



**INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF NOVEL RESEARCH  
AND DEVELOPMENT (IJNRD) | IJNRD.ORG**  
*An International Open Access, Peer-reviewed, Refereed Journal*  
**ISSN : 2456-4184**

The Board of  
**INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF NOVEL RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT**  
Is hereby awarding certificate to  
**DR. SURENDER SINGH**

In recognition of the publication of the paper entitled  
**Beyond the Limits of Saying: Linguistic Breakdown and the Affective Excess of Meaning**  
Published in Volume 11 Issue 1, January-2026, 13-01-2026 | Impact Factor: 8.76 by Google Scholar

Co-Authors -

Paper ID - IJNRD2601116  
Registration ID - 311691



  
Editor-in Chief

Transparent Peer Review Based on UGC CARE Approved Journal Publication and Global Indexing  
**INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF NOVEL RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT | IJNRD**

*An International Scholarly, Open Access, Multi-disciplinary, Indexed Journal*

**Website: [www.ijnrd.org](http://www.ijnrd.org) | Email: [editor@ijnrd.org](mailto:editor@ijnrd.org) | ESTD: 2016**

An International Scholarly, Open Access, Multi-disciplinary, Monthly, Indexing in all Major Database & Metadata, Citation Generator

Manage By: IJPUBLICATION Website: [www.ijnrd.org](http://www.ijnrd.org) | Email ID: [editor@ijnrd.org](mailto:editor@ijnrd.org)



**INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF NOVEL RESEARCH  
AND DEVELOPMENT (IJNRD) | IJNRD.ORG**  
An International Open Access, Peer-reviewed, Refereed Journal

**Ref No : IJNRD / Vol 11 / Issue 1 / 116**

**To,  
DR. SURENDER SINGH**

**Subject:** Publication of paper in INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF NOVEL RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT (Transparent Peer Review Based on UGC CARE Approved Journal Publication and Global Indexing).

Dear Author,

With Greetings we are informing you that your paper has been successfully published in the INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF NOVEL RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT (ISSN: 2456-4184). Following are the details regarding the published paper.

About IJNRD : ISSN Approved - International Scholarly open access, Peer-reviewed, and Refereed Journal, Impact Factor: 8.76, (Calculate by google scholar and Semantic Scholar | AI-Powered Research Tool), Multidisciplinary, Monthly, Online, Print Journal, Indexing in all major database & Metadata, Citation Generator, Digital Object Identifier(Crossref DOI)

Registration ID : IJNRD\_311691

Paper ID : IJNRD2601116

**Title of Paper : Beyond the Limits of Saying: Linguistic Breakdown and the Affective Excess of Meaning**

Impact Factor : 8.76 (Calculate by Google Scholar) | License by Creative Common 3.0

Crossref DOI :

Published in : Volume 11 | Issue 1 | January-2026

Page No : b101-b107

Published URL : <https://ijnrd.org/viewpaperforall.php?paper=IJNRD2601116>

Authors : DR. SURENDER SINGH

Thank you very much for publishing your article in IJNRD.

Editor In Chief

INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF NOVEL RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT  
(ISSN: 2456-4184)

**Transparent Peer Review in compliance with the latest UGC CARE Approved and other global indexing.**



# Beyond the Limits of Saying: Linguistic Breakdown and the Affective Excess of Meaning

**DR. SURENDER SINGH**

Associate Professor of English

Government College Birohar (Jhajjar) Haryana

Affiliated to MDU, Rohtak

Email: [dr.s.s.bhogal@gmail.com](mailto:dr.s.s.bhogal@gmail.com)

## Abstract

It is widely acknowledged that language has long been considered the primary medium through which human consciousness articulates meaning, emotion, and experience. Yet literary theory, philosophy, and psychoanalysis persistently reveal the inadequacy of language in capturing the full intensity of affective life. This paper explores the dialectical relationship between *language failure* and *emotional overflow*, arguing that moments when language collapses or falters often mark sites of heightened emotional excess rather than communicative absence. Drawing upon philosophical linguistics (Wittgenstein), poststructuralism (Derrida), psychoanalysis (Freud, Lacan), and affect theory (Kristeva, Sedgwick), the study examines how emotional overflow destabilizes linguistic structures while simultaneously generating new modes of expression. Through a critical synthesis of interdisciplinary scholarship, this paper demonstrates that language failure is not merely a deficit but a productive rupture that exposes the limits of signification and the surplus of affect. Ultimately, the study contends that emotional overflow constitutes both a challenge to linguistic representation and a transformative force that redefines meaning beyond stable sign systems.

**Keywords:** Language failure, emotional overflow, affect theory, psychoanalysis, poststructuralism, ineffability and literary discourse.

## Introduction

The assumption that language transparently conveys human emotion has been repeatedly contested across intellectual traditions. Ludwig Wittgenstein famously observed that “*the limits of my language mean the limits of my world*”,<sup>1</sup> a claim that implicitly recognizes what lies beyond those limits. Emotional experience frequently exceeds linguistic articulation, producing what may be described as *language failure*. Such failure emerges not as silence but as stammering, fragmentation, metaphorical excess, or expressive breakdown. As Virginia Woolf notes, “*the words crack and splinter under the strain of feeling*”,<sup>2</sup> suggesting that emotion destabilizes language from within.

The present paper examines how emotional overflow precipitates linguistic collapse while simultaneously generating alternative expressive strategies. Jacques Derrida asserts that “*meaning is always deferred, never fully present in language*”,<sup>3</sup> reinforcing the idea that language structurally fails to coincide with lived affect. Similarly, Julia Kristeva argues that affect erupts from the semiotic dimension, disrupting symbolic order.<sup>4</sup> These

<sup>1</sup> Ludwig Wittgenstein, *Tractatus Logico-Philosophicus*, trans. D. F. Pears and B. F. McGuinness (Routledge, 2001), 68.

<sup>2</sup> Virginia Woolf, *The Waves* (Hogarth Press, 1931), 72.

<sup>3</sup> Ludwig Wittgenstein, *Tractatus Logico-Philosophicus*, trans. D. F. Pears and B. F. McGuinness (Routledge, 2001), 68.

<sup>4</sup> Julia Kristeva, *Revolution in Poetic Language* (New York: Columbia University Press, 1984), 25.

perspectives collectively suggest that language failure is neither accidental nor pathological, but intrinsic to the relationship between emotion and expression.

The central argument of this study is that emotional overflow exposes the constitutive inadequacy of language and that such inadequacy becomes a productive site for literary, philosophical, and psychological insight. By tracing how language fails under affective pressure, this paper illuminates the generative tension between what is felt and what can be said.

## Methodology

The present study employs a qualitative, theoretical methodology grounded in **close textual analysis** and **interdisciplinary synthesis**. Primary theoretical texts from philosophy of language, psychoanalysis, and literary theory are analyzed to trace conceptual convergences surrounding linguistic insufficiency and affective excess. As Roland Barthes contends, “*to write is to enter into a realm where meaning trembles*”<sup>5</sup>, a principle that guides this inquiry.

The methodology integrates comparative reading across disciplines rather than empirical data collection. Texts are examined for moments where language explicitly fails—through contradiction, silence, repetition, or metaphorical overload. Sigmund Freud’s assertion that “*words were originally magic*”<sup>6</sup> informs the psychoanalytic dimension of this approach, foregrounding the affective charge embedded in linguistic structures. This method allows for a layered understanding of language failure as both a theoretical concept and a lived experiential phenomenon.

## Literature Review

The scholarly discourse on language failure has evolved across multiple intellectual traditions. Early philosophical inquiries emphasize logical limits, while later theorists foreground affective disruption. Wittgenstein’s claim that “*what we cannot speak about we must pass over in silence*”<sup>7</sup> establishes silence as a philosophical boundary. Yet later thinkers challenge silence as a sufficient response to emotional excess.

In psychoanalysis, Freud observes that trauma often returns in non-linguistic forms, noting that “*the patient cannot remember the whole of what is repressed but is obliged to repeat it*”<sup>8</sup>. Jacques Lacan extends this by asserting that “*the unconscious is structured like a language*”<sup>9</sup>, yet paradoxically manifests where language breaks down. Emotional overflow thus appears as symptomatic speech failure.

The Poststructuralist theorists radicalize this position. Derrida’s notion of *différance* underscores perpetual semantic instability<sup>10</sup>, while Kristeva locates emotional excess in the semiotic chora, which “*precedes and exceeds the symbolic*”<sup>11</sup>. Eve Kosofsky Sedgwick further argues that affect resists totalization, describing it as “*a loose knit of impulses*”<sup>12</sup>. Collectively, the literature suggests that language failure is not marginal but central to understanding emotional expression.

## Language and Its Limits: Philosophical Perspectives

The Philosophical inquiry has long grappled with the boundaries of linguistic representation. In the *Tractatus Logico-Philosophicus*, Wittgenstein asserts that “*the limits of my language mean the limits of my*

<sup>5</sup> Roland Barthes, *A Lover’s Discourse: Fragments*, trans. Richard Howard (Hill and Wang, 1977), 164.

<sup>6</sup> Sigmund Freud, *The Standard Edition of the Complete Psychological Works of Sigmund Freud*, vol. 14, (Hogarth Press, 1957), 17.

<sup>7</sup> Wittgenstein, *Tractatus Logico-Philosophicus*, 89.

<sup>8</sup> Freud, *Standard Edition*, vol. 14, 18.

<sup>9</sup> Jacques Lacan, *Écrits*, trans. Alan Sheridan (New York: W. W. Norton, 1977), 20.

<sup>10</sup> Jacques Derrida, *Of Grammatology*, trans. Gayatri Chakravorty Spivak (Baltimore: Johns Hopkins University Press, 1976), 62.

<sup>11</sup> Julia Kristeva, *Revolution in Poetic Language*, 26.

<sup>12</sup> Eve Kosofsky Sedgwick, *Touching Feeling: Affect, Pedagogy, Performativity* (Durham, NC: Duke University Press, 2003), 19.

world”.<sup>13</sup> Yet this formulation also implies that what lies beyond those limits continues to exert pressure on meaning. Emotional experience often occupies precisely this beyond.

Martin Heidegger extends this view by claiming that “*language speaks, not man*”<sup>14</sup>, thereby decentering human mastery over expression. When emotion overwhelms language, it reveals that language is not a transparent instrument but an autonomous structure that resists totalization. Heidegger’s insight helps explain why grief, trauma, and ecstasy frequently manifest as broken speech or silence.

Jacques Derrida radicalizes this limitation through his concept of *différance*, arguing that meaning is endlessly deferred. As he writes, “*there is no presence before and outside the play of differences*”.<sup>15</sup> Emotional overflow emerges in this play as an excess that cannot be stabilized within signification. Language fails not accidentally but structurally, because it is always already incomplete.

### Psychoanalysis and the Unsayable Affect

The Psychoanalytic theory offers a crucial framework for understanding why emotional intensity resists linguistic containment. Freud acknowledges that affect is not identical with representation, noting that “*affects may be displaced or transformed, but never entirely eliminated*”.<sup>16</sup> Language may repress, distort, or sublimate emotion, yet it cannot exhaust it.

Lacan formalizes this insight through the distinction between the Symbolic and the Real. The Real, he argues, is “*that which resists symbolization absolutely*”.<sup>17</sup> Emotional overflow occurs when the Real erupts into the Symbolic order of language, producing stammers, repetitions, or silence. In this sense, language failure is the trace of the Real’s intrusion.

Julia Kristeva further develops this notion through the concept of the *semiotic*, which she describes as “*the pre-symbolic rhythm and energy that disrupts linguistic order*”.<sup>18</sup> Poetic language, according to Kristeva, stages emotional overflow by allowing the semiotic to fracture syntactic stability. What appears as linguistic failure is thus an affective intensification.

### Modernist Literature and Linguistic Breakdown

The Modernist writers explicitly dramatize the collapse of language under emotional pressure. In *Mrs. Dalloway*, Woolf writes, “*How impossible it was to communicate one’s feelings!*”<sup>19</sup> The novel’s fragmented syntax and shifting perspectives embody this impossibility while simultaneously rendering emotional life with unprecedented subtlety.

Similarly, T. S. Eliot’s *The Waste Land* presents a world in which language is shattered into allusions and fragments. Eliot famously declares, “*These fragments I have shored against my ruins.*”<sup>20</sup> The fragments testify to cultural and emotional disintegration, yet they also constitute a new form of expression born from failure.

<sup>13</sup> Wittgenstein, *Tractatus Logico-Philosophicus*, 68.

<sup>14</sup> Martin Heidegger, *On the Way to Language*, trans. Peter Hertz (New York: Harper & Row, 1971), 190.

<sup>15</sup> Derrida, *Of Grammatology*, 158.

<sup>16</sup> Freud, *Standard Edition*, vol. 14, 178.

<sup>17</sup> Jacques Lacan, *The Four Fundamental Concepts of Psychoanalysis*, trans. Alan Sheridan (New York: Norton, 1981), 66.

<sup>18</sup> Julia Kristeva, *Revolution in Poetic Language*, 26.

<sup>19</sup> Virginia Woolf, *Mrs. Dalloway* (London: Penguin, 1996), 189.

<sup>20</sup> T. S. Eliot, *The Complete Poems and Plays* (New York: Harcourt Brace, 1971), 430.

Samuel Beckett pushes this logic further by embracing linguistic impoverishment. In *The Unnamable*, the narrator confesses, “*I can’t go on, I’ll go on*”.<sup>21</sup> This paradox encapsulates emotional persistence beyond linguistic adequacy. Beckett’s minimalism reveals how affect survives even when language collapses into near silence.

### Poststructuralism and Affective Excess

The Poststructuralist theory reframes emotional overflow as an inherent feature of discourse. Roland Barthes contends that “*language is a skin: I rub my language against the other*.”<sup>22</sup> Emotion here is tactile and excessive, exceeding propositional meaning. Language fails to contain affect because affect circulates at the level of sensation.

Gilles Deleuze similarly emphasizes intensity over representation, asserting that “*affect is not a personal feeling, but a pre-personal intensity*.”<sup>23</sup> Language, oriented toward structure and identity, inevitably falters when confronted with such intensity. Emotional overflow thus signals a shift from meaning to force.

Eve Kosofsky Sedgwick underscores this affective turn by arguing that “*affect theory is about the many ways emotions do not resolve into language*”.<sup>24</sup> The failure of language becomes a methodological insight rather than a problem, directing criticism toward embodiment and experience.

### Silence, Trauma, and the Ethics of Unspeakability

The relationship between language failure and emotional overflow becomes ethically charged in the context of trauma. Cathy Caruth observes that “*trauma is not locatable in the simple violent event but in the way it is experienced too soon to be fully known*”.<sup>25</sup> The inability to articulate trauma reflects not absence but temporal and emotional excess.

Primo Levi, reflecting on Holocaust testimony, writes that “*our language lacks words for this offense*”.<sup>26</sup> This lack marks an ethical boundary where silence becomes a form of respect rather than failure. Emotional overflow here demands restraint rather than articulation.

Susan Sontag similarly warns against aestheticizing suffering, noting that “*to speak is not necessarily to understand*”.<sup>27</sup> Language may proliferate while understanding remains elusive, reinforcing the need to attend to what exceeds speech.

### Poetry and the Productive Failure of Language

Poetry repeatedly stages the productive failure of language, transforming linguistic inadequacy into a generative aesthetic principle. Rather than aspiring to exhaustive representation, poetic discourse foregrounds indirection, rupture, and silence as conditions of emotional truth. Emily Dickinson’s injunction, “*Tell all the truth but tell it slant*”,<sup>28</sup> theorizes obliquity as an ethical and affective necessity: intensity must be refracted to remain bearable. Language falters not because emotion exceeds thought, but because directness would annihilate both speaker and reader.

<sup>21</sup> Samuel Beckett, *Three Novels* (New York: Grove Press, 2010; originally published 1953), 418.

<sup>22</sup> Roland Barthes, *A Lover’s Discourse: Fragments*, trans. Richard Howard (New York: Hill and Wang, 1977), 64.

<sup>23</sup> Gilles Deleuze, *A Thousand Plateaus* (Minneapolis: University of Minnesota Press, 1987), 88.

<sup>24</sup> Eve Kosofsky Sedgwick, *Touching Feeling: Affect, Pedagogy, Performativity*, 19.

<sup>25</sup> Cathy Caruth, *Unclaimed Experience: Trauma, Narrative, and History* (Baltimore: Johns Hopkins University Press, 1996), 4.

<sup>26</sup> Primo Levi, *The Drowned and the Saved* (Summit Books, 1988), 23.

<sup>27</sup> Susan Sontag, *Regarding the Pain of Others* (New York: Farrar, Straus and Giroux, 2003), 94.

<sup>28</sup> Emily Dickinson, *The Complete Poems* (Boston: Little, Brown, 1998), 126.

This logic reaches an extreme in Paul Celan's poetics, where fractured syntax and semantic opacity register the limits of speech after historical catastrophe. When Celan asserts that "The poem shows, but it does not explain,"<sup>29</sup> he rejects communicative transparency in favor of testimonial gesture. Meaning emerges not through coherence but through interruption, hesitation, and formal breakdown. Adrienne Rich similarly reconceives poetic language as durational rather than declarative. Her claim that "poetry is the liquid voice that can wear through stone"<sup>30</sup> privileges persistence over precision, erosion over definition. Across these writers, linguistic failure is not a deficit but a method: poetry preserves the integrity of emotion by refusing closure, allowing what cannot be said to remain powerfully, and necessarily, unresolved within modern poetic theory discourse. The metaphor suggests persistence rather than clarity—emotion eroding language over time.

### Language Failure as Generative Practice

Contemporary critical theory increasingly reconceptualizes linguistic failure not as deficiency but as a productive condition of literary creation. Rather than signifying expressive inadequacy, the breakdown of language becomes the very site from which new modes of meaning emerge. Hélène Cixous famously contends that "writing begins where speech fails,"<sup>31</sup> suggesting that moments of emotional excess—when experience exceeds communicable form—generate alternative textual energies. In this sense, affective overflow does not obstruct expression but compels innovation beyond normative linguistic structures. Judith Butler similarly emphasizes the constitutive limits of language, observing that "there are limits to what we can say, and those limits condition what we can feel."<sup>32</sup>

Yet literature, by straining against these constraints, actively reshapes the contours of emotional intelligibility, enlarging what can be felt as well as articulated. Maurice Blanchot encapsulates this paradox through his assertion that "to write is to enter into the affirmation of solitude where language falls silent."<sup>33</sup> Silence, in Blanchot's formulation, is not a void or negation but a charged interval in which meaning intensifies precisely through its suspension. Together, these theorists articulate a conception of language failure as a generative practice: an enabling rupture that transforms absence, limit, and silence into fertile grounds for affective and aesthetic possibility.

### Concluding Reflection

Reflecting upon the interdependence of language failure and emotional overflow reveals a paradox at the heart of human expression. Emotion demands articulation, yet articulation inevitably distorts emotion. As Paul Ricoeur notes, "*symbol gives rise to thought*" precisely because it exceeds literal meaning.<sup>34</sup> Failure becomes a threshold rather than an endpoint.

This reflection underscores the ethical dimension of linguistic inadequacy. To acknowledge language failure is to resist reductive emotional representation. Emmanuel Levinas suggests that "*the saying exceeds the said*"<sup>35</sup>, emphasizing responsibility to what cannot be fully spoken. Emotional overflow, then, calls for attentiveness rather than closure.

---

<sup>29</sup> Paul Celan, *Collected Prose*, trans. Rosmarie Waldrop (Routledge, 2003), 34.

<sup>30</sup> Adrienne Rich, *On Lies, Secrets, and Silence* (Norton, 1979), 78.

<sup>31</sup> Hélène Cixous, *The Laugh of the Medusa*, trans. Keith Cohen and Paula Cohen (Chicago: University of Chicago Press, 1997), 53.

<sup>32</sup> Judith Butler, *Undoing Gender* (Routledge, 2004), 198.

<sup>33</sup> Maurice Blanchot, *The Space of Literature*, trans. Ann Smock (Lincoln: University of Nebraska Press, 1982), 27.

<sup>34</sup> Paul Ricoeur, *Freud and Philosophy* (New Haven: Yale University Press, 1970), 347.

<sup>35</sup> Emmanuel Levinas, *Otherwise than Being*, trans. Alphonso Lingis (Duke University Press, 1998), 5.

## Conclusion

To conclude, this paper has argued that language failure and emotional overflow exist in a mutually constitutive relationship. Language fails not because it is defective but because emotion exceeds symbolic containment. Through philosophical, psychoanalytic, and literary frameworks, the study demonstrates that linguistic breakdown is a generative site where affective intensity becomes perceptible.

Rather than viewing language failure as absence, this research repositions it as a mode of presence—an articulation of what resists articulation. Emotional overflow fractures linguistic stability, yet it is within these fractures that new meanings emerge. Ultimately, the impossibility of fully expressing emotion does not negate communication; it redefines it as an ongoing negotiation between feeling and form.

## Works Cited

1. Barthes, Roland. *A Lover's Discourse: Fragments*. Translated by Richard Howard, Hill and Wang, 1977.
2. Beckett, Samuel. *Three Novels*. Grove Press, 2010. Originally published 1953.
3. Blanchot, Maurice. *The Space of Literature*. Translated by Ann Smock, University of Nebraska Press, 1982. Originally published 1955.
4. Butler, Judith. *Undoing Gender*. Routledge, 2004.
5. Caruth, Cathy. *Unclaimed Experience: Trauma, Narrative, and History*. Johns Hopkins University Press, 1996.
6. Celan, Paul. *Collected Prose*. Translated by Rosmarie Waldrop, Routledge, 2003.
7. Cixous, Hélène. *The Laugh of the Medusa*. Translated by Keith Cohen and Paula Cohen, University of Chicago Press, 1997. Originally published 1975.
8. Deleuze, Gilles. *A Thousand Plateaus*. University of Minnesota Press, 1987.
9. Derrida, Jacques. *Of Grammatology*. Translated by Gayatri Chakravorty Spivak, Johns Hopkins University Press, 1976.
10. Dickinson, Emily. *The Complete Poems*. Little, Brown, 1998.
11. Eliot, T. S. *The Complete Poems and Plays*. Harcourt Brace, 1971.
12. Freud, Sigmund. *The Standard Edition of the Complete Psychological Works of Sigmund Freud*. Vol. 14, Hogarth Press, 1957.
13. Heidegger, Martin. *On the Way to Language*. Translated by Peter Hertz, Harper & Row, 1971.
14. Kristeva, Julia. *Revolution in Poetic Language*. Translated by Margaret Waller, Columbia University Press, 1984.
15. Lacan, Jacques. *Écrits*. Translated by Alan Sheridan, W. W. Norton, 1977.
16. Lacan, Jacques. *The Four Fundamental Concepts of Psychoanalysis*. Translated by Alan Sheridan, Norton, 1981.
17. Levi, Primo. *The Drowned and the Saved*. Summit Books, 1988.
18. Levinas, Emmanuel. *Otherwise than Being*. Translated by Alphonso Lingis, Duquesne University Press, 1998.
19. Ricoeur, Paul. *Freud and Philosophy*. Yale University Press, 1970.
20. Rich, Adrienne. *On Lies, Secrets, and Silence*. Norton, 1979.
21. Sedgwick, Eve Kosofsky. *Touching Feeling: Affect, Pedagogy, Performativity*. Duke University Press, 2003.
22. Sontag, Susan. *Regarding the Pain of Others*. Farrar, Straus and Giroux, 2003.
23. Wittgenstein, Ludwig. *Tractatus Logico-Philosophicus*. Translated by D. F. Pears and B. F. McGuinness, Routledge, 2001. Originally published 1922.
24. Woolf, Virginia. *The Waves*. Hogarth Press, 1931.

### Copyright & License:



© Authors retain the copyright of this article. This work is published under the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License (CC BY 4.0), permitting unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.