
43 and *Gibberella fugikuroi* (Kumar and Lonsane 1987a, b). Bacterial alpha amylase
44 production is reported using *Bacillus licheniformis* in SSF (Ramesh and Lonsane
45 1987, 1990). Several yeasts have been used for protein enrichment and ethanol

01 fermentation in SSF. For protein enrichment of straw (Han 1987) *Candida utilis*
02 was used whereas *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* has most commonly been employed
03 for ethanol production (Gibbons et al. 1984; Kargi et al. 1985).

04
05

06 **10.6 Designing And Types of SSF**

07

08 **10.6.1 Fermenter Design for SSF**

09

10 Several miscellaneous types of fermenters have been used in batch or continu-
11 ous mode in SSF processes (Hardin 2004). Process parameters are very impor-
12 tant factors and they have to be considered in a bioreactor design for any SSF.
13 Design considerations in types of SS-fermenters used by various researchers are
14 described by Aidoo et al. (1982). The engineering aspects, with major types of fer-
15 menters describing their advantages and drawbacks has been reviewed by Fernandez
16 et al. (2004). Solid state cultivations are not as well characterised on a fundamental
17 scientific or engineering basis, as are the liquid fermentation systems that are used
18 in the West for the industrial production of microbial-metabolites. Solid-state fer-
19 mentations are, however, widely used in the Orient and therefore, the old traditional
20 methods of cultivation systems which have been used in food-processing for more
21 than 2,000 years, have now been modernised and well characterised for their ex-
22 tended application to non-traditional products. Mitchell et al. (2004) have described
23 in detail the modelling aspects of SSF.

24

25 The physical state of the substrate and the products to be produced in the system
26 characterise the design-type of solid state cultivation process:

27

28 a. Low-moisture solids are fermented

29

- 30 1. without any agitation for the production of Tempeh and Natto;
- 31 2. by occasional stirring for the production of Miso and Soy sauce;
- 32 3. with continuous stirring for the production of Aflatoxin.

33

34 b. Suspended solids are fermented in packed bed columns

35

- 36 1. through which the liquid is circulated, as for the production of rice-wine;
- 37 2. which contain stationary or agitated liquid media, for the production of Kaffir
38 beer.

39

40

41

42 **10.6.2 Types of SSF Systems**

43

44 There are two types based on process design:

45

46 *Type one-* Fermentation in static reactor

47 e.g. Tray fermentations (Lonsane et al. 1985; Viesturs et al. 1987)

01 *Type two-* Fermentation with occasional or continuous agitation
02 e.g. Production of aflatoxin, ochratoxin and enzymes (Lindenfelser and Ciegler
03 1975; Hesseltine 1977; Silman 1980).

04
05 Type two has 4 variations according to the need of process:

- 06
07 1. Occasional agitation, without forced aeration
08 2. Slow continuous agitation, without forced aeration
09 3. Occasional agitation with forced aeration
10 4. Continuous agitation with forced aeration.

13 **10.6.3 SSF Bioreactors**

14
15 Three basic groups of reactor exist for SSF, and these may be distinguished by the
16 type of mixing and aeration used. In laboratory scale, SSF occurs mainly in flasks
17 whereas following reactors are used for large-scale product-formation.

19 **10.6.3.1 Tray Bioreactors**

20
21 Tray bioreactors tend to be very simple in design, with no forced aeration or mixing
22 of the solid substrate. Such reactors are restrictive in the amount of substrate that
23 can be fermented, as only thin layers can be used, so as to avoid overheating and
24 maintain aerobic conditions. Tray undersides are perforated to allow aeration of
25 the solid substrate, each arranged above each other. In such reactors, temperature
26 and relative humidity are the only controllable external parameters (Durand 1998).
27 Wooden trays were initially used for soy sauce production in Koji fermentations by
28 *Aspergillus oryzae*. The use of tray fermenters in large-scale production is limited
29 as they require a large operational area and tend to be labour intensive. The lack
30 of adaptability of this type of fermenter makes it an unattractive design for any
31 large-scale production.

33 **10.6.3.2 Drum Bioreactors**

34
35 Drum bioreactors are designed to allow adequate aeration and mixing of the solid,
36 whilst limiting the damage to the inoculum or product. As previously mentioned,
37 mixing and aeration of the medium has been explored in two ways: by rotating
38 the entire vessel or through the use of various agitation devices. Rotation or the
39 use of agitation can be carried out on a continuous or periodic basis. In contrast
40 to tray reactors, growth of the inoculum in drum bioreactors is considered to be
41 better and more uniform. Increased sheer forces through mixing, can however, have
42 a detrimental affect on the ultimate product yield.

43 Although the mass heat transfer, aeration and mixing of the substrate is increased,
44 damage to inoculum and heat build up through sheer forces may affect the final
45 product yield. Application of drum reactors for large-scale fermentations also poses
handling difficulties.

10.6.3.3 Packed Bed Bioreactors

Columns are usually constructed from glass or plastic with the solid substrate supported on a perforated base through which forced aeration is applied. They have been successfully used for the production of enzymes, organic acids and secondary metabolites. Forced aeration is generally applied at the bottom of the column, with the humidity of the air kept high to avoid desiccation of the substrate. Disadvantages associated with packed bed column bioreactors for SSF include difficulties in retrieving the product, non-uniform growth, poor heat removal and scale-up problems.

10.7 Scale-Up Stages of SSF

Scale-up of SSF has been defined in many ways. There are mainly four stages:

10.7.1 Flask Level

This is smallest scale using 50–1000 g substrate working capacity, and used for the selection of the organism, optimisation of the process and experimental variables in a short time and at low cost. The vessels used are conical flasks and beakers (Mitchell et al. 1986; Nigam et al. 1987a, b), Roux bottles (Gervais et al. 1988; Nigam 1990), jars (Hang et al. 1986), and glass tubes (Raimbault and Alazard 1980).

10.7.2 Laboratory Fermenter Level

This is next to flask scale using a 5–20 kg substrate working capacity. It is used for a selection of procedures such as, inoculum development, medium sterilisation, aeration, agitation and downstream processing. Standardisation of various parameters, selection of control strategies and instruments, evaluation of economics of the process and its commercial feasibility are also examined at this level. The fermenters used are glass incubators (Deschamps and Huet 1984; Oriol et al. 1988; Smith et al. 1986), column fermenters (Oriol et al. 1988); polypropylene bags (Yadav 1988), and miscellaneous types of fermenters (Raimbault and Alazard 1980; Viesturs et al. 1981).

10.7.3 Pilot Fermenter Level

This scale is a stage before the commercial scale using 50–5000 kg of substrate. This level is necessary for the confirmation of laboratory data and selection of optimised procedures. It facilitates market trials of the product, physicochemical characterisation and determination of viability of the process. Most large scale SSFs employ tray type fermenters as in the oldest soy sauce Koji process (Daubresse et al. 1987), rotating drum type (Lindenfelser and Ciegler 1975; Han and Anderson 1975; Hesseltine 1977), horizontal paddle fermenters and mixed layer

01 pilot plant fermenters (Laukevics et al. 1984). Durand and Chereau (1988) reported
02 the use of a pilot reactor having a one ton working capacity.

04 **10.7.4 Production Fermenter Level**

06 The commercial scale fermenter utilises 25–1000 tonnes of substrate and is per-
07 formed for streamlining of the developed process. Yokotsuka (1985) described deep
08 trough methods and mechanical continuous equipment for Koji production generat-
09 ing 50–100 tonnes of Koji per day.

12 **10.8 Factors Affecting SSF**

14 Each microbe-substrate system is unique and the process variables must be con-
15 sidered in terms of the physical properties and chemical composition of its sub-
16 strate, growth characteristics and physiological properties of the microorganisms
17 to be cultivated in SSF. The nature of the product, if the process involves the
18 synthesis of primary or secondary metabolite may be based on the synthesis of
19 extracellular enzymes in growth-associated metabolism. The process variables af-
20 fecting a solid state cultivation include, pretreatment of substrates, particle-size of
21 substrates, medium-ingredients, supplementation of growth medium, sterilisation of
22 SSF-medium, moisture-content, inoculum-density, temperature, pH, agitation and
23 aeration. These variables should be considered in process-development of a SSF to
24 be carried out for different purposes. Some of these variables have been discussed
25 in some sections as above, the rest are discussed below.

28 **10.8.1 Significance of Aeration and Mixing in SSF**

30 In any SSF-process an adequate supply of oxygen is required to maintain the
31 aerobic conditions and for the transfer of excess carbon dioxide produced during
32 metabolism. This requirement can be achieved through the process of aeration and
33 mixing of the fermenting solids. In certain cases, the mixture can not be agitated
34 vigorously or in some cases, at all, if the microorganism used in SSF is shear sen-
35 sitive. The shear sensitivity is attributed to disruption of mycelial-substrate contact;
36 this is particularly concerned to those organisms which possess mycelial-bound en-
37 zymes required for the hydrolysis of solid substrate-polymers. Most Koji processes
38 in Japan performed for the commercial production of enzymes do not involve great
39 agitation. The fermenting substrate is gently turned periodically just to bring the
40 bottom of Koji to the top. These processes have been developed in highly controlled
41 environments, using automated systems for inoculum mixing, and turning of the
42 fermenting substrate.

43 Most of the traditional food-fermentation in Japan use the rotary-tray method
44 for SSF with the circulation of humidified air to create the conditions suitable for
45 gas-exchange and heat-transfer. In the SSF for the production of certain secondary

01 metabolites such as aflatoxin and ochratoxin, and in some processes for the enzyme
02 production, mixing and particle separation are achieved by agitation on shakers or in
03 rotating vessels with circulating conditioned air. Maximum rotation rates generally
04 decrease with the size of the fermentation-vessel. Therefore, solid-state fermenta-
05 tions are ideal for the cultivation of those microorganisms that are extremely sensi-
06 tive to the shear rates of the impeller speeds required for stringent oxygen demand
07 rates in liquid fermentaton. Such microorganisms colonise the solid substrates by
08 microbe-substrate attachment and there is no pellet formation in solid-state cultiva-
09 tion, which is added advantage to SSF.

10 Aeration plays an important role in solid state fermentations as compared to liq-
11 uid fermentation where it only helps in gas transfer. Aeration facilitates in heat,
12 gas and moisture transfer between the fermenting solid particles and the gas envi-
13 ronment of the system. The temperature of the gas phase serves by supplying or
14 removing heat, in maintaining the relative humidity in equilibrium with the liquid
15 phase. In liquid fermentations the substrates are dissolved in at low substrate con-
16 centrations in large volumes of fluid, but in solid cultures with respect to moisture
17 transfer, the loss or gain of moisture during SSF is extremely sensitive to the water
18 activity of the gas-phase. Therefore, small changes in the relative humidity of the
19 gas phase in equilibrium with the solids may cause the large changes of moisture
20 content in the solid state, depending on the sorption-desorption characteristics of the
21 solid substrate.

22 There are two main functions of the gas phase in SSF, the primary function is
23 to supply oxygen and remove the carbon dioxide from the system. The secondary
24 function of aeration is in heat and moisture transfer that is more important, when
25 the rates of oxygen and carbon dioxide are not limiting. The gas phase can facil-
26 itate in the ontrol of solid cultures, due to the fact that direct measurements can
27 not be performed to estimate dissolved oxygen or carbon dioxide concentrations in
28 low-moisture solids during the course of the fermentation on either a continuous or
29 sampling basis. The methods of aeration may cause the conditions of gas transfer be-
30 ing relatively stagnant. This condition may be responsible for the oxygen limitation
31 at small penetration depths or may lead to inhibitory carbon dioxide concentrations
32 in normal atmospheric environments. The gas phase in the SSF during the course
33 of microbial metabolism, can be analysed for oxygen, and carbon dioxide pressures
34 using analysers which function on thermal-conductivity, paramagnetism, or infrared
35 absorption. The technique of gas chromatography can also be used for gas-analysis
36 of the gas phase of a SSF.

37 38 39 ***10.8.2 Significance of Control of Temperature and pH in SSF***

40
41 Two significant variables affecting any SSF are the incubation temperature and the
42 pH of SSF-medium. Both variables are specific for each SSF process depending on
43 the microorganisms to be cultivated and the product to be formed. Unlike submerged
44 fermentation, these factors are difficult to control in SSF. These variables can not
45 be directly measured in the liquid phase, as these are associated with the solids at

01 lower moisture content without any free liquid in the fermenting medium. The other
02 difficult situation arises when the growth temperature of cultivated microorganism
03 is different than the optimal temperature for the product formation. Such systems
04 require a possible need for temperature profiling or shift in the later stages of fer-
05 mentation. The thermal gradients may be induced within SSF-mixture due to the
06 rate of heat generation in SSF-system at high levels of biological activity. This
07 gradient may limit the heat transfer and may lead to sub-optimal conditions for
08 microbial-biomass and product formation.

09 The local pH levels at solid surfaces near which the biological activity occurs,
10 may be considerable different than the bulk pH of the liquid phase. This difference
11 in pH levels happens due to surface charge effects and ionic equilibria modified
12 by solute transport effects. There is no suitable method to measure the precise pH
13 of fermenting solid residues in SSF. A general method used for measuring pH of
14 solid agricultural residues involves mixing one part of fermented solids (dry weight)
15 and three parts of freshly boiled and cooled water, and measuring the pH of the
16 resultant liquid after five minutes using a glass electrode. This procedure can be
17 used to monitor pH changes during fermentation on intervals using minimum one
18 gram of the SSF-mixture.

19 It is easier to measure temperature of the fermenting SSF-mixture, in compari-
20 son to pH measurement. Temperature can be measured using thermistor or thermo-
21 couple probes at various depths of the SSF-mixture below the medium-surface. In
22 various SSF-processes for the production of enzymes, mycelial-biomass or organic
23 acids, total heat generation of up to 600 kcal per kilogram of fermenting solids has
24 been observed. A study of composting of animal wastes and agricultural residue has
25 revealed that such heat generations may lead to rapid temperature rise of the fer-
26 menting mass in the system limited by heat transfer. The study also revealed that the
27 biological activity was considerably higher near the surface of the compost pile than
28 in the depth of pile that was at lower oxygen pressure. This phenomenon happens
29 due to a decrease in interior oxygen concentrations inside the SSF-mixture pile of
30 compost. Thus the heat generation in such fermentations is coupled to conditions
31 for heat as well as mass transfer.

32 33 34 **10.9 Processes and Products of SSF**

35 Various processes and products from bioconversion of agro-residues of industrial,
36 pharmaceutical, and environmental importance have been discussed in detail in fur-
37 ther chapters 11–24 under sections II, III.

38 39 40 **References**

- 41
42 Abdullah AL, Tengerdy RP, and Murphy VG (1985) Optimization of solid-state fermentation of
43 wheat straw. *Biotechnol Bioeng* **27**:20–27
44 Ahmed SY, Lonsane BK, Ghildyal NP and Ramakrishna SV, (1987a) Design of solid-state fer-
45 menter for production of fungal metabolites on large-scale. *Biotechnol Tech* **1**: 97–102

- 01 Aidoo K E, Henry R and Wood BJB (1982) Solid substrate fermentations. *Adv Appl Microbiol*
02 **28**:201–237
- 03 Alazard D, and Raimbault M, (1981) Comparative study of amylolytic enzymes production
04 by *Aspergillus niger* in liquid and solid-state cultivation. *Eur J Appl Microbiol Biotech* **12**:
113–117
- 05 Bajracharya R, and Mudgett RE (1979) Solid-state fermentation of alfalfa for enhanced protein
06 recovery. *Biotechnol Bioeng* **21**:551–560
- 07 Baldensperger J, Le Mer J, Hannibal L, and Quinto PJ (1985) Solid-state fermentation of banana
08 wastes. *Biotechnol Lett* **7**(10):743–748
- 09 Barnard GW, and Hall DO (1983) Energy from renewable resources. In *Biotechnology* (H. J. Rehm
and G. Reed eds.). Verlag Chemie 3, pp. 613–625
- 10 Barnes TG, Eggins HW, and Smith EL (1972) Preliminary stages in the development of a process
11 for the microbial upgrading of waste paper. *Int Biodeterior* **8**(3):112–116
- 12 Barrios-Gonzalez J, Rodriguez GM, and Tomasini A (1990) Environmental and nutritional factors
13 controlling aflatoxin production in cassava SSF. *J Ferment Bioeng* **70**(5):329–333
- 14 Barrios-Gonzalez J, Tomasini A, Viniegra-Gonzalez G, and Lopez L (1988a) Penicillin production
by solid-state fermentation. *Biotechnol Lett* **10**(11):793–798
- 15 Barrios-Gonzalez J, Tomasini A, Viniegra-Gonzalez G, and Lopez L (1988b) Solid-state fermenta-
16 tion in bioconversion of agro-industrial raw materials (M. Raimbault ed). ORSTOM Centre
17 Montpellier, Montpellier, France, pp. 39–51
- 18 Bhumiratna A, Flegel TW, Glinsukon T, and Somporn W (1980) Isolation and analysis of moulds
from soy sauce koji in Thailand. *Appl Environ Microbiol* **39**: 430–435
- 19 Biddlestone AJ, and Gray KR (1991) Aerobic processing of solid organic wastes for the production
20 of peat alternative: A review. *Process Biochem* **26**:275–279
- 21 Bone DH, and Munoz EL (1984) Solid-state fermentation of oat straw by *Poyporus* spp. *Biotechnol*
22 *Lett* **6**(10):657–662
- 23 Bu'lock JD (1979) Microbial Technology: Current State. *Future*. Cambridge University Press,
pp. 309–34
- 24 Bushell ME, and Slater JH (1981) Mixed Culture Fermentations. Special publication No 5 *Soc.*
25 *General Microbiology*, Academic Press
- 26 Cannel E, and Moo-Young M (1980a) Solid-state fermentation systems I. *Process Biochem*
27 **15**(5):2–7
- 28 Cannel E, and Moo-Young M (1980b) Solid-state fermentation systems II. *Process Biochem*
15(6):24–28
- 29 Carrizalez V, Rodriguez H, and Sardina I (1981) Determination of the specific growth on molds on
30 semi-solid cultures. *Biotechnol Bioeng* **23**:321–333
- 31 Castaneda GS, Rojas M, Bacquet G, Raimbault M, and Gonzalez GV (1990) Heat transfer simu-
32 lation in solid-state fermentation. *Biotechnol Bioeng* **35**:802–808
- 33 Cochet N, Nonus M and Lebault M (1988) Solid State Fermentation of Sugar beet. *Biotechnol Lett*
10:491–496
- 34 Considine PJ, O'Rorke A, Hackett TJ, and Coughlan MP (1988) Hydrolysis of beet pulp polysac-
35 charides by extracts of solid-state cultures of *Penicillium capsulatum*. *Biotechnol Bioeng*
36 **31**(5):433–438
- 37 Corpe EA (1980) Microbial surface components involved in adsorption of microorganisms onto
38 surfaces. In *Adsorption of microorganisms to surfaces* (G. Britton, and K. C. Marshall, eds.).
J. Wiley, New York, pp. 125–138
- 39 Czajkowska D, and Ilnicka O (1988) Biosynthesis of protein by microscopic fungi in solid-state
40 fermentation. *Acta Biotechnologica* **8**(5):407–413
- 41 Daubresse P, Ntibashirwa S, Gheysen A, and Meyer JA (1987) A process for protein enrichment
42 of cassava by SSF in rural conditions. *Biotechnol Bioeng* **29**:962–968
- 43 Deschamps F, and Huet MC (1984) β -glucosidase production in agitated solid-state fermentation.
Biotechnol Lett **6**:55–60
- 44 Desgreauges C, and Durand A (1990) Effect of pCO₂ on growth, conidiation and enzyme production
45 in solid-state culture on *A. niger* and *T. viride*. *Enzyme Microb Technol* **12**:546–551

- 01 Desfarges C, Larroche C, and Gros JB (1987) Spore production of *P. roquefortii* by SSF: stoi-
02 chiometry, growth and sporulation behaviour. *Biotechnol Bioeng* **29**:1050–58
- 03 Durand A (1998) Solid state fermentation. *Biofuture* **181**:41–43
- 04 Durand A, and Chereau D (1988) A new pilot reactor for solid-state fermentation: application to
05 protein enrichment of sugar beet pulp. *Biotechnol Bioeng* **31**:476–486
- 06 Fernandez MF, Pe'rez-Correa R, Agosin E (2004) Engineering aspects of SSF. In: Concise Ency-
07 clopedia of Bioresource Technology, (A. Pandey, ed.). The Haworth press Inc. NY, pp.690–699
- 08 Fogarty WM, and Kelly CT (1979) In *Progress in Industrial Microbiology* (M. J. Bull, ed.). 15
09 Elsevier
- 10 Georgiou G, and Shuler ML (1986) A computer model for the growth and differentiation of a
11 fungal colony on solid substrate. *Biotechnol Bioeng* **28**:405–416
- 12 Gervais P, Belin JM, Grajek W, and Sarrett M (1988) Influence of water activity on aroma produc-
13 tion by *Trichoderma viride* growing on solid substrate. *J Ferment Technol* **66**(4):403–407
- 14 Gervais P (1989) New sensor allowing continuous water activity measurement of submerged or
15 solid-state fermentations. *Biotechnol Bioeng* **33**: 266–271
- 16 Gervais P (1990) Water activity: a fundamental parameter of aroma production by microorganisms.
17 *Appl Microbiol Biotechnol* **33**(1):72–75
- 18 Gervais P and Sarrette M (1990) Influence of age of mycelium and water activity of the medium
19 on aroma production. *J Ferment Technol* **69**(1):46–50
- 20 Ghildyal NP, Lonsane BK, Srikantiah KR and Murthy VS (1985) Economics of submerged and
21 solid-state fermentations for the production of amyloglucosidase. *J Food Sci Technol* **22**:
22 171–176
- 23 Gibbon WR, Westby CA and Dobbs TL (1984) A continuous farm scale solid phase fermenta-
24 tion process for fuel ethanol and protein production from fodder beets. *Biotechnol Bioeng* **26**:
25 1098–1107
- 26 Gibbon WR, Westby CA, and Dobbs TL (1986) Intermediate scale semicontinuous solid phase fer-
27 mentation process for production of fuel ethanol from sweet sorghum. *Appl Environ Microbiol*
28 **51**:115–122
- 29 Grajek W (1988) Production of protein by thermophilic fungi from sugar beet pulp in SSF. *Biotech-
30 nol Bioeng* **32**(2):255–260
- 31 Gujral GS, Bisaria R, Madan M and Vasudevan P (1987) SSF of saccharum munja residues into
32 food through *Pleurotus* cultivation. *J Ferment Technol* **65**(1):101–106
- 33 Hafiz AH, Nadeem BA and Quadeer MA (1990) Biosynthesis of enzymes by SSF III: production
34 of protease. *Sci Int* **2**:31–34
- 35 Han YW (1987) Oxygen requirements for growth of *Candida utilis* on semi-solid straw substrate.
36 *Biotechnol Bioeng* **30**(5):672–674
- 37 Han YW and Anderson AW (1975) Semisolid fermentation of rye-grass straw. *Appl Microbiol*
38 **30**:930–934
- 39 Han IW and Steinberg MP (1987) Amylolysis of raw corn by *Aspergillus niger* for simultaneous
40 ethanol fermentation. *Biotechnol Bioeng* **30**:225–232.
- 41 Hang, Y. D., Lee, C. Y., and Woodams, E. E., 1982, A solid state fermentation system for produc-
42 tion of ethanol from apple pomace. *J Food Sci* **47**:1851–1852
- 43 Hang YD, Lee CY and Woodams EE (1986) Solid-state fermentation of grape pomace for ethanol
44 production. *Biotechnol Lett* **8**(1):53–56
- 45 Hardin M (2004) Design of bioreactors in SSF. In: Concise Encyclopedia of Bioresource Technol-
ogy (A. Pandey, ed.). The Haworth press Inc. NY, pp. 679–688
- Hesseltine CW (1972) Biotechnology Report: Solid-state fermentations. *Biotechnol Bioeng*
14:517–532
- Hesseltine CW (1977) Solid-state fermentation. *Process Biochem* **12**:24–27(a), 30–32(b)
- Hesseltine CW (1983) The future of fermented foods. *Annu Rev Microbiol* **37**:575–601
- Huang SY, Wang HH, Wei C, Malaney GW and Tanner RD (1985) Kinetic responses of the koji
solid-state fermentation process. In *Topics in Enzyme and Fermentation Technology*. 10 (A. C.
Wiseman, ed.). Ellis Horwood Limited, Chichester, pp. 88–108

- 01 Illanes A and Schaffeld G (1981) Anteproyecto de una planta para el enriquecimiento de coseta
02 agotada de remolacha. In *Anales del 6° Congreso Chileno de Ingenieria Quimica*, Santiago, pp
03 386–391
- 04 Ito K, Yoshida K, Ishikawa T and Kobayashi S (1990) Volatile compounds produced by the fun-
05 gus *Aspergillus oryzae* in rice koji and their changes during cultivation. *J Ferment Bioeng*
06 **70**(3):169–172
- 07 Jaleel SA, Srikanta S, Ghildyal NP and Lonsane BK (1988) Simultaneous solid phase fermentation
08 and saccharification of cassava fibrous residue for production of ethanol. *Starch/Starke* **40**:
09 55–58
- 10 Jermine MFG and Demain AL (1989) SSF for cephalosporin production by *S. clavuligerus* and
11 *Cephalosporium acremonium*. *Experientia* **45**:1061–1065
- 12 Karanth NG (1988) CFTRI work on Solid State Fermentation. In *International Seminar on SSF*.
13 ORSTOM, Montpellier, France, pp. 25–27
- 14 Kargi F and Curme JA (1985) Solid-state fermentation of sweet sorghum to ethanol in a rotary
15 drum fermenter. *Biotechnol Bioeng* **27**:1122–1125
- 16 Kim DH, Hosobuchi M and Ryu D (1985) Cellulase production by a solid-state culture system.
17 *Biotechnol Bioeng* **27**:1445–1450
- 18 Knapp JS and Howell JA (1980) Solid substrate fermentation. In *Topics in Enzyme and Fermenta-
19 tion Biotechnology* 4 (A. Wiseman, ed.). Ellis Horwood Ltd, Chichester, pp. 85–143
- 20 Koutinas, AA, Malbranque F, Wang R-H, Campbell GM and Webb C, (2007). Development of an
21 oat-based biorefinery for the production of lactic acid by *Rhizopus oryzae* and various value-
22 added co-products. *J Agric Food Chem* **55**:1755–1761
- 23 Kumar PKR and Lonsane BK (1987a) Extraction of gibberellic acid from dry mouldy bran pro-
24 duced under solid-state fermentation. *Process Biochem* **22**:139–143
- 25 Kumar PKR and Lonsane BK (1987b) Potential of fed-batch culture in solid-state fermentation for
26 production of gibberellic acid. *Biotechnol Lett* **9**:179–182
- 27 Kumar PKR and Lonsane BK (1987c) Gibberellic acid by SSF: consistent and improved yields.
28 *Biotechnol Bioeng* **30**:267–271
- 29 Kumar PKR and Lonsane BK (1988) Batch and fed-batch solid-state fermentations: kinetics
30 of cell growth, hydrolytic enzymes and gibberellic acid production. *Process Biochem* **23**:
31 43–47
- 32 Larroche C and Gross JB (1986) Spore production of *Penicillium roquefortii* in fermenters filled
33 with buck wheat seeds. *Appl Microbiol Biotechnol* **24**:134–139
- 34 Larroche C, Desfarges C and Gros JB (1988) Optimization of the spores production of *Penicil-
35 lium roquefortii* in solid-substrate fermentation on buckwheat seeds. *Appl Microbiol Biotechnol*
36 **28**:85–92
- 37 Ladisch MR and Tsao MR (1986) Engineering and economics of cellulase saccharification sys-
38 tems. *Enzyme Microb Technol* **8**:66–69
- 39 Laukevics JJ, Apsite AF, Viesturs HE and Tengerdy RE (1984) Solid-state fermentation of wheat
40 straw for fungal protein. *Biotechnol Bioeng* **26**:1465–1474
- 41 Lindenfelser LA and Ciegler A (1975) Solid-substrate fermentations for ochratoxin-A production.
42 *Appl Microbiol* **29**:322–327
- 43 Lonsane BK, Ghildyal NP and Murthy VS (1982) Solid-state fermentations and their challenges. In
44 *Technical Brochure, Symp. on fermented foods, food contaminants and bioenergy*. Association
45 of Microbiologists of India, Mysore, India, pp. 12–18
- Lonsane BK, Ghildyal NP, Budiartman S and Ramakrishna, SV (1985) Engineering aspects of
solid-state fermentation. *Enzyme Microb Technol* **7**: 258–265
- Lonsane BK and Karanth NG (1990) Solid-state fermentation technique and its relevance to eco-
nomic production of exoenzymes. In *Proceedings of National Symposium on Current Trends in
Biotechnology*. Cochin University of Science and Technology, Cochin, pp. 40–46
- Lonsane BK and Ramesh MV (1990) Production of bacterial thermostable alpha-amylase by solid
state fermentation. *Adv Appl Microbiol* **35**: 1–56
- Lotong N and Suwarnarit P (1983) Production of soya sauce koji mold spore inoculum in plastic
bags. *Appl Environ Microbiol* **46**:1224–1226

- 01 Macris BJ, Kekos D, Evangelidou X, Panayotou MG. and Rodis P (1987) SSF of straw for CMCase
02 and B-glucosidase production. *Biotechnol Lett* **9**(9):661–664
- 03 Madamwar D, Patel S and Parik H (1989) Solid-state fermentation for cellulases and betaglucosi-
04 dase production by *Aspergillus niger*. *J Ferment Bioeng* **67**:424–426
- 05 Malathi S and Chakrabarty R (1991) Production of alkaline protease by a new *Aspergillus flavus*
06 isolated under solid-substrate fermentation. *Appl Environ Microbiol* **57**(3): 712–716
- 07 Maltseva OV, Golovleva LA, Leont'evskii AH, Nerud F, Misurcova Z and Musilek V (1989) Dy-
08 namics of enzymes generating hydrogen peroxide in SSF of *Panus tigrinus* on wheat straw.
09 *Folia Microbiol* **34**(3):261–266
- 10 Matteau PP and Bone DH (1980) Solid-state fermentation of maple wood by *Polyporus anceps*,
11 *Biotechnol Lett* **2**:127–132
- 12 Massiot P, Thibault JF and Rouau X (1989) Degradation of carrot fibers with cell-wall
13 polysaccharide-degrading enzymes. *J Food Sci Agri* **49**:45–57
- 14 Mishra C and Leatham GF (1990) Recovery and fractionation of the extracellular degrada-
15 tive enzymes from cultures on a solid lignocellulosic substrate. *J Ferment Bioeng* **69**(1):
16 8–15
- 17 Mitchell DA, Greenfield PF and Doelle HW (1986) A model substrate for solid state fermentation.
18 *Biotechnol Lett* **8**(11):827–832
- 19 Mitchell DA, Doelle HW and Greenfield PF (1988) Improvement of growth of *Rhizopus*
20 *oligosporus* on a model solid substrate. *Biotechnol Lett* **10**:497–501
- 21 Mitchell DA, Greenfield PF and Doelle HW (1990) An empirical model of growth of *Rhizopus*
22 *oligosporus* in SSF. *World J Microbiol Biotechnol* **6**(2): 201–208
- 23 Mitchell DA, Von Meien OF, Krieger N (2004) Modelling in SSF. In: Concise Encyclopedia of
24 Bioresource Technology (A. Pandey, ed.). The Haworth press Inc. NY, pp. 709–717
- 25 Moo-Young M, Daugulis AJ, Chahal DS and Macdonald DG (1979) The waterloo process for SCP
26 production from waste biomass. *Process Biochem* **12**(10):38–40
- 27 Moo-Young M, Moreira AR and Tengerdy RP (1983) Principles of solid substrate fermentation.
28 In *Fungal Technology of Filamentous Fungi 4* (JE Smith, DR Berry and B Kristiansen,eds.). E
29 Arnold, pp. 117–144
- 30 Moloney AP, O'Rorke A, Considine PJ and Coughlan MP (1984) Enzymatic saccharification of
31 sugar beet pulp. *Biotechnol Bioeng* **26**:714–718
- 32 Moser A (1988) Bioprocess Technology. Kinetics and Reactors, Springer-Verlag, Berlin
33 pp. 198–204
- 34 Mudgett RE and Paradis AJ (1985) SSF of natural birch lignin by *P. chrysosporium*. *Enzyme Mi-
35 crob Technol* **7**:150–154
- 36 Munoz GR, Valencia JRT, Sanchez S and Farres A (1991) Production of microbial lipases in a SSF
37 system. *Biotechnol Lett* **13**(4): 277–280
- 38 Narahara H (1977) Effect of water activity on growth and yield of conidia of *Aspergillus*. *J Ferment
39 Technol* **55**:254–261
- 40 Narahara H, Koyama Y, Yoshida T, Pichangkura S, Ueda R and Taguchi H (1982) Growth and
41 enzyme production in a solid-state culture of *A. oryzae*. *J Ferment Technol* **60**: 311–319
- 42 Nigam P and Singh D (1996a) Processing of agricultural wastes in solid state fermentation for
43 microbial-protein production. *J Sci Ind Res* **55**(5–6) pp 373–380
- 44 Nigam P and Singh D (1996b) Processing of agricultural wastes in solid state fermentation for
45 cellulase production. *J Sci Ind Res* **55**(5–6): 457–463
- Nigam P and Singh D (1994) Solid-state (substrate) fermentation systems and their applications in
Biotechnology. *J Basic Microbiol* **34**(6):405–423
- Nigam P (1988) Protein enrichment of bagasse by solid-state fermentation for animal feed. Pro-
ceedings of 5th Convention and Symposium of Bioenergy Society of India, Baroda Oct 30–31
Dept of non-conventional energy resources, New Delhi
- Nigam P (1989a) Mixed culture solid state fermentation of bagasse for animal feed. Production. In
Proceedings of 52nd Annual convention of Sugar-Technologists' Association of India, pp. G
53–59

- 01 Nigam P (1989b) Studies on dairy-effluent utilization in SSF of bagasse for feed production.
02 In Symposium Impact of pollution in and from food industries and its management CFTRI,
03 Mysore May 4–5, pp. FPM 13:29
- 04 Nigam P (1990) Investigation of some factors important for SSF of bagasse for animal feed pro-
05 duction. *Enz Microbial Technol* 12(10):808–811
- 06 Nigam P and Prabhu KA (1985) Fermentation of bagasse for animal feed. *International Sugar*
07 *Journal* 87(1033):17–19
- 08 Nigam P, Pandey A and Prabhu KA (1987a) A note on utilization of bagasse for the production of
09 proteinaceous cattle feed. *Biological Wastes* 19(4):275–280
- 10 Nigam P, Pandey A and Prabhu KA (1987b) Cellulase and ligninase production by Basidiomycetes
11 culture in solid-state fermentation. *Biological Wastes* 20(1):1–9
- 12 Nigam P and Vogel M (1988) Selection of preculture conditions for solid-state fermentation of
13 sugar beet pulp. *Biotechnol Lett* 10(10):755–758
- 14 Nigam P and Vogel M (1990a) Protein-enrichment solid-state fermentation of sugar beet pulp.
15 *American Society of Microbiology Conference on Biotechnology*. ASM Chicago, Illinois,
16 pp. 7–10
- 17 Nigam P and Vogel M (1990b) *Process for the Production of Beet Pulp Feed by Fermentation*.
18 Patent No. DE 3812612 C2 1.3.1 990
- 19 Nishio N, Tai K, and Nagai S (1979) Hydrolase production by *A. niger* in solid-state cultivation.
20 *Eur J Appl Microbiol Biotechnol* 8:263–270
- 21 Nishio N, Kurisu H, and Nagai S (1981) Thermophilic cellulase production by *Talaromyces spp.*
22 in SSF. *J Ferment Technol* 59:407–410
- 23 Okazaki N, Sugama S, and Tanaka T (1980) Growth of koji mold on the surface of steamed rice
24 grains. *J Ferment Technol* 58:471–476
- 25 Opoku AR and Adoga PA (1980) Two-stage fermentation method for production of protein-
26 enriched feed from cassava. *Enzyme Microb Technol* 2:241–243
- 27 Oriol E, Raimbault M, Roussos S and Gonzalea GV (1988) Water and water quality in SSF of
28 cassava starch by *A. niger*. *Appl Microbiol Biotechnol* 27:498–503
- 29 Pamment NC, Robinson CW, Hilton J and Moo-Young M (1978) Solid-state cultivation of
30 *Chaetomium cellulolyticum* on alkali-pretreated sawdust. *Biotechnol Bioeng* 20:1735–1744
- 31 Pandey A (1990) Improvements in solid-state fermentation for gluco-amylase production. *Biolog-
32 ical Wastes* 34(1):11–19
- 33 Pandey A (1991) Effect of particle size of substrate on enzyme production in SSF. *Bioresour Tech-
34 nol* 37:169–172
- 35 Pandey A (1992) Recent process developments in SSF. *Process Biochem* 27: 109–117
- 36 Pandey A, Francis F, Sabu A, Soccol CR (2004) General aspects of SSF. In: Concise Encyclopedia
37 of Bioresource Technology (A. Pandey, ed.). The Haworth press Inc. NY, pp. 702–708
- 38 Pandey A, Soccol CR, Rodriguez-Leon JA, Nigam P. (2001) Solid state fermentation in Biotech-
39 nology: Fundamentals and Applications. Asiatech publishers Inc, Delhi Prado FC, Vanden-
40 berghe LPS, Lisboa C, Paca J, Pandey A, Soccol CR (2004) Relation between Citric Acid
41 Production and Respiration Rate of *Aspergillus niger* in Solid-State Fermentation. *Eng Life Sci*
42 4(2):179–186
- 43 Prema P, Thakur MS, Prapulla SG, Ramakrishna SV, Lonsane BK (1988) Production of gibberellic
44 acid by solid-state fermentation. *Indian J microbiol* 28:78–81
- 45 Raimbault M and Alazard D (1980) Culture method to study fungal growth in solid fermentation.
Eur:Appl Microbiol Biotechnol 9:199–209
- Raimbault M, Soccol CR, Illoki I, Trejo M, Saucedo G and Roussos S (1991) Estudo do cres-
cimento de *Rhizopus* cultivado em meio solido. In *Annals of Fenabio-Biolatina*. Sao Paulo,
Brazil, pp. III–92
- Ramakrishna SV, Suseela T, Ghildyal NP, Jaleel SA, Prema P, Lonsane BK and Ahmed SY (1982)
Recovery of amyloglucosidase from mouldy bran. *Indian J Technol* 20:476–480
- Ramesh MV and Lonsane BK (1987a) Solid-state fermentation for production of alpha-amylase.
Biotechnol Lett 9:323–328

- 01 Ramesh MV and Lonsane BK (1987b) A novel bacterial thermostable alpha-amylase system pro-
02 duced under solid-state fermentation. *Biotechnol Lett* **9**: 501–504
- 03 Ramesh MV and Lonsane BK (1990) Characteristics and novel features of thermostable alpha-
04 amylase produced under solid-state fermentation. *Starch/Starke* **42**:233–238
- 05 Rathbun BL and Shuler ML (1983) Heat and mass transfer effects in static solid-substrate fer-
06 mentations. *Biotechnol Bioeng* **25**:929–938
- 07 Reid ID (1989a) Solid-state fermentation for biological delignification. *Enz Microbiol Tech*
08 **11**:786–802
- 09 Reid ID (1989b) Optimization of SSF for selective delignification of aspen wood. *Enzyme Microb*
10 *Technol* **11**:804–809
- 11 Revah S and Lebeault JM (1988) In Solid-state fermentation in bioconversion of agro-
12 industrial raw materials (M. Raimbault, ed.). ORSTOM, Centre Montpellier, France,
13 pp. 53–59
- 14 Rodriguez JA, Bechstedt W, Echevarria J, Sierra N, Delgado G, Daniel A and Martinez O (1986)
15 Optimization of SSF of citrus dried peel by *Aspergillus niger* in a packed bed column. *Acta*
16 *Biotechnologica* **6**(3):253–258
- 17 Rodriguez JA, Echevania J, Rodriguez FJ, Sierra N, Daniel A and Martiner O (1985) *Biotechnol*
18 *Lett* **7**(8):577–580
- 19 Sato K, Nagatani M and Sato S (1982) A method of supplying moisture to the medium in a solid-
20 state culture with forced aeration. *J Ferment Technol* **60**:607–610
- 21 Sato K, Miyazaki S, Matsumoto N, Yoshizawa K and Nakamura K (1988) Pilot scale solid-state
22 ethanol fermentation by inert gas circulation. *J Ferment Technol* **66**(2): 173–180
- 23 Schaffeld G and Illanes A (1986) Pilot plant process for the production of protein enriched sugar
24 beet pulp. In *Annals of the 14th International Congress of Microbiology*. Manchester, UK
- 25 Shah NK, Ramamurthy V and Kothari RM (1991) Comparative profiles of fungal alpha-amylase
26 production by submerged and surface fermentation. *Biotechnol Lett* **13**:361–364
- 27 Shamala TR and Sreekantiah KR (1986) Production of cellulase and D-xylanase by some selected
28 fungal isolates. *Enzyme Microb Technol* **8**:178–182
- 29 Senez JC, Raimbault M, and Deschamps F (1980) Protein-enrichment of starchy substrates for
30 animal feeds by solid-state fermentation. *World Anim Rev* **35**:36–40
- 31 Siessere V and Said S (1989) Pectic enzymes production in SSF using citrus peel pellets. *Biotech-*
32 *mol Lett* **11**(5):343–344
- 33 Silman RW (1980) Enzyme formation during solid-state fermentation in rotating vessel. *Biotechnol*
34 *Bioeng* **22**:411–420
- 35 Singh AB, Abidi AB, Darmwal NS and Agrawal AK (1989) Evaluation of chemical for biodegra-
36 dation of agricultural lignocellulosic wastes by *A. niger*. *MIRCEN J Appl Microbiol Biotechnol*
37 **5**(4):451–456
- 38 Singh K, Rai SN, Neelkantan S and Han YW (1990) Biochemical profiles of solid state fermented
39 wheat straw with *Coprinus fimetarius*. *Indian J Anim Sci* **60**(8):484–490
- 40 Smith RE, Osothsilp C, Bicho P and Gregory KF (1986) Improvement in the protein content of
41 cassava by *S. pulverulentum* in solid state culture. *Biotechnol Lett* **8**(1):31–36
- 42 Sosulsky K and Coxworth E (1988) Carbohydrate hydrolysis of canola to enhance oil extraction
43 with hexane. *J Am Oil Chem Soc* **65**:357–361
- 44 Srikanta S, Jaleel SA, Ghildyal NP and Lonsane BK (1992) Techno-economic feasibility of ethanol
45 production. *Die-Nahrung Food* **36**:253–258
- Steinkraus KH (1984) Solid-state (solid substrate) food/beverage fermentations involving fungi.
Acta Biotechnol. **4**:83–88
- Streeter CL, Conway KE and Horn GW (1981) Effect of *P. ostreatus* and *E. carotovora* on wheat
straw digestibility. *Mycologia* **73**:1040–1048
- Tautorius TE and Chalmers WT (1984) Pilot plant production of single-cell protein utilizing *C.*
cellulolyticum. *Dev Ind Microbiol* **25**:621–624
- Tengerdy RP (1985) Solid substrate fermentation. *Trends Biotechnol* **3**:96–99
- Thakur MS, Karanth NG and Krishna N (1990) Production of Fungal rennet using solid state
fermentation. *Appl Microbiol Biotechnol* **32**:409–413

- 01 Thomas TD and Turner K W (1981) Carbohydrate fermentation by *Streptococcus cremoris* and *S.*
02 *lactis* growing in agar gels. *Appl Environ Microbiol* **41**:1289–1294
- 03 Ulmer DC, Tegerdy RP and Murphy VG (1981) SSF of steam treated feedlot waste fibers with *C.*
04 *cellulolyticum*. *Biotechnol Bioeng Symp* **11**:449–461
- 05 Vaccarino C, Lo Carto R, Tripodo MM, Tripodo MM, Patane R, Lagana G and Schacter S (1989)
06 SCP from orange peel by fermentation. *Biological Wastes* **29**:279–287
- 07 Vezina C and Singh K (1975) Transformation of organic compounds by fungal spores. In *The*
08 *filamentous fungi* vol. 1, (J. E. Smith, J.E. and D. R. Berry, eds.). Edward Arnold, London, pp.
09 158–192
- 10 Vieira MJF, Spadaro ACC and Said S (1991) Separation of the components of pectolytic complex
11 produced by *T. vulgaris* in solid state culture. *Biotechnol Lett* **13**(1): 39–42
- 12 Viesturs UE, Apsite AF, Laukevics JJ, Ose VP, Bekers MJ and Tengerdy RP (1981) SSF of wheat
13 straw with *C. cellulolyticum* and *T. lignorum*. *Biotechnol Bioeng Symp* **11**:359–369
- 14 Viesturs UE, Strikauska SV, leite MP, Berzincis AJ and Tengerdy RP (1987) Combined submerged
15 and solid-state fermentation for the bioconversion of cellulose. *Biotechnol Bioeng* **30**:282–288
- 16 Wang HH, Chiou JY, Wang JY, Hong CY and Tein WC (1984) Cephalosporin production by SSF
17 of rice grains. *J Microbiol Immunol* **17**:55–69
- 18 Wei CJ, Tanner RD and Woodward J (1981) Elucidating the transition between submerged culture
19 and solid-state bakers yeast fermentation. *Biotechnol Bioeng Symp* **11**:541–553
- 20 Xie G, West TP (2006) Citric acid production by *Aspergillus niger* on wet corn distillers grains.
21 *Let Appl Microbiol* **43**(3):269–273
- 22 Yadav JS (1988) SSF of wheat straw with *Alcalphilic coprinus*. *Biotechnol Bioeng* **31**(5):414–417
- 23 Yamauchi H, Akita O, Obata T, Amachi T, Hara S, and Yoshizawa K (1989) Production and
24 application of a fruity odor in a solid-state culture of *Neurospora* spp. *Agri Biological Chem*
25 **53**(1):2881–2886
- 26 Yang SS and Ling MY (1989) Tetracycline production with sweet potato residue by SSF. *Biotech-*
27 *mol Bioeng* **33**:1021–1028
- 28 Yokotsuka T (1985) Fermented protein foods in the Orient, with emphasis on shoyu and miso
29 in Japan. In *Microbiology of fermented foods*. (B.J.B. Wood, ed.). Elsevier Applied Science
30 Publisher, London, pp. 197–247
- 31 Yu PN, Han YW and Anderson AW (1976) Semi-solid fermentation of alkali treated straw. *Proc*
32 *West Sect Am Soc Anim Sci* **27**:189–191
- 33 Zadrzil F and Brunnet H (1982) SSF of lignocellulose containing plant residues. *Eur J Appl*
34 *Microbio. Biotechnol* **16**:45–51
- 35 Zadrzil F and Grabbe K (1983) Edible mushrooms. In *Biotechnology 3* (H. J. Rehm, and G. Reed,
36 eds.). Verlag Chemie, Weinheim, pp. 145–187
- 37 Zyta K (1992) Mould phytase and their applications in the food industry. *World J Microbiol*
38 *Biotechnol* **8**:467–472
- 39
40
41
42
43
44
45

01 **Chapter-10**

02	03	04	05	06	07	08	09	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22	23	24	25	26	27	28	29	30	31	32	33	34	35	36	37	38	39	40	41	42	43	44	45
Query No.	Page No.	Line No.	Query																																								
AQ1	198	31	"Robinson and Nigam 2008" is not listed in the reference list. please provide.																																								
AQ2	198	34	"Blanko et al. 1990" is not listed in the reference list. please provide.																																								
AQ3	199	06	"Prado et al. 2004" is not listed in the reference list. please provide.																																								
AQ4	199	26	Please specify whether "Reid 1989" is "1989a" or "1989b"																																								
AQ5	200	27	Please specify whether "Nigam et al. 1987" is "1987a" or "1987b".																																								
AQ6	201	01	Please provide citation for table 10.4																																								
AQ7	209	8	"Gervais and Buttut 1988" is not listed in the reference list. Please provide.																																								
AQ8	209	10	"Grajek and Gervais 1987" is not listed in the reference list. Please provide.																																								
AQ9	209	15	"Orlal 1988, Gervais and Bazelin 1986" are not listed in the reference list. Please provide.																																								
AQ10	210	44	Please specify whether "Ramesh and Lonsane 1987" is "1987a" or "1987b".																																								
AQ11	216	32	"Alazard and Raimbault 1981, Bajracharya and Mudgett 1979, Barnard and Hall 1983, Barnes et al. 1972, Barries-Gonzalez et al. 1988a, b, Biddlestone and Gray 1991, Búlock 1979, Cannel and Moo-Young 1980a, b, Carrizalez et al. 1981, Cochet et al. 1988, Corpe 1980, Georgiou and Shuler 1986, Ghildyal et al. 1985, Gibbon et al. 1986, Hafiz et al. 1990, Han and Steinberg 1987, Hang et al. 1982, Hesseltine 1983, Huang et al. 1985, Illanes and Schaffeld 1981, Joleel et al. 1988, Knapp and Howell 1980, Kumar and Lonsane 1988, Larroche and Gras 1986, Larroche et al. 1988, Ladish and Tsoa 1986, Lonsane et al. 1982, Lonsane and Karanth 1990, Lonsane and Ramesh 1990, Massiat et al. 1989, Moo-Young et al. 1979, 1983, Narahara 1977, Narahara et al. 1982, Nigam and Singh 1996a, b, Nigam 1988, 1989a, b, Nishio et al. 1981, Okazaki et al. 1980, Pamment et al. 1978, Pandey 1991, Raimbault et al. 1991, Rodriguez et al. 1986, Sato et al. 1991, Schaffeld and Illanes 1986, Shah et al. 1991, Senez et al. 1980, Sorulsky and Coxwarth 1988, Srikanta et al. 1992, Streeter et al. 1981, Taurus and Chalmers 1984, Tengerdy 1985, Thomas and Turner 1981, Ulmer et al. 1981, Vaccarinca et al. 1989, Wei et al. 1981, Yu et al. 1976, Zadrazil and Brunnet 1982, Zadrazil																																								

01	Query No.	Page No.	Line No.	Query
02				
03				and Grabbe 1983, Zyta 1992” are not cited in the text
04				part. Please provide.
05				
06				
07				
08				
09				
10				
11				
12				
13				
14				
15				
16				
17				
18				
19				
20				
21				
22				
23				
24				
25				
26				
27				
28				
29				
30				
31				
32				
33				
34				
35				
36				
37				
38				
39				
40				
41				
42				
43				
44				
45				

UNCORRECTED PROOF

01
02
03
04
05
06
07
08
09
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45

UNCORRECTED PROOF