

The Development of Contraction Mappings in Metric Space Referencing

Megha Subhash Pingale
Mathematics Department
Sandip University
Nasik, Maharashtra
✉ meghakothawade17@gmail.com

Renu Praveen Pathak
Mathematics Department
Sandip University
Nasik, Maharashtra

ABSTRACT

The research into metric space has advanced greatly during the last few years. We have versions of metric spaces and b-metric spaces since few researchers have sought to change metric spaces. While others explored metric fixed-point theory to develop an infinite number of different contraction mappings. The goal of the research piece is to analyse and improve different contraction mappings with respect to metric spaces.

Subject Classification: 30L15, 30L99

KEYWORDS : Contraction mappings, weakly contractive map, multivalued mappings, rational contraction mapping, Ciric's Contraction Mapping.

INTRODUCTION

In 1905, Maurice Fréchet, a French mathematician, began exploring metric spaces. Metric space continues to exist in mathematics as an abstract set with a distance function known as a metric, only in the division topology. Let's analyze the different contraction mappings in metric space.

SUMMARY OF PRIOR RESEARCH

Definition: metric space (M.S.)

Assume metric or distance function $d: \wp \times \wp \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$ for non-empty set \wp . If

$$(1) d(v_1, w_1) = 0 \Leftrightarrow v_1 = w_1 \quad (2) d(v_1, w_1) = d(w_1, v_1) \quad (3) d(v_1, w_1) \leq [d(v_1, u_1) + d(u_1, w_1)]$$

for all $v_1, w_1, u_1 \in \wp$ Suppose d is a metric on \wp , we state, (\wp, d) is metric space. [1]

Backthin had a thought about b-metric space in 1989, and Czerwik later wrote that thought down in terms of b-metric space in 1993. Czerwik thus established b-metric space as the first concept.

Definition: b-metric space (b-M.S.)

Assume \wp is a set and take $s \geq 1, s \in \mathbb{R}$. A function $d: \wp \times \wp \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$ is considered a b-metric if $\forall v_1, w_1, u_1 \in \wp \Rightarrow$

$$(1) d(v_1, w_1) = 0 \Leftrightarrow v_1 = w_1 \quad (2) d(v_1, w_1) = d(w_1, v_1) \\ (3) d(v_1, w_1) \leq s [d(v_1, u_1) + d(u_1, w_1)]$$

A couple (\wp, d) is denoted as a b-metric space. [14]

Example: -

The space L_p ($0 < p < 1$) pertaining to all real functions $v(t), t \in [0, 1]$ so that:

$$\int_0^1 |v(t)|^p dt < \infty$$
 is a b metric space if we proceed

$$d(v, w) = \left(\int_0^1 |v(t) - w(t)|^p dt \right)^{1/p}$$
 for each

$$v, w \in L_p, \text{ where } a = 2^{(1/p)}$$

Augustin Louis Cauchy, a French mathematician, developed the Cauchy sequence idea. According to his theories, a series is said to be Cauchy if its terms arbitrarily approach one another as it moves forward. [8]

Definition: Cauchy Sequences & convergence in b-metric Spaces: -

Consider the sequence $(v_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ in b-metric space is called:

: Cauchy whenever $\epsilon > 0$ one can find, $n(\epsilon) \in \mathbb{N} : \forall n, m \geq n(\epsilon)$, we have $d(v_n, v_m) < \epsilon$

: Convergent whenever $\exists v \in \mathcal{X}$ to each $\epsilon > 0$, $\exists n(\epsilon) \in \mathbb{N}$ for each $n \geq n(\epsilon)$

We have $d(v_n, v) < \epsilon$ i. e. $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} v_n = v$ [8]

Definition: Complete b-Metric Space: -

(1) Assume that the space (\mathcal{X}, d) is b-metric. Subsequently a subset $\mathcal{K} \subset \mathcal{X}$ is then referred to as compact if and only if there is a Subsequence approaching an element in \mathcal{K} . for every sequence of \mathcal{K} 's elements.

: Closed whenever every sequence $(v_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ in Y converges to $v \Rightarrow v \in \mathcal{K}$

: The b-metric space is complete whenever each Cauchy sequence converges. [8]

Definition: b-Convergent Sequence: -

Let (\mathcal{X}, d) is a b-metric space. Then the sequence $\{v_n\}$ in \mathcal{X} is called:

: b- Convergent if and only if there exists $v \in \mathcal{X}$ such that

$$d(v_n, v) \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty \text{ symbolically } \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} v_n = v$$

: b- Cauchy $\Leftrightarrow d(v_n, v_m) \rightarrow 0$ as $n, m \rightarrow \infty$ [6].

Theorem: Every sequence in a metric space that converges is a Cauchy.

Remark: Not every Cauchy sequence in a metric space necessarily converges.

Example: Cauchy sequence

Assume sequence $v_1 = 1.41, v_2 = 1.414, v_3 = 1.4142, v_4 = 1.41421, \dots$ in usual metric space \mathbb{Q} , converging to $\sqrt{2} \Rightarrow$ it is Cauchy but $\sqrt{2} \notin \mathbb{Q}$.

Let $\mathcal{X} = (0,1]$ and $d(v, w) = |v - w|$ is metric and $\{v_n\} = \{\frac{1}{n} : n \in \mathbb{N}\} \Rightarrow$ is a Cauchy $\forall \epsilon > 0$ we have

$$d(v_m, v_n) = \left| \frac{1}{m} - \frac{1}{n} \right| < \epsilon$$

for all $m, n > 1/\epsilon$ on other hand $v_n \rightarrow 0$ but 0 does not belong to \mathcal{X} hence sequence is not convergent.

However, if $\mathcal{X} = [0,1]$ the sequence is Cauchy and is convergent. [4,5]

Definition: - Fixed point

Assume a nonempty set \mathcal{X} and $\mathcal{K} : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{X}$. If $\mathcal{K}(v_1) = v_1 \Rightarrow$ It's a fixed point. [7]

Table1: Fixed point of functions

Function	Fixed point	Contraction mapping	Metric space
e^v	No fixed point	Yes	(0,1)
$\log v$	No fixed point	Yes	\mathbb{R}^+
v^2	0 and 1	Yes, only in metric [0,1/2]	[0,1/2]
$\sinh v$	0	No	----
$\tanh v$	0	Yes	\mathbb{R}^+
$v + 1$	No	Yes	\mathbb{R}^+
$\frac{1}{v + 1}$	0.618033	No	\mathbb{R}
$mv + b$	$b/[1-m]$	Yes	\mathbb{R}

Definition: Fixed point of multivalued mapping

Nadler (1969): Assume $v_0 \in \mathcal{X}$ is a fixed point of the multivalued mapping f if, $v_0 \in \mathcal{K}v_0$ [19]

The term "contractive mapping" was initially introduced by Edelstein for the metric space.

Definition: Contraction mapping (C.M.)

Assume $\mathcal{K} : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{X}$ in metric space (\mathcal{X}, d) is C.M. if $v_1 \neq w_1$ entails $d(\mathcal{K}(v_1), \mathcal{K}(w_1)) < d(v_1, w_1)$ [18]

Example 1: Contraction Mapping (C.M.)

Define $\mathcal{X} = (0,1]$ alongwith, $d(v, w) = |v - w|$

Let $\mathcal{K} : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{X} \mid \mathcal{K}(v) = 1/2v$ is contraction mapping.

In the research Ciric [12], [13] investigated C.M., also known as Ciric's type C.M. In one research article "A generalization of Banach's contraction principle", author defined quasi C.M.

Definition: Ciric’s type C.M.

Consider $\aleph: \wp \rightarrow \wp$ in b-metric space named as Ciric’s type contraction $\Leftrightarrow \forall v, w \in \wp \exists h < 1$ so as

$$d(\aleph(v), \aleph(w)) \leq h \cdot \max\{d(v, w), d(v, \aleph v), \frac{d(v, \aleph w) + d(w, \aleph v)}{2}\}$$

Example2: Ciric’s C.M.

Define $\wp = [1, \infty)$ with $d(v, w) = |v - w|$. Assume $\aleph(v) = 1/v$ is Ciric’s C.M. where $h = 0.8$

Proof: $LHS = d(\aleph(v), \aleph(w)) = \left| \frac{1}{v} - \frac{1}{w} \right| = \left| \frac{v-w}{v \cdot w} \right| < |v - w|$

$RHS = 0.8 |v - w|$ Hence $LHS \leq RHS$

Let’s point out that Ciric [14] invented and researched quasi-C.M. According to the well-known Ciric finding having unique fixed point (U.F.P) [12], [13]

Example: 4:

Let $\aleph, \varrho: \wp \rightarrow \wp$ as $\aleph(v) = v$ and $\varrho(v) = v^2$ then Clearly 1 is the coincidence point.

Definition: [17]

- Let $\psi_1: [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ that fulfills the given requirements:
- Assures continuity of ψ_1
 - Non-decreasing is the function ψ_1
 - $\psi_1(\tau) = 0 \Leftrightarrow \tau = 0$

Alber and Guerre-Delabriere [16] first described the idea of the weak contraction in 1997.

Definition: Weakly contractive map (W.C.M.)

A self-map \aleph is considered a W.C.M. if $\exists \varphi_1: [0, +\infty) \rightarrow [0, +\infty)$ such that non-decreasing is the function φ_1 and assuring its continuity, and $\varphi_1(\tau) = 0 \Leftrightarrow \tau = 0$ satisfying $d(\aleph(v_1), \aleph(w_1)) \leq d(v_1, w_1) - \varphi_1(d(v_1, w_1)), \forall v_1, w_1 \in \aleph$ [17]

Remark 1

Consider the set $\aleph = [1, \infty)$, $\varphi_1: [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ with $\varphi_1(\tau) = \tau^2$, $\aleph(v_1) = v_1$, $d(v_1, w_1) = |v_1 - w_1|$ φ_1 fails to be a W.C.P.

Remark 2:

Whereas for the set $\aleph = [1, \infty)$, $\varphi_1: [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$, $\varphi_1(\tau) = \tau/2$, $\aleph(v) = 1/v$, $d(v_1, w_1) = |v_1 - w_1|$

Undoubtedly φ_1 is a W.C.M.

Remark 3:

The set $\wp = [2, \infty)$, with $\varphi(\tau) = \frac{\tau}{6}$, $\psi(\tau) = \frac{\tau}{3}$, $\aleph(v) = v$

Where $d(v, w) = |v - w|$ it is not (ψ, φ) -W.C.

Remark 4:

The set $\wp = [3, \infty)$, $\psi, \varphi: [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ with $\varphi(t) = \frac{t}{6}$, $\psi(\tau) = \frac{t}{3}$, $f(v_1) = \frac{1}{v_1}$, $d(v_1, w_1) = |v_1 - w_1|$ It is (ψ, φ) - weak contraction map.

Definition: - Altering distance function (A.D.F.)

Consider $\psi: [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ It is termed as an A.D.F. if:

- i) Assures continuity of ψ (ii) It is nondecreasing
- ii) $\psi(\tau) = 0$ exactly when $\tau = 0$ [16]

Remark 5:

$\psi(t) = t^2$ and te^t are A.D.F.

Definition: - Infinite altering distance function (I.A.D.F.)

An A.D.F. is an I.A.D.F. if is defined on \mathbb{R} [16]

Kirk et al. [24] worked on cyclic contraction mappings.

Definition: - Generalized cyclic contractive mapping (G.C.C.M.) [16], [6]

Let $\mathfrak{A} = A \cup B$, Assume, $\aleph: \mathfrak{A} \rightarrow \mathfrak{A}$, $A \subseteq \wp$, $B \subseteq \wp$: $A \neq \emptyset$, $B \neq \emptyset$, and (\wp, d, s) be a b-metric space. A G.C.C.M. is \aleph if:

- i) $\mathfrak{A} = A \cup B$ represents Y cyclically with regard to \aleph , that is, $\aleph(A) \subset B$ and $\aleph(B) \subset A$
- ii) There exist $\psi_1 \in \Psi$, $\varphi_1 \in \Phi$, $L \geq 0$ (constant) such that $\psi(s^d(\aleph v, \aleph w)) \leq \varphi(\psi(M(v, w))) + LN(v, w), \forall (v, w) \in A \times B$ or $(v, w) \in B \times A$ wherever

$$M(v, w) = \max\{d(v, w), d(v, \aleph v), d(w, \aleph w), \frac{d(v, \aleph w) + d(w, \aleph v)}{2s},$$

$$\frac{d(\aleph^2 v, v) + d(\aleph^2 v, \aleph w)}{2s}, d(\aleph^2 v, \aleph v), d(\aleph^2 v, w), d(\aleph^2 v, \aleph w)\}$$

$$N(v, w) = \min\{d(v, \aleph v), d(w, \aleph w), d(\aleph^2 v, \aleph^2 w)\},$$

In 1998, Jungck and Rhoades [20] developed the idea of weakly compatible maps and demonstrated that weakly

compatible maps are compatible maps, but the reverse is not necessarily accurate.

Definition: - Weakly Compatible

Consider $\mathfrak{N}, \varrho: \wp \rightarrow \wp$. in metric space (\wp, d) If $\mathfrak{N}u = \varrho u \Rightarrow \mathfrak{N}\varrho u = \varrho\mathfrak{N}u$ for some $u \in \wp$. Then \mathfrak{N} and ϱ are identified as weakly compatible. [2]

Definition: - K-contraction

Assuming $\mathfrak{N}: \wp \rightarrow \wp$ in metric space (\wp, d) is referred to as K-contraction if there can be found $a \in (0, 1/2)$: $\forall v, w \in \wp$ the subsequent inequality is valid:

$$d(\mathfrak{N}v, \mathfrak{N}w) \leq a[d(v, \mathfrak{N}v) + d(w, \mathfrak{N}w)] \quad [3]$$

In 1968, Kannan demonstrated that any K contraction on complete metric space (\wp, d) has a U.F.P.

A fixed-point theorem for C-contraction mappings was developed by Chatterjee in 1972. According to this theorem, a mapping \mathfrak{N} is a C-contraction if a \exists point at $\alpha \in (0, 1/2)$ where it fulfills condition below for every values of v and w :

$$d(\mathfrak{N}v, \mathfrak{N}w) \leq \alpha[d(v, \mathfrak{N}w) + d(w, \mathfrak{N}v)]$$

Definition: q-set-valued α -quasi-contraction

Assume b-metric space (\wp, d) and $\alpha: \wp \times \wp \rightarrow (0, \infty)$ is a mapping. The set-valued mapping

$\mathfrak{N}: \wp \rightarrow P_{b,d}(\wp)$ termed as q-set-valued α -quasi-contraction if

$$\alpha(v_1, w_1) H(\mathfrak{N}v_1, \mathfrak{N}w_1) \leq qM(v_1, w_1), \text{ for all } v_1, w_1 \in \wp, 0 \leq q < 1 \text{ and}$$

$$M(v_1, w_1) =$$

$$\max \{d(v_1, w_1), d(v_1, \mathfrak{N}v_1), d(w_1, \mathfrak{N}w_1), d(v_1, \mathfrak{N}w_1), d(w_1, \mathfrak{N}v_1)\} \quad [16]$$

Definition: - Multivalued mappings (M.P.)

Assume $\wp \neq \emptyset, \mathbb{I} \neq \emptyset$ and $\mathfrak{N}: \wp \rightarrow 2^{\mathbb{I}}$ then \mathfrak{N} is known as M.P. [19]

By applying a control function, Sessa et al. [23] established an altering distance function in the year 1984.

Definition: - Comparison Function (C.F.)

Suppose $\varphi_1: [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ φ_1 is termed as a C.F. if

(i) Assurance of increasing and

(ii) $\varphi_1^n(\tau) \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$ for any $\tau \in [0, \infty)$. [10]

Definition: - b-comparison function (b-C.F.)

Let $s \geq 1$ a real number. Suppose $\varphi_1: [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ is a b-C.F. if

- (1) If φ_1 is monotonically increasing and
- (2) $\exists n_0 \in \mathbb{N}, a \in (0, 1)$ and a convergent series of nonnegative terms $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} V_n$ so that $s^{n+1} \varphi_1^{n+1}(\tau) \leq a s^n \varphi_1^n(\tau) + V_n$ For $n \geq n_0$ and any $\tau \in [0, \infty)$ [10]

Definition: - α - ψ contractive mappings

Assume $\mathfrak{N}: \wp \rightarrow \wp$ in metric space (\wp, d) . Then \mathfrak{N} is α - ψ contractive mappings. Supposing there are two functions

\exists functions $\alpha: \wp \times \wp \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ and $\psi \in \Psi$:

$$\alpha(v, w)d(\mathfrak{N}v, \mathfrak{N}w) \leq \psi(d(v, w)) \text{ for all } v, w \in \wp \quad [10]$$

Authors Arsalan Hojat Ansari, Vishal Gupta, Naveen Mani in the year as 2010 had newly defined the infinite altering distance function.

Definition: - Infinite altering distance function (I.A.D.F.) [6]

Suppose $\psi: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ termed as an I.A.D.F. if the following criteria are met:

- i) ψ is non-decreasing as well as continuous
- ii) $\psi(\tau) = 0 \Leftrightarrow \tau = 0$

In the year 2012 Samet et al originated concept α admissible mapping as mentioned below.

Definition: - α admissible mapping

If for, $\mathfrak{N}: \wp \rightarrow \wp, \exists \alpha: \wp \times \wp \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ f is α -admissible mapping if $\forall v, w \in \wp, \alpha(v, w) \geq 1 \Rightarrow$

$$\alpha(\mathfrak{N}v, \mathfrak{N}w) \geq 1 \quad [10]$$

Remark 11

Let $\wp = (0, \infty)$ and $\mathfrak{N}: \wp \rightarrow \wp, \exists \alpha: \wp \times \wp \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ by

$\mathfrak{N}v_1 = \sqrt{(v_1)} \forall v_1 \in \wp$. Then f is α admissible mapping where

$$\alpha(v_1, w_1) = \begin{cases} e^{v_1 - w_1}, & v_1 \geq w_1 \\ 0, & v_1 < w_1 \end{cases} \quad [22]$$

Definition: - C-comparison function (C-C.F)

The function $\varphi_1: [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ is a C-C.F.

- (i) where it is increasing and
- (ii) $\exists n_0 \in \mathbb{N}, a \in (0,1)$ and a convergent series of nonnegative terms $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} V_n$ so that $\varphi_1^{n+1}(\tau) \leq a\varphi_1^n(\tau) + V_n$

For $n \geq n_0$ and any $\tau \in [0, \infty)$ [8]

Definition: - Ordered - ψ -Suzuki type rational contractive mapping

For partially ordered b-metric space (\wp, d, \preceq) . $\aleph: \wp \rightarrow \wp$ is an ordered - ψ -Suzuki type rational contractive mapping if $1/2s d(v_1, \aleph v_1) \leq d(v_1, w) \Rightarrow sd(\aleph v_1, \aleph w) \leq \psi(M(v_1, w))$ where

$$M(v_1, w_1) = \max \left\{ d(v_1, w_1), \frac{d(v_1, \aleph v_1)d(w_1, \aleph w_1)}{1 + s[d(v_1, w_1) + d(v_1, \aleph w_1)]}, \frac{d(v_1, \aleph w_1)d(v_1, w_1)}{1 + s[d(v_1, \aleph v_1) + d(w_1, \aleph w_1)} \right\}$$

$\forall (v_1, w_1) \in X$ with $v_1 \preceq w_1$ [25]

Later, Karapinar [21] changed admissible mappings by including additional requirements to create a triangular admissible mapping.

Authors Piyachat Borisut, Poom Kumam, Vishal Gupta and Naveen Mani [9] introduced A class of generalized (ψ, α, β) – weak contraction.

Definition: [9]

Suppose $\aleph, \varrho, S: \wp \rightarrow \wp$ are generalized (ψ, α, β) – weak contraction if $\forall v, w \in \wp$

$\psi(d(\aleph v, \varrho w) \leq \alpha(d(Sv, Sw) \beta(d(Sv, Sw))$ for all $v \geq w$ Where $\alpha \in F, \psi \in \Psi, \beta: [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ is a continuous function with condition $0 < \beta(\tau) < \psi(\tau)$

Example: [9]

Let $\wp = \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$ Defined $d(v_1, w_1) = \begin{cases} v_1 + w_1, & \text{if } v_1 \neq w_1 \\ 0, & \text{if } v_1 = w_1 \end{cases}$

Definition: - [6]

A mapping $F: [0, \infty)^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is C-class function if it is continuous and

- (i) $F(v_1, w_1) \leq v_1$

- (ii) $F(v_1, w_1) = v_1 \Rightarrow$ either $v_1 = 0$ or $w_1 = 0$ for all $v_1, w_1 \in [0, \infty)$ Moreover $F(0, 0) = 0$.

Authors [15] defined F-contractive type mappings in b-metric spaces as mentioned below.

Definition: [15]

Assume $\varrho: \wp \rightarrow \wp$ in b-metric space (X, d, s) , is F-contractive type mapping if $\exists \tau > 0 \mid d(v, \varrho v)d(w, \varrho w) \neq 0$ implies

$$\tau + F(sd(\varrho v, \varrho w)) \leq 1/3 [Fd(v, w) + Fd(v, \varrho v) + Fd(w, \varrho w)]$$

$$\text{and } d(v, \varrho v)d(w, \varrho w) = 0 \text{ implies } \tau + F(sd(\varrho v, \varrho w)) \leq 1/3 [Fd(v, w) + Fd(v, \varrho w) + Fd(w, \varrho v)]$$

CONCLUSION

Various scholars studied and worked in field of metric space. In the scholarly article we tried to rescript the various contraction mappings and the basic concepts in the reference of metric space. There are other further contraction mappings in the body of literature. The goal of this essay was to summaries the limited literature that was available.

REFERENCES

1. Aggarwal Jeetendra and Rajesh Singh, "Introduction to Metric Spaces", <https://www.researchgate.net/publication/311589083>
2. Akkouchi M., "Common Fixed-point theorem for two self-mappings of a B metric space under an implicit relation" Hacettepe Journal of Mathematics and statistics volume 40(6) (2011), 805-810
3. Ansari A.H., Chandok S. and Lonescu C., "Fixed point theorems on B-Metric spaces for weak Contraction with auxiliary functions" Ansari et el Journal of inequalities and applications, 2014;2014: 429
4. Mani N., Beniwal S., Shukla R., Pingale M., "Fixed point theory in extended parametric Sb- metric spaces and its applications", symmetry, (2023) 15:2136. <https://doi.org/10.3390/sym15122136>.
5. Mani N., Pingale M., Shukla R., Pathak R., "Fixed point theorems in fuzzy b- metric spaces using two different t -norms", Adv. Fixed Point Theory, (2023) 13:29. <https://doi.org/10.28919/afpt/8235>.
6. Ansari A.H., Gupta V., And Mani N., "C-Class Functions on Some Coupled Fixed-Point Theorems in

- Partially Ordered S-Metric Spaces” Commun. Fac. Sci. Univ. Ank. Ser. A1 Math. Stat., Volume 68, Number 2, Pages 1694-1708 (2019), ISSN 1303.5991 E-ISSN 2618-6470
7. Ansari Q.H., “Metric Spaces -Including fixed point theory and Set valued maps”, Narosa Publishing House Private Limited, ISBN 10: 818487099X / ISBN 13: 9788184870992, 2010
 8. Boriceanu M., “Fixed point theory for multivalued contractions on a set with two B metrics” Creative math and Inf 17(2008), No 3,326-332
 9. Borisut P., Kumam P., Gupta V. and Mani N., “Generalized (ψ, α, β) – Weak Contractions for Initial Value Problems” MDPI, Mathematics 2019, 7, 26
 10. Bota M.F., Karapinar E. and Mlesnite O., “Ulam Hyers Stability results for Fixed point problems via α - ψ contractive mappings in B-Metric spaces” Hindawi Publishing corporation Abstract and applied analysis Vol 2013, Article ID 825293
 11. Chugh R. and Kumar S., “Common fixed points for weakly compatible maps”, Proc. Indian Acad. Sci. (Math. Sci.), Volume 111, No 2, May 2001, pp, 241-247, Printed in India.
 12. Ćirić Lj. B., “On contraction type mappings”, Math. Balkanica. 1 (1971) 52–57.
 13. Ćirić Lj. B., “A generalization of Banach’s contraction principle”, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 45 (1974) 267 – 273.
 14. Czerwik S., “Contraction Mappings in B metric Spaces” Acta Mathematica et Informatica Universities, Vol1 (1993), No-1, 5—11
 15. Goswami N., Haokip N. and Mishra V.N., “F-contractive type mappings in b-metric spaces and some related fixed-point results”, Fixed Point Theory and Applications (2019) 2019:13
 16. Han Bui T.N., Hieu N. T., “A fixed point theorem for generalized cyclic contractive mappings in b metric space”, Facta Universitatis (NIS), Ser, Math, Inform, Vol, 31, No 2(2016), 399-415
 17. Iqbal M., Batool A., Ege O., and Sen M, “Fixed Point of Generalized Weak Contraction in b-Metric Spaces.” Hindawi Journal of Function Spaces, Volume 2021, Article ID 2042162.
 18. Janos L., “On the Edelstein Contractive Mapping Theorem”, Canad. Math. Bull. Vol. 18 (5), 1975
 19. Joseph M., Roselin D. and Marudai M, “Fixed point theorems on multi valued mappings in b metric spaces.”, Springer Plus (2016) 5:217
 20. Jungck G and Rhoades B. E., “Fixed point for set valued functions without continuity”, Indian J. Pure Appl. Math. 29(3) (1998) 227–238
 21. Karapinar E., “ α - ψ -Geraghty contraction type mappings and some related fixed-point results,” Filomat, vol. 28, no. 1, pp. 37–48, 2014.
 22. Kaushik P, Kumar S., and Tas K., “A New Class of Contraction in b-Metric Spaces and Applications” Hindawi, Abstract and Applied Analysis, Volume 2017, Article ID 9718535, 10 pages
 23. Khan M.S., Swalech M. and Sessa S., “Fixed point theorem by altering distances between the points”, Bull. Aust. Math. Soc. 30, 1–9 (1984)
 24. Kirk W.A., Srinivasan, P.S., Veeramani, P, “Fixed points for mapping satisfying cyclic contractive conditions.” Fixed Point Theory 4, 79-89 (2003)
 25. Latif A., Salimi P., Parvaneh V., Mazrooei A., “Various Suzuki type theorems in b-Metric spaces”, Journal of Nonlinear analysis and Applications JULY 2015.