

Manuscript version: Author's Accepted Manuscript

The version presented in WRAP is the author's accepted manuscript and may differ from the published version or Version of Record.

Persistent WRAP URL:

http://wrap.warwick.ac.uk/108790

How to cite:

Please refer to published version for the most recent bibliographic citation information. If a published version is known of, the repository item page linked to above, will contain details on accessing it.

Copyright and reuse:

The Warwick Research Archive Portal (WRAP) makes this work by researchers of the University of Warwick available open access under the following conditions.

Copyright © and all moral rights to the version of the paper presented here belong to the individual author(s) and/or other copyright owners. To the extent reasonable and practicable the material made available in WRAP has been checked for eligibility before being made available.

Copies of full items can be used for personal research or study, educational, or not-for-profit purposes without prior permission or charge. Provided that the authors, title and full bibliographic details are credited, a hyperlink and/or URL is given for the original metadata page and the content is not changed in any way.

Publisher's statement:

Please refer to the repository item page, publisher's statement section, for further information.

For more information, please contact the WRAP Team at: wrap@warwick.ac.uk.

1	Short-term outcome of first episode delusional disorder in an early
2	intervention population
3	Authors: Tobias Rowland ^a , Maximillian Birchwood ^a , Swaran Singh ^{a,b} , Nicholas
4	Freemantle ^c , Linda Everard ^b , Peter Jones ^{d,e} , David Fowler ^{f,g} , Tim Amos ^h , Max
5	Marshall ⁱ , Vimal Sharma ^j , Andrew Thompson ^{a,k*}
6	^a Division of Mental Health and Wellbeing, Warwick Medical School, University of
7	Warwick, Coventry, UK
8	^b The Early Intervention in Psychosis Service, Birmingham and Solihull Mental
9	Health Foundation Trust, Birmingham, UK
10	^c Department of Primary Care and Population Health, UCL Medical School (Royal
11	Free Campus), London, UK
12	^d Department of Psychiatry, University of Cambridge, Cambridge, UK
13	^e CAMEO, Cambridge and Peterborough NHS Foundation Trust, Cambridge, UK
14	^f Academic Unit of Psychiatry and Department of Psychology, University of
15	Sussex, Brighton, UK
16	gSchool of Medicine, University of East Anglia, Norwich, UK
17	^h Academic Unit of Psychiatry, University of Bristol, Bristol, UK
18	ⁱ School of Medicine, The University of Manchester, Manchester, UK
19	Early Intervention Service, Cherry Bank Resource Centre, Cheshire and Wirral
20	Partnership NHS Foundation Trust, Ellesmere Port, UK
21	^k North Warwickshire Early Intervention in Psychosis Service, Coventry and
22	Warwickshire Partnership NHS Trust, Coventry, UK
23	*Corresponding author

- 1 Correspondence to Andrew Thompson, Division of Mental Health and Wellbeing,
- 2 Warwick Medical School, Gibbet Hill, Coventry CV47AL, UK. E-mail:
- 3 Andrew.D.Thompson@warwick.ac.uk

Abstract

1

2 **Background:** Previous evidence suggests that delusional disorder has a later 3 onset and better functional outcomes compared to schizophrenia. However, 4 studies have not examined longitudinal outcomes in a first episode population, 5 where confounding factors may be adjusted for. 6 **Methods:** A nested case control study was designed within the National EDEN 7 study; a cohort of 1027 first episode psychosis patients. Patients with a baseline 8 diagnosis of delusional disorder (n=48) were compared with schizophrenia (n=262) at 6 and 12 months with respect to symptomatic and functional 9 10 outcomes. Regression analysis was used to adjust for possible confounders. 11 **Results:** Delusional disorder patients had a shorter duration of untreated 12 psychosis compared to schizophrenia but were similar in other baseline 13 characteristics. At baseline, delusional disorder patients had lower symptom 14 scores but higher function scores compared to those with schizophrenia. At 12 months the differences persisted for symptoms scores but not overall function 15 16 scores. After adjusting for baseline score, age and duration of untreated 17 psychosis, differences between the groups remained significant only for Positive 18 and Negative Syndrome Scale (PANNS) negative, general and total scores and 19 recovery rates. There were no differences in changes in outcomes scores. 20 **Conclusions:** Delusional disorder in a first episode psychosis population 21 presents with less severe symptoms, higher recovery rates and better 22 functioning than schizophrenia, but at 12 months differences are ameliorated when adjusting for baseline differences. 23

- 1 **Keywords:** Delusional disorder; Schizophrenia; First episode psychoses; Early
- 2 intervention; Outcome.

24

et al., 2015).

3 1. Introduction 4 The validity of delusional disorder as a diagnostic entity separate from 5 schizophrenia continues to be debated (Hui et al., 2015; Marneros et al., 2012). 6 Winokur initially refined the description of Kraeplin's 'paranoia' to describe 7 'delusional disorder' (Winokur, 1977), and in current diagnostic classifications it 8 occurs as delusional disorder in DSM-V and persistent delusional disorder in 9 ICD-10. Delusional disorder has an estimated prevalence of around 0.18% in the 10 general population and between 1-4% of psychiatric inpatient admissions 11 (Kendler, 1982; Perala et al., 2007), although the true prevalence is likely to be 12 higher as lack of insight prevents help seeking and recognition of the 13 illness(Perala et al., 2007). 14 Despite this, delusional disorder is widely assumed to have favourable functional 15 outcomes when compared to schizophrenia, despite ongoing delusional 16 symptoms which can be resistant to treatment (Marneros et al., 2012; 17 Opjordsmoen, 1988). This often leads to different treatment pathways within 18 psychiatric services, such as differential prescribing of antipsychotic medication 19 (Marneros et al., 2012) and service provision (Drake et al., 2000), while 20 noncompliance and disengagement with services has a detrimental impact on 21 treatment outcomes (Munro and Mok, 1995). This is further compounded by the 22 limited high-quality evidence for the effectiveness of treatments for delusional 23 disorder (Gonzalez-Rodriguez et al., 2016; Manschreck and Khan, 2006; Skelton

- 1 However, outcomes in delusional disorder have not been extensively
- 2 investigated in a first episode population where differences in symptomatology
- 3 and functioning have been more difficult to illicit (Hui et al., 2015). Extant follow-
- 4 up studies have either been limited by small samples or not considered other
- 5 possible confounders in the relationship between diagnosis and outcome.
- 6 However, the diagnosis of delusional disorder does appear to have some stability
- 7 (Fusar-Poli et al., 2016; Kulkarni et al., 2016; Marneros et al., 2012) although
- 8 others continue to question the distinction between delusional disorder and
- 9 paranoid schizophrenia (Hui et al., 2015).
- 10 The National Eden Study is a database of over 1000 patients admitted to Early
- 11 Intervention (EI) services in the UK and provides an excellent opportunity to
- investigate the outcome of first episode psychotic disorders in a larger sample
- with the ability to adjust for a number of potential confounders in the
- relationship between diagnosis and outcome. This study aimed to investigate 6
- and 12-month functional and symptomatic outcomes of first episode psychosis
- patients who present with a delusional disorder compared to those presenting
- with schizophrenia, in order to test the hypothesis that a diagnosis of delusional
- disorder leads to improved functional outcomes.

2. Materials and Methods

20 *2.1 Setting*

- 21 The current study was designed as a nested case-control within the National
- 22 EDEN database. The National EDEN study is a longitudinal cohort study
- 23 including 1027 first episode psychosis cases admitted to Early Intervention (EI)

- 1 services between August 2005 to April 2009 from in five geographical sites
- 2 across England: Birmingham, Cornwall, Cambridge, Norwich and Lancashire
- 3 (Birchwood et al., 2014). It aimed to evaluate the implementation and outcomes
- 4 of EI services across England. Ethical approval for the cohort study was given by
- 5 Suffolk Local Research Ethics Committee, UK. This study investigated those who
- 6 received an initial diagnosis of delusional disorder at baseline and compared
- 7 them to those with a diagnosis of schizophrenia.
- 8 2.2 Participants
- 9 The National EDEN study approached all patients referred to EI services in
- participating centres between August 2005 and April 2009 for inclusion in the
- study. Inclusion criteria were the same as criteria for acceptance into EI services
- 12 according to The Department of Health, which is 'first presentation of psychotic
- symptoms between the ages of 14 and 35 years'. The study excluded those with
- 14 'ultra-high risk' symptoms as they do not meet the criteria for psychosis. Of the
- 15 2097 patients that were eligible for inclusion 1027 consented to participate and
- were entered into the National EDEN study (49%). 825 patients completed the 6
- 17 month follow up and 791 completed 12 month follow up.
- 18 Diagnosis was established at baseline entry to the study using the OPCRIT
- 19 diagnostic tool (operationalised criteria computerized diagnostic
- system) (McGuffin et al., 1991) which generates ICD10 and DSM IV diagnoses
- based on analysis of case notes, and has shown good validity and inter-rate
- reliability in establishing psychiatric diagnoses (Williams et al., 1996). This study
- 23 included those with diagnoses of delusional disorder (n=48) and schizophrenia
- 24 (n=262) according to DSM IV made using OPCRIT criteria.

- 1 2.3 Assessments
- 2 A number of symptom and functional outcomes were available at 6 and 12-
- 3 month follow-up in the National EDEN study. This study utilised assessments of;
- 4 Positive and Negative Syndrome Scale (PANNS) total, general psychopathology,
- 5 negative and positive symptoms (Kay et al., 1987), Young Mania Rating Scale
- 6 (YMRS) total score (Young et al., 1978), Calgary Depression Scale for
- 7 Schizophrenia total score (Addington et al., 1993), EQ-5D measurement of
- 8 health-related quality of life (Brooks, 1996), Global Assessment of Functioning
- 9 (GAF) (Jones et al., 1995) symptom, disability and total score. Each of these
- scales are frequently used in psychosis research and have well established
- validity and reliability (Addington et al., 1992; Brooks, 1996; Jones et al., 1995;
- 12 Kay et al., 1989; Young et al., 1978).
- Duration of untreated psychosis (DUP) was recorded at baseline along with
- other demographic characteristics. DUP was defined as the delay between the
- onset of psychosis and the onset of criteria for treatment and was calculated
- using a combination of retrospective PANSS assessment, a semi-structured
- interview and patient notes (Birchwood et al., 2014). The onset of psychosis was
- considered to have occurred when participants scored 4 or above for one
- symptom from the positive scale of the retrospective PANSS, or a cluster of
- 20 symptoms including delusions, hallucinations or conceptual disorganisation
- 21 which reached a total of 7 or more in the positive subscale. Additionally, these
- 22 symptoms had to present for at least 2 weeks.
- Additionally, relapse and recovery were assessed using the Bebbington et al
- 24 method (Bebbington et al., 2006), which involved a combination of clinical

- 1 interviews and extracting information from case notes to determine changes in
- 2 symptoms. Using these criteria, remission can be classified as either full, partial
- 3 or absent. If there is no remission, then relapse is recorded as 'not recovered'.
- 4 Relapse can be a type 1 (full), type 2 (exacerbation) or non-recovery.
- 5 *2.4 Reliability across sites*
- 6 Research associates were trained in the use of scales such as PANSS, GAF, YMRS,
- 7 Calgary Depression Scale, relapse and recovery and DUP assessment. All staff
- 8 were required to attend a training programme and new staff were required to
- 9 achieve concordance rate of kappa r>0.75 compared to trainers when assessing
- tapes of previous interviews. Additionally, every 12 months five DUP
- assessments and five relapse and recovery assessments from all sites were
- independently assessed for concordance, with kappa r>0.75 required. Every 20th
- 13 PANSS assessment was observed by an experienced interviewer for site specific
- monitoring, and PANSS reliability was also assessed using a trained rater from
- each main site. The average intraclass correlation was 0.90 for positive scale,
- 16 0.89 for negative scale and 0.91 for general psychopathology scale. The overall
- agreement in relapse categories was 73% (kappa 0.62) with an intraclass
- correlation of 0.77 for time to relapse.
- 19 *2.5 Analysis strategy*
- 20 All statistical analyses were conducted in IBM SPSS version 24. The delusional
- 21 disorder and schizophrenia groups were compared with respect to baseline
- demographics including age, gender, ethnicity, DUP, education level, living
- 23 circumstances and occupation. The groups were also compared with respect to

- 1 PANSS, YMRS and Calgary Depression Scale at baseline, 6 months and 12
- 2 months, and EQ-5D health thermometer and GAF scores at baseline and at 12
- 3 months. Differences between the groups were assessed using the independent
- 4 samples t-test for continuous variables and χ^2 test for categorical variables. The
- 5 level of significance for all testing was set at p<0.05 for all statistical tests.
- 6 Regression models were created for each individual outcome of interest as the
- 7 independent variable and diagnostic group as the dependent variable. Covariates
- 8 included age and DUP, as well as the baseline score for the independent variable
- 9 being investigated.
- The change in each outcome was also compared between delusional disorder
 and schizophrenia groups, using a regression model with change scores as the
- independent variable, with diagnostic group as the dependent variable and age
- and DUP as covariates. Due to the relatively small number of delusional disorder
- patients, ethnicity and gender were not used as covariates in the models due to
- over-fitting, (Harrell et al., 1996) and the evidence relating ethnicity to outcome
- in psychosis is inconsistent (Chorlton et al., 2012). Regression models were
- created for change in PANSS, YMRS and Calgary Depression Scale at 6 and 12
- months, and for EQ-5D health thermometer and GAF at 12 months follow up.

3. Results

- 20 3.1 Sample information
- 21 Of the 815 patients with diagnostic information, a total of 48 patients with a
- diagnosis of delusional disorder and 262 patients with a diagnosis of
- 23 schizophrenia according to DSM IV were included in this study. However, the

- 1 number of patients that provided information for each assessment varied, and
- 2 the specific numbers of participants in each analysis are shown in tables 1, 2 and
- 3 3.
- 4 3.2 Baseline demographics
- 5 The were no differences in age of onset, gender or ethnicity between patients
- 6 with delusional disorder and schizophrenia (table 1). There were no overall
- 7 differences in educational level, living circumstances or occupational
- 8 circumstances between the groups, although when examined on a pairwise basis
- 9 a significantly larger proportion of those with delusional disorder were in paid
- employment (29.8%) compared to those with schizophrenia (13%) p=0.004.
- 11 Mean DUP was also significantly shorter in delusional disorder (135.8 days, 95%
- 12 CI 66.5-205.1) compared to schizophrenia (330.2 days, 95% CI 248.8-411.7)
- p<0.001. There was no difference in lifetime history of substance misuse
- between the groups (table 2).
- 15 3.3 Baseline symptoms and function
- 16 Baseline PANSS, YMRS, Calgary Depression Scale, EQ-5D and GAF scores for each
- group are shown in table 2. The delusional disorder group had significantly
- better functioning at baseline in terms of GAF total (delusional disorder mean
- 19 52.88 95% CI 47.31-58.45, schizophrenia mean 46.89 95% CI 44.77-49.01,
- 20 p=0.036), GAF symptom (delusional disorder mean 55.47 95% CI 50.02-60.91,
- 21 schizophrenia mean 48.85 95% CI 46.71-50.98, p=0.02) and GAF disability
- scores (delusional disorder mean 58.61 95% CI 53.58-63.64, schizophrenia
- 23 mean 48.7 95% CI 46.75-50.68, p<0.001) compared to the schizophrenia group.

- 1 PANSS mean scores were also significantly lower for the delusional disorder
- 2 group compared to schizophrenia, in positive (delusional disorder 14.44 95% CI
- 3 12.70-16.19, schizophrenia 16.75 95% CI 15.96-17.55, p=0.023), negative
- 4 (delusional disorder 13.26 95% CI 11.26-15.25, schizophrenia 16.09 95% CI
- 5 15.28-16.9, p=0.008), general psychopathology (delusional disorder 30.62 95%
- 6 CI 27.5-33.75, schizophrenia 34.01 95% CI 32.68-35.35, p=0.049) and total
- 7 (delusional disorder 58.14 95% CI 51.9-64.38, schizophrenia 66.23 95% CI
- 8 63.88-68.58, p=0.009) subsection mean scores. There were no differences in
- 9 YMRS, Calgary depression or EQ-5D health thermometer mean scores between
- the two groups.
- 11 3.4 6 and 12 months follow up symptoms and functioning
- 12 As shown in table 3, at 6 months the delusional disorder group has significantly
- lower PANSS mean scores than the schizophrenia group in positive (delusional
- disorder 9.92 95% CI 8.88-10.96, schizophrenia 12.65 95% CI 11.94-13.36,
- p<0.001), negative (delusional disorder 10.95 95% CI 9.70-12.20, schizophrenia
- 16 14.44 95% CI 13.62-15.26, p<0.001), general (delusional disorder 24.66 95% CI
- 22.40-26.92, schizophrenia 28.12 95% CI 26.95-29.28, p=0.017) and total
- 18 (delusional disorder 45.53 95% CI 41.66-49.39, schizophrenia 55.01 95% CI
- 19 52.75-57.27, p<0.001) subsection mean scores. Additionally, delusional disorder
- 20 patients had significantly lower YMRS mean scores (delusional disorder 2.0 95%
- 21 CI 1.07-2.93, schizophrenia 4.15 95% CI 3.39-4.90, p=0.001), although Calgary
- Depression Scale mean scores were not different between the groups.
- As shown in table 3, at 12 months the delusional disorder group continued to
- have lower PANSS mean scores than the schizophrenia group in positive

- 1 (delusional disorder 10.26 95% CI 9.07-11.44, schizophrenia 12.07 95% CI
- 2 11.38-12.77, p=0.01), negative (delusional disorder 10.69 95% CI 9.56-11.83,
- 3 schizophrenia 13.39 95% CI 12.57-14.21, p<0.001), general (delusional disorder
- 4 23.11 95% CI 20.97-25.24, schizophrenia 26.82 95% CI 25.60-28.04, p=0.014)
- 5 and total (delusional disorder 44.13 95% CI 40.29-47.97, schizophrenia 52.24
- 6 95% CI 49.84-54.64, p=0.001) subsection mean scores. Baseline, 6 and 12 month
- 7 PANSS subsection mean scores are shown in figure 1. Additionally, patients with
- 8 delusional disorder continued to have significantly better functioning in terms of
- 9 GAF symptoms (delusional disorder mean 67.79 95% CI 63.18-72.40
- schizophrenia mean 61.56 95% CI 59.16-63.96, p=0.042) and disability scores
- 11 (delusional disorder mean 68.24 95% CI 62.91-73.56, schizophrenia mean 59.29
- 12 95% CI 56.93-61.66, p=0.004), although the difference in GAF total score failed
- to reach significance at the 0.05 level (delusional disorder mean 66.53 95% CI
- 14 61.01-72.04, schizophrenia mean 60.43 95% CI 57.93-62.93, p=0.056). Baseline,
- 6 and 12 month GAF mean scores are shown in figure 2. There was no difference
- at 12 months between the groups in YMRS, Calgary Depression Scale or EQ-5D
- 17 health thermometer mean scores. There was also no difference in the number of
- patients who received antipsychotic treatment at 12 months. Relapse rates did
- 19 not differ between the groups, although a significant difference was seen in
- recovery rates with 72.3% of those with delusional disorder achieving full
- 21 recovery compared to 47.9% with schizophrenia, and only 2.1% of those with
- delusional disorder failing to achieve recovery compared to 14% with
- 23 schizophrenia (p=0.004).

- 1 At 12 months the delusional disorder group continued to have a significantly
- 2 larger proportion paid employment (27.1%) compared to those with
- 3 schizophrenia (12.6%) p=0.009.
- 4 3.5 Adjusted analysis at 6 and 12 months
- 5 Due to evidence of skew with data, 6 and 12 month outcomes underwent log
- 6 transformation prior to adjustment using regression models. The adjusted
- 7 analysis with each of the assessment outcomes as the independent variable is
- 8 shown in table 3.
- 9 Once adjusted for the corresponding baseline score, DUP and age the delusional
- disorder group still had significantly lower PANSS positive (p=0.022) negative
- 11 (p=0.011), and total (p=0.022) scores compared to the schizophrenia group at 6
- months. There was no significant difference between the groups for PANSS
- 13 general scores, YMRS or Calgary Depression Scale at 6 months follow up.
- 14 At 12 months follow up the delusional disorder group had significantly lower
- PANSS negative (p=0.045), general (p=0.032) and total (p=0.040) scores
- 16 compared to the schizophrenia group when adjusted for baseline score, DUP and
- 17 age. In the adjusted analysis there was no significant difference between the
- groups for PANSS positive scores, YMRS, Calgary Depression Scale, EQ-5D health
- 19 thermometer or any subsection of GAF scores. Once adjusted for age and DUP
- 20 the delusional disorder group continued to have significantly higher rates of
- recovery (p=0.002), but there was no difference between the groups for relapse
- at 12 months.
- 23 3.6 Analysis of change scores

- 1 The delusional disorder and schizophrenia groups were also compared with
- 2 respect to the change in each outcome from baseline to the 6 and 12 month
- 3 assessment (table 3). There were no significant differences between the groups
- 4 in the mean change in each outcome from baseline to either 6 or 12 months (data
- 5 not shown). Once adjusted using a regression model with DUP and age of onset
- 6 entered as covariates, there remained no significant differences in the change
- 7 scores for any outcome between the groups at either 6 or 12 months follow up.

4. Discussion

- 9 *4.1 Summary and interpretation of the results*
- 10 This nested case control used data from The National EDEN study to compare
- patients with a diagnosis of delusional disorder to those with schizophrenia and
- 12 assess differences in outcomes at 6 and 12 months. This is the first study that the
- authors are aware of to compare outcomes of first episode delusional disorder
- and schizophrenia patients in a longitudinal follow up study.
- 15 This study found that patients with a diagnosis of delusional disorder have
- better functioning and less severe symptomatology at initial presentation, which
- mostly persisted at 6 and 12 months follow up. After adjusting for age and DUP,
- patients with delusional disorder did not demonstrate any differences in
- 19 functioning scores compared to the schizophrenia diagnosis group. This may
- suggest that factors such as age of onset and DUP are the determinants of
- 21 functional outcome, rather than the diagnosis of delusional disorder itself.
- At 6 month follow up less severe positive and negative symptoms were
- 23 independently related to a diagnosis of delusional disorder, although the

1 difference in positive symptoms scores did not persist at 12 months, possibly as 2 the schizophrenia group continued to improve in this domain from 6 to 12 3 months. The only 12 month outcomes that appeared to be independently related 4 to a diagnosis of delusional disorder were negative and general psychopathology and total PANSS score. This contrasts with some previous studies of patients 5 6 with delusional disorder, which have generally found that they have improved 7 functional outcomes compared to schizophrenia patients (Opjordsmoen, 1988), 8 as well as less severe psychopathology (Marneros et al., 2012). However, this 9 study did find that those with delusional disorder had significantly higher rates 10 of recovery than those with schizophrenia, which remained significant after 11 adjusting for baseline characteristics. As the definition of recovery is based on 12 symptoms and correlates well with PANSS scores (Bebbington et al., 2006), this 13 likely reflects the less severe psychopathology in the delusional disorder group. 14 There were no differences between schizophrenia and delusional disorder when 15 looking at changes in the outcome scores, although both groups improved over 16 12 months on both symptom and function scores. This appears to suggest that 17 while patients with delusional disorder may present with a less severe illness 18 form, both groups showed similar symptomatic and functional improvement in 19 the short term. 20 Another interesting finding was the significantly shorter DUP in patients with 21 delusional disorder compared to schizophrenia. This is contrary to what has 22 been previously been suggested for delusional disorder, as it is often thought 23 that due to less severe illness, better functioning and poor insight patients 24 frequently delay help seeking (or others seek help for them) and therefore have

- longer DUP (Ibanez-Casas and Cervilla, 2012). Notwithstanding this, other
- 2 studies have shown similar (González-Rodríguez et al., 2015) or slightly shorter
- 3 DUP in patients with delusional disorder (Hui et al., 2015). The shorter DUP in
- 4 the delusional disorder patients in this cohort may explain the improved
- 5 functioning found in the unadjusted 12 month outcomes shown above.
- 6 The use of a cohort of first episode psychosis patients may explain some of the
- 7 differences compared with previous studies into delusional disorder. Previous
- 8 studies investigating delusional disorder patients have found an older age of
- 9 onset compared to schizophrenia (Marneros et al., 2012; Opjordsmoen, 1988),
- and may present more frequently around middle age (Manschreck and Khan,
- 11 2006). The mean age of onset in this study was almost identical for both groups
- 12 (21.3 and 21.7 years for schizophrenia and delusional disorder respectively) and
- considerably younger than previously reported for delusional disorder. All
- patients in this study were referred to EI services, which at this time in the UK
- treated patients aged 18-35 years, and therefore a significantly older age group
- was de facto excluded. Patients in this study also showed a significant male
- 17 predominance, and while this has been reported previously (Opjordsmoen,
- 18 1988; Opjordsmoen and Retterstol, 1991; Winokur, 1977), the proportion of
- 19 81% male is particularly high. Therefore, it may be that early onset delusional
- disorder patients have a different demographics, poorer functioning and worse
- 21 prognosis to the 'traditional' later onset delusional disorder, and instead present
- more similarly to schizophrenia, albeit with a milder illness form. On the other
- 23 hand, the presentation of delusional disorder at an earlier stage may have a
- significant effect on social integration, education and occupational activities, and

- 1 therefore these patients end up with poorer functioning as a result of the earlier
- 2 presentation.
- 3 *4.2 Strengths and Limitations*
- 4 This study included a large cohort of patients with first episode delusional
- 5 disorder and schizophrenia patients, with systematic, reliable assessments of
- 6 diagnosis, symptomatology and functioning, and naturalistic 12 month follow up.
- 7 This allowed for adjustment in a number of confounding factors when
- 8 investigating outcomes. Despite these strengths, there are a number of
- 9 limitations that need to be considered when interpreting the findings. At present
- the follow up data for the National EDEN study is only available up to 12 months,
- and short-term outcome measurements may not be sufficient to detect any
- divergence in the illness course of delusional disorder from schizophrenia which
- has been shown previously (Marneros et al., 2012; Opjordsmoen, 1988). There
- were some missing data and 6 and 12 month follow up points for both groups
- and the specific numbers for each outcome are detailed in table 3, but these were
- relatively modest and therefore missing data analysis was not performed.
- 17 Furthermore, diagnosis was assessed using OPCRIT diagnostic tool, which is not
- 18 a gold standard structured clinical interview. This diagnosis was not reassessed
- 19 at the 12 month follow up point, and therefore it is not possible to tell if there
- was some diagnostic shift between groups. Notwithstanding, a diagnosis of
- 21 delusional disorder at first presentation has been shown to have diagnostic
- stability over time (Fusar-Poli et al., 2016; Marneros et al., 2012). Previous
- 23 studies have also found differences in the nature of delusions experienced
- between delusional disorder and schizophrenia, and while detailed information

- 1 was available for PANSS scores, details on specific psychotic symptoms, such as
- 2 first rank symptoms and the nature of delusions were not assessed in this cohort.
- 3 Another possible explanation for the differences between this cohort and
- 4 previous studies investigating later onset delusional disorder is that many
- 5 patients with less severe symptoms who function well in the community may not
- 6 may never be referred to EI services. Such patients may only come to attention of
- 7 mental health teams when there is an issue of risk or life stressors affect their
- 8 ability to function, and may therefore present later in life.
- 9 While DUP was carefully defined and calculated from historical information,
- 10 accurate measurement remains difficult, especially so in delusional disorder
- 11 (Compton et al., 2007). Finally, while every effort was made to adjust for
- 12 confounding factors that are known to influence outcome and prognosis in
- psychotic illnesses, there may be further unknown factors which affect outcome
- which we have not adjusted for.
- 15 *4.3 Clinical Implications*
- 16 This study suggests that patients presenting with a first episode delusional
- disorder have less severe symptomatology and better functioning at baseline
- compared to patients presenting with first episode schizophrenia. The majority
- of these differences persisted at 12 months follow up, although after adjusting
- 20 for confounding factors only PANSS negative and general scores were
- significantly lower in the delusional disorder group, while schizophrenia
- 22 patients had lower rates of recovery. However, it could be considered that
- baseline differences in the two groups such as age of onset and DUP are inherent

- 1 characteristics of the diagnostic group rather than confounders. Therefore, the
- 2 unadjusted scores presented here should be considered as relevant to the
- 3 prognosis of delusional disorder in first episode patients. Nonetheless, the
- 4 differences in the adjusted scores demonstrates that early age of onset and
- 5 longer DUP still act as negative prognostic factors for those with delusional
- 6 disorder, leading to poorer functioning.
- 7 There were no differences in the change in outcome scores between the groups,
- 8 which suggests that both groups appeared to improve to a similar degree over
- 9 12 month follow up.

20

21

- 10 There has been much debate in literature as to the existence of delusional disorder as a separate diagnostic entity that is different to schizophrenia (Hui et 11 12 al., 2015; Marneros et al., 2012; Opjordsmoen and Retterstol, 1991). This study 13 adds a unique insight into this debate by reporting on a cohort of first episode 14 delusional disorder patients with a younger age of onset. Previous studies of 15 delusional disorder reporting improved functional outcomes have suggested that 16 delusional disorder has an older age of onset than schizophrenia (Kendler, 1982; 17 Manschreck and Khan, 2006; Marneros et al., 2012; Winokur, 1977). This may 18 not be directly comparable to the younger onset delusional disorder patients 19 described here, who appear to present with a shorter DUP, better baseline
 - difference in the course of the illness over 12 months. Further studies are

functioning and fewer symptoms than patients with schizophrenia, but little

- required to determine if there are differences in functional outcomes between
- 23 early and late onset delusional disorder.

- 1 Recent studies have suggested a dimensional concept of psychoses, and that
- 2 delusional disorder could be viewed as a 'partial psychoses', with fewer negative
- 3 symptoms than schizophrenia and fewer affective symptoms than schizoaffective
- 4 disorder (Munoz-Negro et al., 2015; Opjordsmoen, 2014). This study may
- 5 support this approach. Clinically this suggests that although delusional disorder
- 6 patients present with less severe illness than schizophrenia, EI services should
- 7 treat these patients similarly, as current evidence suggests they do respond to
- 8 antipsychotic treatment (Gonzalez-Rodriguez et al., 2016; Manschreck and Khan,
- 9 2006) and patients demonstrate improvement similar to that seen in
- schizophrenia. Further follow up studies are required to determine whether
- 11 younger onset delusional disorder patients retain their diagnosis over time, and
- whether illness courses diverge from schizophrenia in the longer term.

13 References

- 14 Addington, D., Addington, J., Maticka-Tyndale, E., 1993. Assessing depression in
- schizophrenia: the Calgary Depression Scale. The British journal of psychiatry.
- 16 Supplement(22), 39-44.
- Addington, D., Addington, J., Maticka-Tyndale, E., Joyce, J., 1992. Reliability and
- validity of a depression rating scale for schizophrenics. Schizophr Res 6(3), 201-
- 19 208.
- Bebbington, P.E., Craig, T., Garety, P., Fowler, D., Dunn, G., Colbert, S., Fornells-
- 21 Ambrojo, M., Kuipers, E., 2006. Remission and relapse in psychosis: operational
- definitions based on case-note data. Psychol Med 36(11), 1551-1562.
- 23 Birchwood, M., Lester, H., McCarthy, L., Jones, P., Fowler, D., Amos, T., Freemantle,
- N., Sharma, V., Lavis, A., Singh, S., Marshall, M., 2014. The UK national evaluation

- 1 of the development and impact of Early Intervention Services (the National
- 2 EDEN studies): study rationale, design and baseline characteristics. Early Interv
- 3 Psychiatry 8(1), 59-67.
- 4 Brooks, R., 1996. EuroQol: the current state of play. Health policy (Amsterdam,
- 5 Netherlands) 37(1), 53-72.
- 6 Chorlton, E., McKenzie, K., Morgan, C., Doody, G., 2012. Course and outcome of
- 7 psychosis in black Caribbean populations and other ethnic groups living in the
- 8 UK: a systematic review. The International journal of social psychiatry 58(4),
- 9 400-408.
- 10 Compton, M., Carter, T., Bergner, E., Franz, L., Stewart, T., Trotman, H.,
- McGlashan, T., McGorry, P., 2007. Defining, operationalizing and measuring the
- duration of untreated psychosis: advances, limitations and future directions.
- Early Intervention in Psychiatry 1(3), 236-250.
- Drake, R.J., Haley, C.J., Akhtar, S., Lewis, S.W., 2000. Causes and consequences of
- duration of untreated psychosis in schizophrenia. The British journal of
- psychiatry: the journal of mental science 177, 511-515.
- Fusar-Poli, P., Cappucciati, M., Rutigliano, G., Heslin, M., Stahl, D., Brittenden, Z.,
- Caverzasi, E., McGuire, P., Carpenter, W.T., 2016. Diagnostic Stability of ICD/DSM
- 19 First Episode Psychosis Diagnoses: Meta-analysis. Schizophrenia bulletin.
- 20 Gonzalez-Rodriguez, A., Catalan, R., Penades, R., Ruiz, V., Torra, M., Bernardo, M.,
- 21 2016. Antipsychotic response in delusional disorder and schizophrenia: a
- prospective cohort study. Actas espanolas de psiquiatria 44(4), 125-135.
- González-Rodríguez, A., Molina-Andreu, O., Penadés, R., Garriga, M., Pons, A.,
- 24 Catalán, R., Bernardo, M., 2015. Delusional Disorder over the Reproductive Life

- 1 Span: The Potential Influence of Menopause on the Clinical Course. Schizophr
- 2 Res Treatment 2015.
- 3 Harrell, F.E., Jr., Lee, K.L., Mark, D.B., 1996. Multivariable prognostic models:
- 4 issues in developing models, evaluating assumptions and adequacy, and
- 5 measuring and reducing errors. Statistics in medicine 15(4), 361-387.
- 6 Hui, C.L.M., Lee, E.H.M., Chang, W.C., Chan, S.K.W., Lin, J., Xu, J.Q., Chen, E.Y.H.,
- 7 2015. Delusional disorder and schizophrenia: a comparison of the
- 8 neurocognitive and clinical characteristics in first-episode patients. Psychol Med
- 9 45(14), 3085-3095.
- 10 Ibanez-Casas, I., Cervilla, J.A., 2012. Neuropsychological research in delusional
- disorder: a comprehensive review. Psychopathology 45(2), 78-95.
- Jones, S.H., Thornicroft, G., Coffey, M., Dunn, G., 1995. A brief mental health
- outcome scale-reliability and validity of the Global Assessment of Functioning
- 14 (GAF). The British journal of psychiatry: the journal of mental science 166(5),
- 15 654-659.
- 16 Kay, S., Fiszbein, A., Opler, L., 1987. The positive and negative syndrome scale
- 17 (PANSS) for schizophrenia. Schizophrenia bulletin 13, 261-275.
- 18 Kay, S.R., Opler, L.A., Lindenmayer, J.P., 1989. The Positive and Negative
- 19 Syndrome Scale (PANSS): rationale and standardisation. The British journal of
- 20 psychiatry. Supplement(7), 59-67.
- Kendler, K.S., 1982. Demography of paranoid psychosis (delusional disorder): a
- review and comparison with schizophrenia and affective illness. Arch Gen
- 23 Psychiatry 39(8), 890-902.
- Kulkarni, K.R., Arasappa, R., Prasad, K.M., Zutshi, A., Chand, P.K., Muralidharan, K.,
- Murthy, P., 2016. Clinical Presentation and Course of Persistent Delusional

- 1 Disorder: Data From a Tertiary Care Center in India. The primary care
- 2 companion for CNS disorders 18(1).
- 3 Manschreck, T.C., Khan, N.L., 2006. Recent advances in the treatment of
- 4 delusional disorder. Canadian journal of psychiatry. Revue canadienne de
- 5 psychiatrie 51(2), 114-119.
- 6 Marneros, A., Pillmann, F., Wustmann, T., 2012. Delusional Disorders—Are They
- 7 Simply Paranoid Schizophrenia? Schizophrenia bulletin 38(3), 561-568.
- 8 McGuffin, P., Farmer, A., Harvey, I., 1991. A polydiagnostic application of
- 9 operational criteria in studies of psychotic illness. Development and reliability of
- the OPCRIT system. Arch Gen Psychiatry 48(8), 764-770.
- 11 Munoz-Negro, J.E., Ibanez-Casas, I., de Portugal, E., Ochoa, S., Dolz, M., Haro, J.M.,
- Ruiz-Veguilla, M., del Castillo Jde, D., Cervilla, J.A., 2015. A dimensional
- comparison between delusional disorder, schizophrenia and schizoaffective
- 14 disorder. Schizophr Res 169(1-3), 248-254.
- Munro, A., Mok, H., 1995. An overview of treatment in paranoia/delusional
- disorder. Canadian journal of psychiatry. Revue canadienne de psychiatrie
- 17 40(10), 616-622.
- 18 Opjordsmoen, S., 1988. Long-term course and outcome in delusional disorder.
- 19 Acta psychiatrica Scandinavica 78(5), 576-586.
- 20 Opjordsmoen, S., 2014. Delusional disorder as a partial psychosis. Schizophrenia
- 21 bulletin 40(2), 244-247.
- 22 Opjordsmoen, S., Retterstol, N., 1991. Delusional disorder: the predictive validity
- of the concept. Acta psychiatrica Scandinavica 84(3), 250-254.
- Perala, J., Suvisaari, J., Saarni, S.I., Kuoppasalmi, K., Isometsa, E., Pirkola, S.,
- Partonen, T., Tuulio-Henriksson, A., Hintikka, J., Kieseppa, T., Harkanen, T.,

- 1 Koskinen, S., Lonnqvist, J., 2007. Lifetime prevalence of psychotic and bipolar I
- disorders in a general population. Arch Gen Psychiatry 64(1), 19-28.
- 3 Skelton, M., Khokhar, W.A., Thacker, S.P., 2015. Treatments for delusional
- 4 disorder. Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews(5).
- 5 Williams, J., Farmer, A.E., Ackenheil, M., Kaufmann, C.A., McGuffin, P., 1996. A
- 6 multicentre inter-rater reliability study using the OPCRIT computerized
- 7 diagnostic system. Psychol Med 26(4), 775-783.
- 8 Winokur, G., 1977. Delusional disorder (paranoia). Compr Psychiatry 18(6), 511-
- 9 521.
- Young, R.C., Biggs, J.T., Ziegler, V.E., Myer, D.A., 1978. A rating scale for mania:
- reliability, validity and sensitivity. British Journal of Psychiatry 133, 429-435.

12 Figure Legends

- Figure 1: Mean PANSS positive, negative, general and total scores at baseline, 6
- months and 12 months for delusional disorder and schizophrenia groups.
- Figure 2: Mean GAF total, symptom and disability scores at baseline and 12
- 16 months for delusional disorder and schizophrenia groups.

- 18 Table 1: Baseline demographics and substance use of the sample by diagnostic
- 19 group

	Schizophrenia*	Delusional	Total*	P value
		disorder *		
Age at onset				0.607
N total	252	47	299	
Mean (SD)	21.28 (4.95)	21.68 (4.86)	21.34	
95% CI	(20.66, 21.89)	(20.26, 23.11)	(20.78, 21.9)	
Gender				0.224
N total	262	48	310	
Male (%)	191 (72.9)	39 (81.3)	230 (74.2)	

DUP				<0.001
N total	258	47	305	
Mean (SD)	330.26 (664.4)	135.79 (236.1)	300.3 (621.8)	
95% CI	(248.81, 411.72)	(66.46, 205.12)	(230.24, 370.35)	
Educational level/Qualifications				0.217
N total (%)	257	47	304	
None	71 (27.6%)	7 (14.9%)	78 (25.7%)	
Basic (GCSE/NVQ ½)	111 (43.2%)	23 (48.9%)	134 (44.1%)	
Advanced (A level/BTEC/NVQ3)	58 (22.6%)	11 (23.4%)	69 (22.7%)	
Degree/HND/NVQ 4+	16 (6.2%)	5 (10.6%)	21 (6.9%)	
Special needs educational	1 (0.4%)	1 (2.1%)	2 (0.7%)	
qualifications				
Ethnicity				0.249
N total	262	48	310	
Asian	49 (18.7%)	3 (6.3%)	52 (16.8%)	
Black	23 (8.8%)	5 (10.4%)	28 (9%)	
Caucasian	172 (65.6%)	36 (75%)	208 (67.1%)	
Mixed	15 (5.7%)	4 (8.3%)	19 (6.1%)	
Other	3 (1.1%)	0 (0%)	3 (1%)	
Living circumstances				0.494
N total	260	48	308	
Alone	39 (15%)	10 (20.8%)	49 (15.9%)	
With parents/guardians	171 (65.8%)	26 (54.2%)	197 (64.0%)	
With partner	16 (6.2%)	4 (8.3%)	20 (6.5%)	
Other	34 (13.1%)	8 (16.7%)	42 (13.6%)	
Occupational circumstances				0.085
N total	261	47	308	
Working (paid)	34 (13%)	14 (29.8%)	48 (15.6%)	
Working (voluntary)	4 (1.5%)	0 (0%)	4 (1.3%)	
Unemployed	178 (68.2%)	28 (59.6%)	206 (66.9%)	
Homemaker	6 (2.3%)	1 (2.1%)	7 (2.3%)	
Student	37 (14.2%)	4 (8.5%)	41 (13.3%)	
Other	2 (0.8%)	0 (0%)	2 (0.6%)	

DUP, duration of untreated psychosis; GCSE, General Certificate of Secondary Education; NVQ, National Vocational Qualification; BTEC, Business and Technology Educational Council; HND, Higher National Diploma.

5

6 Table 2: Baseline symptoms and functioning scores by diagnostic group

		Schizophrenia*	Delusional	Total*	Mean	P value
			disorder*		difference	
PANSS	N	244	45	289		0.023
positive	Mean score (SD)	16.75 (6.32)	14.44 (5.81)	16.39 (6.29)	2.31	
	95% CI	(15.96, 17.55)	(12.70,	(15.67,	(0.31, 4.31)	
			16.19)	17.12)		
PANSS	N	241	43	284		0.008
negative	Mean score (SD)	16.09 (6.38)	13.26 (6.5)	15.66 (6.46)	2.84	
	95% CI	(15.28, 16.9)	(11.26,	(14.91,	(0.75, 4.92)	
			15.25)	16.42)		
PANSS	N	244	45	289		0.049
general	Mean score (SD)	34.01 (10.58)	30.62 (10.41)	33.48 (10.61)	3.39	
	95% CI	(32.68, 35.35)	(27.5, 33.75)	(32.26,	(0.02, 6.76)	
				34.71)		
PANSS total	N	237	43	280		0.009
	Mean score (SD)	66.23 (18.38)	58.14 (20.28)	64.99 (18.87)	8.09	
	95% CI	(63.88, 68.58)	(51.9, 64.38)	(62.77,	(1.99,	
				67.21)	14.18)	

⁴ P-values in bold indicate significance at the <0.05 level.

Calgary	N	245	44	289		0.563
Depression	Mean score (SD)	5.46 (5.17)	5.95 (5.4)	5.54 (5.20)	-0.49	
Scale	95% CI	(4.81, 6.11)	(4.31, 7.6)	(4.93, 6.14)	(-2.17,	
					1.18)	
YMRS	N	244	42	286		0.250
	Mean score (SD)	6.78 (7.30)	5.36 (8.0)	6.57 (7.40)	1.43	
	95% CI	(5.86, 7.7)	(2.88, 7.84)	(5.71, 7.44)	(-1.01,	
					3.86)	
GAF total	N	248	42	290		0.036
	Mean score (SD)	46.89 (16.96)	52.88 (17.88)	47.76 (17.20)	-5.99	
	95% CI	(44.77, 49.01)	(47.31,	(45.77,	(-11.61, -	
			58.45)	49.74)	0.38)	
GAF	N	246	43	289		0.020
symptoms	Mean score (SD)	48.85 (17.00)	55.47 (17.70)	49.83 (17.24)	-6.62	
	95% CI	(46.71, 50.98)	(50.02,	(47.83,	(-12.18, -	
			60.91)	51.83)	1.06)	
GAF	N	247	41	288		< 0.001
disability	Mean score (SD)	48.70 (15.70)	58.61 (15.90)	50.13 (16.08)	-9.89	
	95% CI	(46.75, 50.68)	(53.58,	(48.26,	(-15.11, -	
			63.64)	51.99)	4.67)	
EQ-5D	N	227	36	263		0.689
health	Mean score (SD)	61.63 (22.36)	60.00 (24.11)	61.40	1.63	
thermomet	95% CI	(58.70, 64.55)	(51.84,	(58.66,	(-6.36,	
er			68.16)	64.14)	9.61)	
Lifetime	Yes	176	32	208		0.751
substance						
use	No	74	15	89		
(n=297)						

^{1 *}overall N for Delusional Disorder = 48, for schizophrenia = 262 and total = 310, number vary slightly by individual symptom outcome

Footnote: GAF= Global Assessment of Functioning scale; YMRS= Young Mania Rating Scale; PANSS= Positive and Negative Syndrome Scale; EQ= EuroQol

 $^{5 \}qquad \text{P-values in bold indicate significance at the $<$ 0.05 level.}$

Table 3: 6 and 12 month symptom and functional outcomes for Delusional Disorder and Schizophrenia unadjusted and adjusted for baseline score, DUP and age after natural log transformation

		Unadjusted 6 month outcomes				Adjusted 6 month outcomes* 6 month change scores			Unadjusted 12 month outcomes			Adjusted 12 month outcomes*		12 month change score	
		Schizophrenia	Delusional disorder	P value	P value	Beta	P value	Beta	Schizophrenia	Delusional disorder	P value	P value	Beta	P value	Beta
PANSS positive	N Mean 95% CI	201 12.65 (11.94, 13.36)	38 9.92 (8.88, 10.96)	<0.001	0.022	-0.142	0.389	-0.060	205 12.07 (11.38, 12.77)	39 10.26 (9.07, 11.44)	0.010	0.127	-0.099	0.859	0.012
PANSS negative	N Mean 95% CI	199 14.44 (13.62, 15.26)	38 10.95 (9.70, 12.20)	<0.001	0.011	-0.147	0.371	-0.063	203 13.39 (12.57, 14.21)	39 10.69 (9.56, 11.83)	<0.001	0.045	-0.132	0.784	-0.019
PANSS general	N Mean 95% CI	200 28.12 (26.95, 29.28)	38 24.66 (22.40, 26.92)	0.017	0.161	-0.090	0.689	-0.028	205 26.82 (25.60, 28.04)	38 23.11 (20.97, 25.24)	0.014	0.032	-0.138	0.466	-0.049
PANSS total	N Mean 95% CI	198 55.01 (52.75, 57.27)	38 45.53 (41.66, 49.39)	<0.001	0.022	-0.139	0.442	-0.054	203 52.24 (49.84, 54.64)	38 44.13 (40.29, 47.97)	0.001	0.040	-0.134	0.766	-0.021
Calgary Depression Scale	N Mean 95% CI	208 3.80 (3.19, 4.42)	38 3.79 (2.38, 5.19)	0.986	0.462	0.056	0.767	-0.027	204 3.11 (2.56, 3.67)	38 2.21 (0.94, 3.49)	0.202	0.701	-0.032	0.134	-0.137
YMRS	N Mean 95% CI	211 4.15 (3.39, 4.90)	37 2.00 (1.07, 2.93)	0.010	0.528	-0.020			206 3.79 (3.07, 4.50)	39 2.95 (1.39, 4.51)	0.351	0.979	0.002	0.572	0.057
GAF total	N Mean 95% CI								217 60.43 (57.93, 62.93)	40 66.53 (61.01, 72.04)	0.056	0.179	0.085	0.906	0.008

GAF symptoms	N Mean 95% CI				214 61.56 (59.16, 63.96)	38 67.79 (63.18, 72.40)	0.042	0.070	0.112	0.826	0.015
GAF disability	N Mean 95% CI				214 59.29 (56.93, 61.66)	38 68.24 (62.91, 73.56)	0.004	0.083	0.111	0.821	-0.015
EQ5D health thermometer	N Mean 95% CI				182 66.03 (62.81, 69.25)	34 68.79 (60.78, 76.81)	0.505	0.301	0.074	0.285	0.081
Relapse (%) None Type 2 exacerbation Type 1 true					145 (66.5%) 47 (21.6%) 26 (11.9%)	34 (77.3%) 8 (18.2%) 2 (4.5%)	0.261	0.106			
Recovery (%) None Partial Full					36 (14.0%) 98 (38.1%) 123 (47.9%)	1 (2.1%) 12 (25.5%) 34 (72.3%)	0.004	0.002			
Antipsychotic treatment (%) N=310					34 (13%)	9 (18.8%)	0.287				

^{*}adjusted analysis with DUP (Duration of Untreated Psychosis), age and baseline scores as covariates, apart from the analyses for relapse and recovery when baseline scores were not a covariate

Footnote: GAF= Global Assessment of Functioning scale; YMRS= Young Mania Rating Scale; PANSS= Positive and Negative Syndrome Scale; EQ= EuroQol

P-values in bold indicate significance at the <0.05 level.