International Journal of Aerospace Engineering (IJASE)
Volume 3, Issue 1, January-June 2025, pp. 1-18, Article ID: IJASE_03_01_001
Available online at https://iaeme.com/Home/issue/IJASE?Volume=3&Issue=1
Impact Factor (2025): 2.00 (Based on Google Scholar Citation)
Journal ID: 2072-5791; DOI: https://doi.org/10.34218/IJASE_03_01_001

OPEN ACCESS

AI-DRIVEN EVOLUTION IN SOLID-PROPELLANT ROCKETRY: A HISTORICAL AND TECHNOLOGICAL PERSPECTIVE

[*1]Tharun A (Student, 4th Year), [2]Shaik Mohammad Abrar (Student, 3rd Year), [3]Ugashri R (Student, 3rd Year), [4]Lucky Boro (Student, 2nd Year), [5]K V Avinash Sarma (Student, 2nd Year), [6]Mohammed Moosa Aziz (Student, 2nd Year), [7]Tumu Sree Jeneeth (Student, 2nd Year), [8]Mr S Venkatesh (Assistant Professor)

[1]Department of Aeronautical Engineering, Sathyabama Institute of Science and Technology, Chennai, Tamil Nadu, India- 600119.

[2]Department of Computer Science and Engineering, Sathyabama Institute of Science and Technology, Chennai, Tamil Nadu, India- 600119.

[3]Department of Electronics and Communication Engineering, Sathyabama Institute of Science and Technology, Chennai, Tamil Nadu, India- 600119.

[4]Department of Aeronautical Engineering, Sathyabama Institute of Science and Technology, Chennai, Tamil Nadu, India- 600119.

[5]Department of Computer Science and Engineering, Sathyabama Institute of Science and Technology, Chennai, Tamil Nadu, India- 600119.

[6]Department of Mechatronics Engineering, Sathyabama Institute of Science and Technology, Chennai, Tamil Nadu, India- 600119.

[7]Department of Mechatronics Engineering, Sathyabama Institute of Science and Technology, Chennai, Tamil Nadu, India- 600119.

[8]Department of Aeronautical Engineering, Sathyabama Institute of Science and Technology, Chennai, Tamil Nadu, India- 600119.

***Corresponding Author: Tharun A**

ABSTRACT

The review on the integration of Artificial Intelligence (AI) in solid-propellant rockets delves into the historical evolution and modern advancements of rocket technologies. Solid rockets, powered by a blend of fuel and oxidizer, have a rich legacy extending from ancient gunpowder-propelled devices to contemporary rockets using sugar-based propellants. The early use of gunpowder rockets, notably by the Chinese in the 13th century, set a foundation for the significant progress in rocketry. In modern times, the experimentation with sugar propellants, such as rocket candy in model rockets, illustrates the ongoing enhancements in propellant technologies. The entrance of AI into the realm of space exploration signifies a pivotal shift, offering ground-breaking improvements in rocket design and functionality. The incorporation of AI into solid-propellant rocket technology promises substantial advancements, including optimized fuel usage, refined trajectory calculations, and improved autonomous decision-making capabilities during missions. This integration heralds a new phase in rocket propulsion, where AI's potential to boost efficiency, accuracy, and safety in space missions is profoundly acknowledged. The journey from ancient gunpowder rockets to the present-day solid-propellant versions, coupled with the continuous innovations in propellant technology, epitomizes the relentless pursuit of advancement in the field of rocketry.

Keywords: Solid-propellant rockets, Rocket propulsion innovation, Gunpowder rockets, Sugar propellants, Artificial Intelligence (AI), Space exploration advancements, Fuel optimization, Trajectory calculations, Autonomous decision-making, Propellant technology advancements.

Cite this Article: Tharun A, Shaik Mohammad Abrar, Ugashri R, Lucky Boro, K V Avinash Sarma, Mohammed Moosa Aziz, Tumu Sree Jeneeth, S Venkatesh. (2025). AI-Driven Evolution in Solid-Propellant Rocketry: A Historical and Technological Perspective. *International Journal of Aerospace Engineering (IJASE)*, 3(1), 1-18.

https://iaeme.com/MasterAdmin/Journal_uploads/IJASE/VOLUME_3_ISSUE_1/IJASE_03_01_001.pdf

I. Introduction

Solid-propellant rockets, characterized by their use of a solid mixture of fuel and oxidizer, have been a staple in propulsion technology for centuries. The historical journey of these rockets, from the gunpowder versions of ancient China to the modern sugar-propellant rockets, reflects the enduring quest for propulsion efficiency and effectiveness. This review paper delves into the integration of AI in solid-propellant rockets, highlighting the historical context, technological advancements, and the potential future trajectory of this field. The rocket's propulsion system plays a fundamental role in aerospace engineering, determining the trajectory and success of a mission. At the heart of this system lies the rocket motor, a sophisticated assembly consisting of crucial parameters and components such as burn duration, thrust, temperature, nozzle, combustion chamber, liner, and upper closure. Recent studies in solid-propellant rocketry have made significant advances in understanding and improving rocket performance through innovative computational and data-driven approaches. Abrukov et al. (2019) investigated the impact of nano and micro additives on solid propellant combustion, utilizing data science methods to assess performance enhancements and efficiency gains, revealing the potential of these additives to improve burn rate and propellant stability. Continuing this line of research, Abrukov et al. (2020) developed multifactor computational models for simulating solid propellant combustion, integrating various data science techniques to better predict combustion behaviour and aiming to refine the design and efficiency of solid-propellant rockets. Qi et al. (2019) introduced a method for controlling the thrust of solid rocket engines using a radial basis function neural network, providing more precise thrust control and thereby enhancing flight stability and performance. In a subsequent phase, Abrukov et al. (2017) expanded on their computational models for solid propellant combustion, further refining these models to improve their predictive accuracy and utility in rocket design. Klinger et al. (2023) employed machine learning to predict the burning rate characteristics of solid propellants, indicating that these models can optimize propellant formulation. Han et al. (2012, 2014) conducted studies on the fluid-structure interaction (FSI) in solid rocket interiors using Arbitrary Lagrangian Eulerian (ALE) methodologies, contributing to a better understanding of the internal dynamics of rockets and aiding in the development of more efficient and reliable propulsion systems. Lastly, Jingdong et al. (2018) used deep learning to forecast the reliability of solid rocket motors, merging real and fuzzy data to refine the predictive model, with the goal of enhancing maintenance and operational strategies for rocket motors. These studies collectively represent a substantial progression in the field of solid-propellant rocketry, demonstrating the power of AI and data science in advancing rocket technology. The research conducted by Jingdong et al. (2018) presents a cutting-edge reliability prediction method for solid rocket motors, utilizing deep fusion learning that amalgamates real and fuzzy data to boost the accuracy and dependability of predictions. This technique is designed to enhance the maintenance and operational efficiency of rocket motors by providing precise forecasts of potential failures and performance issues. In their study titled "ALE-based FSI Simulation of Solid Propellant Rocket Interior," Han et al. (2010) investigate the fluid-structure interaction within solid rocket motors using the Arbitrary Lagrangian Eulerian method, thereby improving the understanding of the internal dynamics of solid rockets and aiding in the optimization of their design and performance. The work of Zhao et al. (2018) delves into predicting the characteristic signals of solid propellants using an advanced backpropagation neural network, aiming to precisely predict the propellants' behaviour under varying conditions, which is crucial for designing more efficient rocket systems. Kim (2009) in "Integrated Rocket Simulation of Internal and External Flow Dynamics" offers an in-depth analysis of flow dynamics in rockets, employing integrated simulation methods to decipher the intricate interplay between internal and external flows in rocket propulsion mechanisms. The research by Srikanth et al. (2006) on auto-initiated solid propellant pulsed plasma micro thrusters explores the operational parameters and their impact on the thruster’s performance, potentially paving the way for innovations in micro propulsion technology. The study "Integrated 3-D simulation of solid propellant rockets" by Dick et al. (2001) emphasizes the three-dimensional simulation of solid propellant rockets, which is instrumental in predicting and examining their performance and structural integrity, thus serving as a crucial tool for rocket design and evaluation. Finally, Dai (2007) explores the high-pressure combustion properties of high-energy solid propellants, providing insights into their combustion dynamics under high-pressure scenarios, which is beneficial for advancing the design of high-energy rocket systems.

II. Historical Background

The ancient uses of solid-propellant rockets can be traced back to China, where they were first developed for military and fireworks applications. The origins of these rockets are often linked to the 9th century during the Song Dynasty, when Chinese alchemists experimented with gunpowder formulations to create explosive devices. These early rockets were simple tubes filled with gunpowder, sealed at one end and ignited to propel them through the air, used both as weapons and for ceremonial purposes. The military application of these rockets evolved significantly in China. By the 11th century, the Chinese were using rocket technology in warfare, developing larger and more sophisticated rockets capable of causing considerable damage. These early rockets were essentially arrows with gunpowder-filled tubes attached to them, launched from bows or later from multi-tube launchers, allowing multiple rockets to be fired simultaneously. As the technology spread along trade routes, it reached Europe and the Islamic world by the late medieval period. The Mongols, who invaded Europe in the 13th century, are credited with introducing rocket technology to Europeans. In Europe, these technologies were further developed and used in military conflicts. By the late Middle Ages, solid-propellant rockets were being used in Europe for siege warfare, with records of their use in battles and sieges, demonstrating their integration into European military tactics. In the Islamic world, particularly during the Ottoman Empire, rocket technology was also adopted and advanced. The Ottomans used rockets in warfare against different adversaries, improving their designs to increase range and accuracy. In India, the Mysorean rockets were developed by the Kingdom of Mysore in the 18th century and were notable for their iron casing, which increased their durability and range. These rockets were used effectively against the British East India Company during the Anglo-Mysore Wars. Throughout these periods, the basic principle of solid-propellant rocketry remained the same, with innovations focusing on improving the range, accuracy, and payload capacity. The use of rockets in warfare during these times laid the foundation for the future development of rocketry, leading to the sophisticated solid-propellant rockets used in modern times for both military and space exploration purposes. The evolution of solid-propellant rockets from ancient Chinese military and ceremonial uses through medieval warfare in Europe and Asia highlights the enduring significance of this technology. Over the centuries, these early innovations in rocketry have evolved into the complex and powerful rockets that are now a cornerstone of modern aerospace and defence industries. During and after World War II, solid-propellant rocketry underwent significant transformations, marked by pivotal developments that shifted the technology from simple designs to sophisticated systems used in ballistic missiles and space exploration. World War II acted as a catalyst for rapid advancements in rocket technology. One of the most significant developments was the creation of the German V-2 rocket, the world's first long-range guided ballistic missile, powered by liquid fuel. Although it used liquid propellant, the V-2 rocket's success set the stage for the post-war development of solid-propellant rockets, as countries recognized the potential of rocketry in military applications and beyond. In the immediate post-war era, the United States and the Soviet Union led the charge in advancing rocket technology, leveraging captured German V-2 technology and expertise. The focus initially was on developing liquid-propellant rockets for their ballistic missile programs. However, the simplicity and reliability of solid propellants soon became apparent, leading to their adoption in ballistic missiles. The U.S. Navy's Polaris missile, developed in the 1950s, was one of the first ballistic missiles to use solid propellants. The Polaris could be launched from submarines, offering a mobile and concealable nuclear deterrent, which was a significant strategic advantage during the Cold War.

Advances in Solid-Propellant Rocketry

The transition to more sophisticated solid-propellant designs was driven by the need for quicker launch times, simpler storage and handling, and increased reliability compared to liquid-propellant rockets. Solid-propellant rockets offered the advantage of being stored in a ready-to-fire condition for extended periods, crucial for their role in the nuclear deterrence strategy of the Cold War era. Technological advancements in solid-propellant rocketry included the development of composite propellants, which provided higher performance than earlier single or double-based propellants. These composites, made from a mixture of a polymer binder, oxidizer, and fuel, allowed for better control of the burn rate and thus the thrust of the rocket, enhancing their efficiency and effectiveness.

Role in Space Exploration

Solid-propellant rockets also found a significant role in space exploration. Initially used as booster stages for larger, liquid-propellant rockets, solid rockets were crucial in providing the initial thrust required to lift heavy payloads into space. The Space Shuttle's solid rocket boosters (SRBs) are prime examples of this, being the largest solid-propellant motors ever built and reused. They provided the majority of the thrust during the first two minutes of the shuttle's ascent. The evolution from simple gunpowder-based designs to advanced composite propellant systems reflects the significant technological progress in solid-propellant rocketry. Innovations in materials science, engineering, and design led to rockets that are more powerful, reliable, and versatile. The integration of computer technology and advanced manufacturing techniques further transformed rocket design and production, allowing for more precise and efficient construction. These developments in solid-propellant rocketry during and after World War II laid the groundwork for their pivotal role in modern military arsenals and their crucial contributions to space exploration, marking a transition to an era of sophisticated and high-performing rocket systems.

Launching Satellites

For satellite launches, solid-propellant rockets are often used as the initial booster stages in a launch vehicle. Their ability to provide immediate and powerful thrust makes them ideal for the initial phase of the launch, propelling the payload out of the Earth's dense lower atmosphere quickly and efficiently. This rapid initial acceleration is crucial for the success of the launch, ensuring the satellite reaches the required orbit. One of the notable examples is the Pegasus rocket, the first privately-developed commercial space launch vehicle, which uses solid propellant. The Pegasus is air-launched from a carrier aircraft, allowing for flexible and cost-effective satellite deployments. This method eliminates the need for large launch facilities and reduces the operational complexities associated with ground-launched rockets.

Space Shuttle Missions

The Space Shuttle program is perhaps the most iconic example of solid-propellant use in space exploration. The Shuttle's Solid Rocket Boosters (SRBs) were the largest solid-propellant motors ever flown and were reusable. They provided the main thrust during the first two minutes of the Shuttle's ascent, after which they were jettisoned and recovered from the ocean for refurbishment and reuse. This reusability aspect was a significant factor in reducing the costs of space shuttle launches. The reliability of these SRBs was critical, as they had to work flawlessly in tandem with the main engines to ensure the Shuttle's successful ascent into space. Their design and operational success underscored the reliability and effectiveness of solid-propellant rocket technology in manned space missions. Solid-propellant rockets also find applications in deep space missions, often as the propulsion system for stages that operate after leaving Earth's orbit or for delivering payloads to distant celestial bodies. Their simplicity and reliability make them an excellent choice for missions that require long-duration storage in space without maintenance. A notable example is the New Horizons mission to Pluto and the Kuiper Belt, which utilized a solid-propellant stage for the final boost out of Earth orbit. The solid-propellant stage provided the high thrust necessary to escape Earth's gravitational pull and set the spacecraft on its trajectory towards Pluto, demonstrating the effectiveness of solid-propellant rockets in interplanetary travel.

Cost-Effectiveness and Reliability

The cost-effectiveness of solid-propellant rockets comes from their simpler design, ease of storage and handling, and the potential for component reuse, as demonstrated in the Space Shuttle program. These rockets can be manufactured and stored in a ready-to-launch condition, reducing the time and infrastructure needed for launch preparations. Their reliability is due to the inherent stability of the solid propellant and the straightforwardness of the rocket's design, which has fewer moving parts and potential points of failure compared to liquid-propellant rockets. This reliability is a crucial factor in mission planning and execution, especially for critical and high-value payloads like satellites and human-crewed missions.

III. Technological Advancements

Composite Propellants:

The transition from single-base and double-base propellants to composite propellants in solid-propellant rocketry marks a pivotal point in the development of rocket engines, driven by the pursuit of enhanced performance and efficiency. This evolution was necessitated by the demand for rocket propellants that could deliver superior performance metrics, particularly in terms of specific impulse, which is the standard measure of rocket propellant efficiency. Historically, single-base propellants, composed primarily of nitrocellulose, were among the first to be used in rocketry. These propellants were relatively simple to produce and provided the necessary thrust for early rockets. However, their performance was limited by their energy content and the rate at which they burned. Double-base propellants, which combined nitrocellulose and nitro-glycerine, offered improvements in these areas, providing higher energy output and a faster burn rate, which translated to increased thrust. Despite these advancements, the performance of single-base and double-base propellants was still constrained by their chemical and physical properties. The quest for higher specific impulse, which would enable rockets to achieve greater velocities and carry heavier payloads, necessitated the development of more advanced propellant formulations. This led to the exploration of composite propellants, which could be engineered to provide tailored performance characteristics. Composite propellants represented a significant technological leap in rocket propulsion. These propellants are a mixture of solid oxidizer particles, such as ammonium perchlorate, embedded in a binder matrix, often made of a synthetic rubber like Hydroxyl-terminated polybutadiene (HTPB). The inclusion of metallic fuels, typically aluminium, within this matrix significantly enhanced the energy output. The shift to composite propellants brought several key advantages like achieving higher specific impulse values, making rockets more efficient and capable of longer flights and greater payloads. The physical characteristics of composite propellants, such as particle size and binder composition, could be adjusted to precisely control the burn rate, allowing for the optimization of rocket performance for various mission requirements. Composite propellants are generally more stable and less sensitive to temperature variations, reducing the risk of accidental ignition and improving their suitability for storage and handling. The introduction of composite propellants has had a profound impact on the field of rocketry. Rockets equipped with composite propellants have become the backbone of many space missions, military applications, and commercial satellite launches. The ability to customize the propellant's properties for specific missions has allowed for a greater diversity of rocket designs and has enabled more ambitious and complex space exploration endeavours.

Single-Base and Double-Base Propellants:

Single-base propellants are made primarily from nitrocellulose, a highly flammable compound. While they were effective in early rocketry applications, their performance was limited by relatively low energy content and burn rate. Double-base propellants consist of a mixture of nitrocellulose and nitro-glycerine. The addition of nitro-glycerine increases the energy content and burn rate compared to single-base propellants. However, both single-base and double-base propellants have limitations in terms of energy output and the ability to control the burn rate precisely. They also tend to produce more smoke and have temperature sensitivity issues, which can affect performance and handling. Composite propellants marked a significant advancement in rocket technology. Unlike single-base and double-base propellants, which are homogenous mixtures, composite propellants are heterogeneous mixtures composed of a binder, oxidizer, fuel, and other additives. This composition allows for a higher degree of customization and optimization of the propellant's properties. The most common oxidizer in composite propellants is ammonium perchlorate (AP), which provides a higher oxygen content to fuel the combustion process more effectively than the oxidizers in single-base and double-base propellants. This leads to a higher specific impulse. Composite propellants use synthetic rubbers or polymers as binders, such as Hydroxyl-terminated polybutadiene (HTPB), which hold the mixture together and contribute to the fuel content. The binder also affects the propellant's mechanical properties, such as flexibility and toughness. The fuels in composite propellants can vary, but often include powdered metals like aluminium, which significantly increase the energy output of the propellant. Aluminium not only acts as a fuel but also increases the temperature of the combustion, resulting in more efficient thrust. Composite propellants have a higher density, allowing for more propellant to be packed into a given volume, increasing the rocket's total thrust. burn rate in composite propellants can be precisely controlled by adjusting the size and distribution of the oxidizer particles and the composition of the binder. This control is crucial for tailoring the rocket's performance to specific mission requirements. Composite propellants are more stable across a range of temperatures, reducing the risk of accidental ignition and improving storage and handling properties. The shift to composite propellants has enabled rockets to achieve higher performance metrics, such as increased thrust, improved efficiency, and greater control over the combustion process. These advancements have had a profound impact on the capabilities of solid-propellant rockets, making them more versatile and suitable for a wider range of applications, from military weapons to space exploration missions.

IV. Operational Efficiency

Real-Time Monitoring and Control:

The use of artificial intelligence (AI) in monitoring systems during rocket launches represents a significant advancement in space technology, enhancing both performance and safety through real-time data analysis and decision-making. AI's role in this context is multifaceted, involving the continuous monitoring of various parameters, the analysis of incoming data to identify trends and anomalies, and the provision of actionable insights to support decision-making processes. During a rocket launch, AI systems are tasked with continuously monitoring an array of parameters, including engine performance, structural integrity, atmospheric conditions, and flight trajectory. Sensors placed throughout the rocket and its systems collect data on temperatures, pressures, vibrations, accelerations, and other critical factors. This data is relayed in real-time to ground control and the onboard AI systems. The heart of AI's utility in rocket launches lies in its ability to perform real-time data analysis. AI algorithms, particularly those based on machine learning, can process and analyse the vast streams of data much faster and more accurately than human operators. These algorithms are trained to recognize patterns and anomalies that may indicate potential issues or deviations from the expected performance. For example, an AI system can analyse the data from the rocket's engines to ensure that the thrust levels are within optimal ranges and that the burn rate of the propellant is consistent with the mission parameters. If the AI detects anomalies—such as unexpected fluctuations in engine pressure or temperature—it can immediately alert ground control and, in some cases, initiate corrective actions autonomously. AI enhances decision-making during rocket launches by providing a comprehensive analysis of the situation in real time, which is crucial during the critical and fast-paced moments of a launch. The AI system can offer predictions and recommendations based on its analysis, helping the launch team to make informed decisions quickly. For instance, if the AI predicts a potential problem based on current trends in the data, it can simulate different scenarios and recommend the best course of action to mitigate risks. This might involve adjusting the rocket's trajectory, modifying engine performance parameters, or, in extreme cases, aborting the launch to safeguard the crew and payload. AI also plays a critical role in predictive maintenance and anomaly detection. By analysing historical and real-time data, AI can predict potential system failures before they occur, allowing for preventative measures to be taken. This predictive capability extends beyond the launch itself, encompassing the entire lifecycle of the rocket, from pre-launch preparations to post-launch operations. Ultimately, the integration of AI in monitoring systems during rocket launches enhances both the safety and efficiency of space missions. AI's ability to provide real-time data analysis and decision-making support reduces the likelihood of human error and increases the chances of mission success. By identifying and addressing potential issues before they escalate, AI systems contribute to smoother, safer, and more reliable space launches.

Predictive Analytics for Maintenance:

AI-driven analytics play a pivotal role in predicting maintenance needs and detecting anomalies in various systems, including rocketry, manufacturing, and other complex technologies. By leveraging machine learning algorithms and data analytics, AI can foresee potential issues and enable proactive maintenance, reducing the likelihood of system failures. AI-driven analytics systems begin by collecting vast amounts of data from sensors and monitoring devices embedded in the machinery or system. This data can include temperature readings, vibration levels, power consumption, operational cycles, and other relevant parameters. Through continuous monitoring, the AI system amasses a comprehensive dataset that reflects the normal operating conditions and performance metrics of the system. Using machine learning algorithms, the AI system analyses the collected data to identify patterns and establish baselines for normal operation. These algorithms can detect subtle changes in the data that may indicate wear and tear, degradation, or the onset of potential problems. Over time, as the AI system processes more data, its predictive accuracy and reliability improve, enabling it to make more nuanced assessments of the system's health. One of the key capabilities of AI-driven analytics is anomaly detection. By comparing real-time data against established baselines, the AI system can identify deviations that may signify emerging issues. These anomalies could range from minor variations in performance to significant signs of impending failure. Early detection of such anomalies allows for timely intervention, often before the issues become critical and lead to system breakdowns. With the ability to predict when parts or systems are likely to fail, AI-driven analytics facilitate a shift from reactive to predictive maintenance. This means maintenance can be scheduled based on actual need, rather than at fixed intervals, ensuring that repairs or replacements are carried out just in time to prevent failures. This approach not only prevents unnecessary downtime but also optimizes the use of resources, reducing maintenance costs and extending the lifespan of equipment. AI-driven analytics provide valuable insights that support decision-making processes. By presenting predictive data and maintenance recommendations, AI systems enable engineers and technicians to make informed decisions about when and how to perform maintenance tasks. This decision support is crucial for planning and prioritizing maintenance activities, especially in complex systems where downtime can have significant operational and financial impacts.

Mission Success Rates:

The impact of artificial intelligence (AI) on improving the success rates of missions, particularly in aerospace, space exploration, and other high-stakes fields, is profound and multifaceted. AI enhances the capabilities of monitoring and control systems, leading to more reliable, efficient, and successful missions. AI significantly improves the real-time monitoring capabilities of mission control systems. By continuously analysing data from various sensors and systems, AI can provide a comprehensive, up-to-the-minute picture of the mission's status. This real-time monitoring allows for the early detection of potential issues, enabling prompt responses to prevent minor anomalies from escalating into critical failures. AI-driven predictive maintenance and anomaly detection are crucial for pre-empting failures before they occur. By using machine learning algorithms to analyse historical and real-time data, AI systems can predict when components are likely to fail or when operational parameters deviate from the norm. This foresight allows for timely maintenance and adjustments, reducing the risk of unexpected breakdowns and enhancing mission reliability.AI enhances mission success rates through automated decision-making capabilities. AI systems can process complex data faster than human operators, making real-time decisions to optimize mission outcomes. In critical situations where time is of the essence, AI can autonomously execute contingency plans, adjust mission parameters, or take corrective actions to safeguard the mission objectives. AI contributes to the design of fault-tolerant and redundant systems, which are essential for mission success. By intelligently managing redundant systems and backups, AI can ensure that missions continue smoothly even when individual components fail. This resilience is particularly critical in space missions, where repair or replacement is often not possible. AI-driven systems optimize the use of resources and improve operational efficiency, both of which are crucial for mission success. By analysing patterns and trends, AI can optimize fuel consumption, power usage, and other critical resources, ensuring that missions are executed in the most efficient manner possible. AI enhances communication and coordination among different systems and teams involved in a mission. By providing a unified view of the mission status and facilitating seamless information flow, AI ensures that all parties have the necessary information to make informed decisions and coordinate their actions effectively. AI systems have the ability to learn from each mission, improving their performance over time. This continuous learning process enables AI to better predict potential issues, optimize operational strategies, and enhance the overall success rate of future missions.

V. Future Prospects

Autonomous Flight Control:

The integration of artificial intelligence (AI) into rocketry, specifically in the context of solid-propellant rockets, presents a transformative potential for fully autonomous flight control systems. AI's ability to process vast amounts of data rapidly, make real-time decisions, and learn from past experiences can significantly reduce human error and enhance the success rates of missions. AI systems can analyze complex datasets and make quick decisions based on real-time data analysis. In the context of rocket flight control, AI can continuously monitor the rocket's performance, environmental conditions, and trajectory. By processing this information, AI can make instantaneous adjustments to the flight plan, optimizing the mission's success rate. Machine learning algorithms can be trained on historical flight data, allowing AI systems to predict potential issues before they arise. This predictive capability means that AI could identify and rectify possible flight anomalies or system failures before they compromise the mission, effectively preventing many issues that could lead to mission failure. AI-driven autonomous flight control systems can operate with a level of precision and reliability that surpasses human capabilities. These systems can execute complex maneuvers and adjustments with a degree of accuracy that significantly reduces the margin for error, thereby improving the overall safety and success of the mission. During flight, conditions can change rapidly, and AI systems can adapt to these changes in real-time. For instance, if a solid-propellant rocket encounters unexpected atmospheric conditions, the AI system can adjust the flight path or propulsion parameters instantaneously, ensuring the rocket remains on the optimal trajectory. One of the most significant advantages of AI in autonomous flight control is the reduction of human error. While human operators are capable of making complex decisions, they are also susceptible to fatigue, stress, and other factors that can lead to errors. An AI system, on the other hand, operates with consistent precision and is immune to such human vulnerabilities. By increasing the reliability and success rates of missions, AI-driven autonomous flight control systems can also make space missions more cost-effective. Missions that are more likely to succeed on the first attempt reduce the need for costly retries and can lead to more efficient use of resources.

Self-Correcting Propulsion Systems:

The concept of self-correcting propulsion systems, particularly in the context of solid-propellant rockets, revolves around creating a feedback loop within the rocket's propulsion system that allows for real-time adjustments to optimize performance and enhance safety. This concept is increasingly feasible with advancements in artificial intelligence (AI), sensor technology, and computational power, enabling a more responsive and adaptable approach to rocket propulsion. Self-correcting propulsion systems are built on the integration of various technologies, including high-fidelity sensors, advanced control algorithms, and machine learning. These systems continuously monitor the rocket's performance metrics, such as thrust, burn rate, and fuel consumption, alongside environmental conditions like atmospheric pressure and temperature. In a self-correcting propulsion system, sensors provide real-time data on the rocket's engine performance and external conditions. AI algorithms analyse this data to detect any deviations from the optimal performance parameters. If discrepancies are identified, the system can automatically adjust the propulsion system's operating parameters, such as the burn rate of the propellant or the nozzle's thrust vector, to correct the course and optimize performance. For solid-propellant rockets, this might involve adjusting the nozzle design or the internal geometry of the propellant to modify the burn rate and thrust profile dynamically. While solid-propellant rockets traditionally have a fixed thrust profile once ignited, advancements in propellant technology and engine design are aiming to allow more dynamic control. The self-correcting nature of these propulsion systems significantly enhances mission safety. By continuously monitoring and adjusting the propulsion system, potential failures can be anticipated and mitigated before they lead to critical issues. For example, if the system detects an anomaly in the combustion process, it can take corrective actions to stabilize the burn rate or adjust the thrust to maintain the desired trajectory and performance. Machine learning plays a crucial role in self-correcting propulsion systems. By learning from historical data, these systems can predict potential issues before they occur, enabling preventive maintenance and adjustments. This predictive capability not only optimizes performance but also extends the lifespan of the propulsion system and reduces the risk of in-flight failures. The development of self-correcting propulsion systems is at the forefront of rocket technology research. Such systems promise to revolutionize the field by making rockets more adaptable, reliable, and safe. For solid-propellant rockets, which have traditionally been seen as having less control flexibility compared to liquid-propellant rockets, these advancements could open new avenues for their use in more complex and critical missions, including manned spaceflights and interplanetary exploration.

References

[1] Abrukov, V. S., et al. (2019). "Recent Advancements in Study of Effects of Nano Micro Additives on Solid Propellants Combustion by Means of the Data Science Methods." Defence Science Journal.

[2] Abrukov, V. S., et al. (2020). "Development of the Multifactor Computational Models of the Solid Propellants Combustion by Means of Data Science Methods. Propellant Combustion Genome Conception."

[3] Qi, Y., et al. (2019). "Solid rocket engine thrust control method based on radial basis function neural network."

[4] Abrukov, V. S., et al. (2017). "Development of the Multifactorial Computational Models of the Solid Propellants Combustion by Means of Data Science Methods – Phase II."

[5] Klinger, D., et al. (2023). "Prediction of Solid Propellant Burning Rate Characteristics Using Machine Learning Techniques." Propellants, Explosives, Pyrotechnics.

[6] Han, S., et al. (2012). "Ale-based fsci computations for solid rocket interior."

[7] Han, S., et al. (2012). "A Full Burning FSI Simulation of Solid Propellant Rocket Interior."

[8] Han, S., et al. (2014). "Integrated Fluid-Structure Simulation for Full Burning of a Solid-Propellant Rocket Interior." Journal of Propulsion and Power.

[9] Jingdong, L., et al. (2018). "Reliability prediction method of solid rocket motor based on deep fusion learning of reality and fuzzy data."

[10] Han, S., et al. (2010). "ALE-based FSI Simulation of Solid Propellant Rocket Interior."

[11] Zhao, J., et al. (2018). "Prediction of Solid Propellant Characteristic Signal Based on Improved BP Network." DEStech Transactions on Computer Science and Engineering.

[12] Kim, C. (2009). "Integrated Rocket Simulation of Internal and External Flow Dynamics in an e-Science Environment." Journal of the Korean Physical Society.

[13] Srikanth, A., et al. (2006). "Parametric Study of Auto-Initiated Solid Propellant Pulsed Plasma Micro Thruster."

[14] Dick, W. A., et al. (2001). "Integrated 3-D simulation of solid propellant rockets."

[15] Dai, Z. (2007). "Calculation for high-pressure combustion properties of high-energy solid propellant." Journal of Solid Rocket Technology.

[16] Jiao, X., et al. (2005). "An Integration Framework for Simulations of Solid Rocket Motors."

[17] Heath, M. T., et al. (1998). "Virtual rocketry: rocket science meets computer science."

[18] Qiang, H., et al. (2007). "Implementation of Real-time Fault Detection Algorithms Based on Neural Network for Liquid Propellant Rocket Engines." Journal of National University of Defense Technology.

[19] Hamp, N. (2003). "The modelling of IR emission spectra and solid rocket motor parameters using neural networks and partial least squares."

[20] Chang, I. (1993). "An efficient, intelligent solution for viscous flows inside solid rocket motors."

[21] Chang, I. (1991). "An efficient, intelligent solution for viscous flows inside solid rocket motors."

[22] Kiyak, Z. J., et al. (2013). "Solid Rocket Motor Design Using a Modified Ant Colony Optimization Metaheuristic with Local Search Capability."

[23] Asthana, S. N., et al. (1993). "Combustion Behaviour of Advanced Solid Propellants." Defence Science Journal.

[24] Waxenegger-Wilfing, G., et al. (2021). "Machine Learning Methods for the Design and Operation of Liquid Rocket Engines." arXiv: Learning.

[25] Ali, M., et al. (1988). "Rocket engine control and monitoring expert system."

[26] Debus, C., et al. (2020). "High-performance data analytics of hybrid rocket fuel combustion data using different machine learning approaches."

[27] Cauty, F. (1999). "Solid-Propellant Combustion Response Function from Direct Measurement Methods: ONERA Experience." Journal of Propulsion and Power.

[28] Ali, M., et al. (1995). "Identification and interpretation of patterns in rocket engine data: Artificial intelligence and neural network approaches."

[29] Medland, A. J., et al. (1993). "Integration of constraint and solid modellers."

[30] Wu, J., et al. (2003). "Hybrid-Knowledge-Models-Based Intelligent Fault Diagnosis Strategies for Liquid-Propellant Rocket Engines." Key Engineering Materials.

[31] Sforzini, R. H. (1980). "An automated approach to design of solid rockets utilizing a special internal ballistics model."

[32] Chen, C. (2023). "AI Intelligent Detection Technology of Metal Hose for Rocket Engine."

[33] (2022). "A Deep Learning Neural Network Approach to Missile Systems using Liquid Propulsion."

[34] Nayani, K. N., et al. (2019). "Integration of Flex Nozzle System and Electro Hydraulic Actuators to Solid Rocket Motors." Journal of The Institution of Engineers: Series C.

[35] Engle, J., et al. (1991). "Implementation of expert system/AI technology for reducing ground test in present and future launch systems."

[36] Sforzini, R. H. (1981). "Automated Approach to Design of Solid Rockets." Journal of Spacecraft and Rockets.

[37] Er, Y. (2001). "Nonlinear dynamic neural network model for rocket propulsion systems." Journal of Propulsion Technology.

[38] Anex, R. P., et al. (1991). "Development of an intelligent diagnostic system for reusable rocket engine control."

[39] Marvin, T., et al. (2008). "mLIFE™ - Integrated Rocket Motor Life Prediction Software System."

[40] de Celis, R., et al. (2020). "A Neural Network for Sensor Hybridization in Rocket Guidance."

[41] Kline, K., et al. (1998). "Integral solid booster and hybrid thrust sustaining system and projectile incorporating the same."

[42] Wisher, R.A., et al. (2001). "The effectiveness of an intelligent tutoring system for rocket training."

[43] Unnikrishnan, C., et al. (2001). "Internal Flow Simulation of Solid Rockets using an Unsteady Navier Stokes Solver."

[44] Paris, D., et al. (2005). "An Intelligent Integration Framework for In-Space Propulsion Technologies for Integrated Vehicle Health Management."

[45] Chan, M. F., et al. (2020). "Integration of AI and Machine Learning in Radiotherapy QA." Frontiers in digital health.

[46] Shaw, F. J., et al. (1988). "A Preliminary Report on Developing an Expert System for Computer-Aided Formulation of Propellants."

[47] Najjar, F., et al. (2000). "Computations of Two-Phase Flow in Aluminized Solid Propellant Rockets."

[48] Xu, Z. (2022). "Study on the Electromechanical Thrust Vector Control System of Solid Rocket Motor Nozzle Based on Fuzzy Immune PID Technology."

[49] Jenkins, D. S. (1982). "Integrated solid propellant gas generator and fluid heat exchanger."

[50] Highsmith, T. K., et al. (2000). "Digital solid rocket motor and gas generator."

[51] Pang, Y., et al. (2022). "Medical Imaging Biomarker Discovery and Integration Towards AI-Based Personalized Radiotherapy." Frontiers in Oncology.

[52] Narh, P. G., et al. (2022). "Integration Of Ai With Mobile Application Development."

[53] de Celis, R., et al. (2023). "Neural Network-Based Controller for Terminal Guidance Applied in Short-Range Rockets." IEEE Aerospace and Electronic Systems Magazine.

[54] Wenzhi, L., et al. (2009). "Research on Integrated System of CAD/CAE in the Thrust Vector Control System of a Certain Solid Propellant Rocket Motor."

[55] Russell, M., et al. (2011). "The 'Intelligent' Valve: A Diagnostic Framework for Integrated System-Health Management of a Rocket-Engine Test Stand." IEEE Transactions on Instrumentation and Measurement.

[56] Davis, T. C. (1988). "Launch vehicle operations cost reduction through artificial intelligence techniques."

[57] Francois, L., et al. (2020). "Solid propellant combustion in the low Mach one-dimensional approximation: from an index-one differential-algebraic formulation to high-fidelity simulations through high-order time integration with adaptive time-stepping." arXiv: Analysis of PDEs.

[58] Wight, C. A., et al. (2009). "Science-based simulation tools for hazard assessment and mitigation." International Journal of Energetic Materials and Chemical Propulsion.

[59] Soudier, P., et al. (2022). "Correction to: Cell-Free Biosensors and AI Integration."

[60] Vorozhtsov, A. B., et al. (2021). "Digital Twin of a High-Energy System Using Aluminium Hydride as a Fuel." Journal of Engineering Physics.

[61] Li, W., et al. (2022). "Research on low overload ejection of small projectile based on propellant gas."

Citation: Tharun A, Shaik Mohammad Abrar, Ugashri R, Lucky Boro, K V Avinash Sarma, Mohammed Moosa Aziz, Tumu Sree Jeneeth, S Venkatesh. (2025). AI-Driven Evolution in Solid-Propellant Rocketry: A Historical and Technological Perspective. International Journal of Aerospace Engineering (IJASE), 3(1), 1-18.

Abstract Link: https://iaeme.com/Home/article_id/IJASE_03_01_001

Article Link:
https://iaeme.com/MasterAdmin/Journal_uploads/IJASE/VOLUME_3_ISSUE_1/IJASE_03_01_001.pdf

✉ **editor@iaeme.com**