International Journal of Accounting and Financial Management Research And Development (IJAFMRD)
Volume 1, Issue 3, January 2018, pp. 1-24, Article ID: IJAFMRD_01_03_001
Available online at https://iaeme.com/Home/issue/IJAFMRD?Volume=1&Issue=3
Journal ID: 7740-5584; DOI: https://doi.org/10.34218/IJAFMRD_01_03_001

LEVERAGING ACCOUNTING INFORMATION SYSTEM (AIS) FOR IMPROVED DECISION-MAKING AND EFFICIENCY

Eigbe Emmanuel Taylor-Isiwele
USA.

ABSTRACT

This study investigates the role of Accounting Information Systems (AIS) in enhancing decision-making and organizational efficiency. In today's competitive business environment, timely and accurate financial information is crucial for strategic and operational decisions. The research employed an empirical approach using structured questionnaires distributed to 204 accounting, audit, and IT professionals across selected organizations. Data were analyzed using descriptive statistics, Pearson correlation, and multiple regression analysis. Findings reveal a significant positive relationship between AIS usage and decision-making quality, indicating that well-implemented AIS provides reliable and timely information that supports sound managerial decisions. Additionally, AIS usage significantly influences organizational efficiency by streamlining accounting processes, reducing errors, and improving resource allocation. Furthermore, the study finds that user competence moderates the relationship between AIS and decision-making, underscoring the importance of adequate training and user experience. The study concludes that AIS is a critical enabler of organizational performance when aligned with strategic goals and supported by skilled users. It recommends increased investment in AIS infrastructure, continuous user training, and broader system integration across departments. This research contributes to the growing literature on AIS as a strategic tool and provides practical

implications for managers, accountants, and IT professionals in leveraging AIS for improved business outcomes.

Keywords: Accounting Information System (AIS), Decision-Making, Organizational Efficiency, User Competence, Financial Reporting, Strategic Management, Information Technology, System Integration, Empirical Study, Business Performance.

Cite this Article: Eigbe Emmanuel Taylor-Isiwele. (2018). Leveraging Accounting Information System (AIS) for Improved Decision-Making and Efficiency. *International Journal of Accounting and Financial Management Research and Development (IJAFMRD)*, 1(3), 1-24.

https://iaeme.com/MasterAdmin/Journal_uploads/IJAFMRD/VOLUME_1_ISSUE_3/IJAFMRD_01_03_001.pdf

1. INTRODUCTION

1.1 Background to the Study

In the digital age, the role of information systems in accounting has evolved significantly, transforming how financial data is processed, stored, and utilized. An Accounting Information System (AIS) is a structure that a business uses to collect, store, manage, process, retrieve, and report its financial data so it can be used by decision-makers (Romney & Steinbart, 2015). The increasing complexity of business operations and the growing volume of data necessitate the adoption of robust systems capable of handling financial information accurately and efficiently.

AIS provides a systematic framework that integrates accounting principles with modern information technology. It supports a wide range of business processes, including budgeting, auditing, financial reporting, inventory management, and payroll (Laudon & Laudon, 2014). Through automation and real-time processing, AIS enables quicker access to financial data, which enhances decision-making, improves operational efficiency, and strengthens internal control systems (Soudani, 2012).

The relevance of AIS is particularly evident in managerial decision-making. Studies have shown that effective AIS improves the timeliness and relevance of information, which in turn leads to better strategic and operational decisions (Grande, Estébanez, & Colomina, 2011). For instance, research by Hunton, Hall, and Price (2010) suggests that companies that leverage integrated AIS are better equipped to analyze performance metrics and align resources with organizational goals.

AIS also plays a critical role in enhancing transparency, reducing human error, and ensuring compliance with regulatory standards (Nicolaou, 2011). By automating repetitive accounting tasks and facilitating the generation of real-time reports, AIS reduces delays in financial reporting and supports swift corrective action where necessary. According to Sajady, Dastgir, and Nejad (2012), firms using modern AIS exhibit improved internal controls, enhanced audit capabilities, and stronger financial performance.

Furthermore, AIS adoption has been linked to improved competitive advantage, especially among Small and Medium Enterprises (SMEs). In a study on Spanish SMEs, Grande et al. (2011) found a positive relationship between the sophistication of AIS and firm performance metrics such as profitability, productivity, and customer satisfaction. This underscores the strategic importance of AIS not only for data processing but also for creating value across the business chain.

Despite the benefits, the successful implementation of AIS remains uneven across organizations, particularly in developing countries. Many firms struggle with issues such as limited IT infrastructure, lack of skilled personnel, resistance to change, and high implementation costs (Alzoubi, 2011). These factors hinder the full realization of the benefits of AIS, limiting its potential to support data-driven decision-making and improve operational workflows.

Moreover, technological advancements such as cloud computing, big data analytics, and enterprise resource planning (ERP) systems are reshaping the expectations from AIS. Organizations are increasingly required to align their AIS with these innovations to remain competitive and responsive to market dynamics (Romney & Steinbart, 2015). Consequently, understanding the extent to which AIS contributes to decision-making and operational efficiency remains critical for modern businesses.

In conclusion, leveraging AIS is not only about automating accounting tasks; it is about strategically utilizing financial data to drive business growth, manage risks, and gain a competitive edge. Empirical evidence from multiple studies indicates that organizations that effectively deploy AIS are more agile, efficient, and better informed in their decision-making processes (Soudani, 2012; Nicolaou, 2011; Sajady et al., 2012).

1.2 Statement of the Problem

While AIS is widely acknowledged as a strategic tool for enhancing decision-making and operational efficiency, many organizations, particularly in developing economies, fail to leverage its full potential. Challenges such as lack of technical know-how, inadequate infrastructure, and resistance to change hinder the adoption and utilization of AIS. Moreover,

empirical studies on how AIS specifically contributes to decision-making and efficiency are limited, especially within small and medium enterprises (SMEs) (Grande et al., 2011).

There is a need to empirically assess the extent to which AIS influences decision-making processes and operational efficiency. Without this understanding, firms may invest heavily in AIS without achieving the expected benefits.

1.3 Objectives of the Study

The main objective of this study is to evaluate how organizations can leverage Accounting Information Systems to improve decision-making and operational efficiency. The specific objectives are to:

1. Examine the relationship between AIS usage and managerial decision-making quality.
2. Assess the impact of AIS on operational efficiency in organizations.
3. Identify the challenges faced by organizations in implementing AIS effectively.

1.4 Research Questions

To guide the study, the following research questions are posed:

1. How does the use of AIS affect the quality of managerial decision-making?
2. What is the impact of AIS on operational efficiency?
3. What are the key challenges organizations face in implementing AIS?

1.5 Research Hypotheses

Based on the objectives, the following hypotheses will be tested:

- H_{01}: There is no significant relationship between the use of AIS and the quality of managerial decision-making.
- H_{02}: AIS implementation has no significant effect on operational efficiency.
- H_{03}: Organizational challenges have no significant impact on the successful implementation of AIS.

1.6 Significance of the Study

This study is significant in several ways. First, it contributes to the growing body of knowledge on AIS by providing empirical insights into how it supports decision-making and efficiency. Secondly, it serves as a reference point for business managers and accountants in understanding the strategic value of AIS. Thirdly, it provides policymakers and system developers with insights into the challenges of AIS implementation and offers recommendations for improvement.

1.7 Scope of the Study

The study focuses on the use of Accounting Information Systems in selected organizations. It investigates the impact of AIS on decision-making and efficiency between

2010 and 2017, drawing data from empirical studies and organizational records within this period. The study is limited to organizations that have implemented AIS for at least five years and are actively using it in their decision-making processes.

1.8 Definition of Key Terms

- Accounting Information System (AIS): A system that collects, stores, and processes financial and accounting data to produce informational reports for decision-making.
- Decision-Making: The process of choosing the best course of action among alternatives based on available information.
- Operational Efficiency: The ability of an organization to deliver products or services in the most cost-effective manner without compromising quality.

2. LITERATURE REVIEW

2.1 Conceptual Framework

The conceptual framework provides the theoretical underpinnings that define the constructs and relationships explored in this study. It highlights how Accounting Information Systems (AIS) influence decision-making and operational efficiency, forming the basis for the research inquiry.

2.1.1 Accounting Information System (AIS)

An Accounting Information System (AIS) is a computer-based system designed to collect, process, and report financial and accounting data. It supports various business processes including transaction recording, financial reporting, budgeting, and auditing (Romney & Steinbart, 2015). According to Hall (2011), AIS serves as a bridge between financial data and managerial decision-making by ensuring data accuracy, integrity, and timely availability.

AIS comprises several components:

- People: Users who operate and manage the system.
- Procedures and instructions: Manual and automated processes used to collect, process, and store data.
- Data: Financial information relevant to the organization’s transactions.
- Software: Programs used to process data.
- Information technology infrastructure: Hardware and networking resources.
- Internal controls and security measures: Systems that ensure data integrity and confidentiality (Laudon & Laudon, 2014).

The integration of these elements makes AIS a crucial resource for managing both operational and strategic activities. Organizations that successfully implement AIS often gain competitive advantages through faster decision cycles and enhanced organizational learning (Grande, Estébanez, & Colomina, 2011).

2.1.2 Decision-Making

Decision-making involves identifying problems or opportunities, generating alternatives, evaluating these alternatives, and choosing the most suitable course of action. The quality of decision-making depends on the relevance, accuracy, and timeliness of available information (Mintzberg, Raisinghani, & Theoret, 1976). AIS contributes significantly by offering real-time data, trend analyses, financial forecasts, and performance indicators.

According to Soudani (2012), AIS enhances decision-making by allowing managers to monitor financial activities, assess budget variances, and respond promptly to operational issues. Likewise, Nicolaou (2011) argues that AIS reduces uncertainty by providing reliable and complete financial reports, thereby facilitating better judgment and strategic planning.

2.1.3 Operational Efficiency

Operational efficiency refers to the ability of an organization to deliver products or services using the least amount of resources while maintaining high quality. Efficiency is measured by the ratio of input to output, and improvements often involve cost reduction, time savings, and increased productivity.

AIS contributes to operational efficiency through:

- Automation of routine tasks (e.g., payroll, inventory tracking)
- Reduction of human error
- Improved accuracy in financial reporting
- Streamlined data processing and retrieval (Sajady, Dastgir, & Nejad, 2012)

A study by Alzoubi (2011) on a pharmaceutical firm in Jordan revealed that the implementation of AIS reduced transaction processing time and improved data consistency. Similarly, Grande et al. (2011) found that SMEs with well-integrated AIS achieved better control over operational costs and improved turnaround time on customer service processes.

2.1.4 Linkages Between AIS, Decision-Making, and Efficiency

The conceptual framework posits that AIS has a dual impact on decision-making and operational efficiency. These outcomes are interrelated: efficient operations often result from better decisions, and high-quality decisions are facilitated by accurate and timely operational data.

According to Soudani (2012), AIS improves both operational control and strategic flexibility. This relationship is further supported by the findings of Hunton, Hall, and Price (2010), who demonstrated that managers with access to integrated AIS made quicker and more effective decisions, especially in dynamic environments.

2.2 Theoretical Framework

Leveraging Accounting Information System (AIS) for Improved Decision-Making and Efficiency

A theoretical framework helps to clarify the relationships between variables and supports the empirical investigation of those relationships. For this study, several theories have been identified to help explain the mechanisms through which AIS contributes to decision-making and efficiency:

1. Technology Acceptance Model (TAM)
2. Contingency Theory
3. Resource-Based View (RBV)
4. Diffusion of Innovation Theory (DOI)
5. Unified Theory of Acceptance and Use of Technology (UTAUT)

Each theory contributes a unique perspective to understanding the adoption, implementation, and impact of AIS in organizations.

2.2.1 Technology Acceptance Model (TAM)

Developed by Davis (1989), the Technology Acceptance Model is one of the most widely used theories in Information Systems research. TAM posits that two beliefs—Perceived Usefulness (PU) and Perceived Ease of Use (PEOU)—determine a user's attitude toward using a system, which in turn affects actual usage (Davis, 1989).

In the context of AIS, TAM suggests that if accounting professionals believe AIS is easy to use and will enhance their performance, they are more likely to adopt it. Studies such as those by Saira et al. (2010) and Al-Hadrami et al. (2017) found that both PU and PEOU significantly influence AIS adoption and usage, particularly in small- and medium-sized enterprises (SMEs). TAM is especially useful in explaining the human and behavioral side of AIS implementation.

2.2.2 Contingency Theory

Contingency Theory proposes that there is no universally applicable system or structure for all organizations; rather, effectiveness depends on the fit between the system and various organizational and environmental factors (Otley, 1980).

In AIS research, Contingency Theory explains how factors such as firm size, business complexity, decentralization, and industry type influence the design and functionality of AIS (Nicolaou, 2011). For example, a large multinational firm may need a more complex AIS than a small local business.

The theory is important because it highlights that the impact of AIS on decision-making and efficiency is not uniform—it depends on contextual variables.

2.2.3 Resource-Based View (RBV)

The Resource-Based View (RBV), introduced by Barney (1991), argues that firms achieve sustainable competitive advantage by acquiring and managing valuable, rare, inimitable, and non-substitutable resources. AIS can be seen as such a resource, particularly when it is customized and integrated deeply into business processes.

AIS contributes to better data management, financial reporting, compliance, and strategic planning, which are all resources for gaining a competitive edge (Romney & Steinbart, 2015; Sajady et al., 2012). Organizations that possess high-quality AIS infrastructure and skilled personnel often outperform others in terms of decision quality and operational speed.

2.2.4 Diffusion of Innovation Theory (DOI)

Proposed by Rogers (2003), the Diffusion of Innovation Theory explains how, why, and at what rate new ideas and technology spread within a society or organization. DOI focuses on innovation attributes such as relative advantage, compatibility, complexity, trialability, and observability.

In the AIS context, this theory helps to explain why some organizations adopt new AIS technologies faster than others. According to Abu-Musa (2011), factors such as organizational readiness, IT infrastructure, and management support influence the adoption and diffusion of AIS innovations.

DOI also highlights the role of early adopters and change agents in facilitating system implementation and acceptance across departments.

2.2.5 Unified Theory of Acceptance and Use of Technology (UTAUT)

Developed by Venkatesh et al. (2003), UTAUT synthesizes elements from eight models including TAM, DOI, and the Theory of Reasoned Action. UTAUT identifies Performance Expectancy, Effort Expectancy, Social Influence, and Facilitating Conditions as the key determinants of technology acceptance and use.

This theory has been applied in AIS research to understand how organizational culture, leadership support, and peer influence affect system usage (Al-Jabri & Sohail, 2012). UTAUT also addresses the moderating role of gender, age, experience, and voluntariness in technology

use. UTAUT is particularly valuable in evaluating AIS adoption in government agencies, financial institutions, and regulated industries, where usage may not be entirely voluntary.

2.2.6 Synthesis of Theoretical Framework

Theory	Focus	Application to AIS
TAM	Perceptions of ease and usefulness	Predicts user adoption behavior
Contingency Theory	Contextual fit	Explains variation in AIS success
RBV	Competitive advantage	Views AIS as a strategic asset
DOI	Innovation adoption	Highlights technology spread
UTAUT	Unified acceptance model	Evaluates broader influences on AIS use

By synthesizing these theories, this study establishes a **comprehensive and multi-level understanding** of how AIS affects organizational outcomes. While TAM and UTAUT explain behavioral aspects, Contingency Theory and RBV capture the structural and strategic elements of AIS implementation. Together, they form a solid theoretical foundation for analyzing how AIS improves decision-making and efficiency.

2.3 Empirical Review

The empirical review explores findings from past studies that have investigated the role of Accounting Information Systems (AIS) in enhancing decision-making quality and operational efficiency across different sectors and contexts. Various researchers have studied AIS from the perspectives of performance, system quality, user satisfaction, strategic alignment, and firm competitiveness.

2.3.1 AIS and Decision-Making Quality

Multiple empirical studies have established a strong correlation between effective AIS usage and improved decision-making in organizations. According to Soudani (2012), AIS provides reliable and timely financial information that supports strategic and operational decision-making. The study, based on Iranian firms, found that AIS positively affected both internal decision-making processes and the accuracy of financial forecasting.

In a study of Lebanese banks, Romdhane (2013) demonstrated that AIS enhances decision-making by integrating multiple financial processes and ensuring consistent data availability across departments. Similarly, Sajady, Dastgir, and Nejad (2012) observed that AIS improves managerial decision-making by reducing information-processing time and providing relevant financial insights.

2.3.2 AIS and Operational Efficiency

Efficiency gains resulting from AIS implementation have also been widely documented. Grande, Estébanez, and Colomina (2011) examined small and medium-sized enterprises (SMEs) in Spain and found that AIS contributes to increased productivity, reduced redundancy, and more efficient financial reporting. The study showed that SMEs using AIS experienced better internal controls and improved cost management.

In a similar vein, Hunton, Lippincott, and Reck (2010) provided evidence that firms implementing ERP-based AIS experienced measurable efficiency improvements in transaction processing and reporting. Their study emphasized the automation capabilities of AIS in reducing manual errors and enhancing operational workflow.

Also, Al-Hakim and Hassan (2012) highlighted that the integration of AIS with other enterprise systems (like inventory and HR) significantly enhances process efficiency and interdepartmental coordination in Iraqi industrial firms.

2.3.3 AIS and Organizational Performance

Empirical studies also show that AIS contributes significantly to the broader performance metrics of an organization. Ismail and King (2014) found a strong association between AIS capability and firm performance in Malaysian SMEs. The study noted that AIS facilitates accurate budgeting, performance measurement, and compliance, all of which drive performance.

Furthermore, Salehi, Rostami, and Mogadam (2010) analyzed listed companies in Tehran and concluded that AIS had a direct positive impact on financial reporting quality and organizational efficiency. Their results support the notion that AIS should be viewed as a strategic enabler of firm-wide success.

2.3.4 User Competency and AIS Effectiveness

A few studies have focused on the human element of AIS performance. According to Laudon and Laudon (2012), the benefits of AIS are maximized only when users are properly trained and supported. Alzoubi (2011) emphasized that the quality of financial information generated by AIS depends significantly on user knowledge and competence. The study, conducted among Jordanian industrial companies, revealed that user expertise moderates the relationship between AIS adoption and decision quality.

This underscores the importance of investing not just in technology, but also in human capital for effective AIS implementation.

2.3.5 AIS Adoption Challenges

While AIS offers many benefits, empirical research has also uncovered several challenges associated with its implementation. For example, Abu-Musa (2011) identified technical complexity, lack of user training, and resistance to change as major obstacles to effective AIS use in Saudi companies. Al-Jabri and Sohail (2012) also found that AIS adoption in Middle Eastern financial institutions is often hampered by cultural and infrastructural limitations.

These challenges suggest that successful AIS implementation requires strong managerial commitment, adequate training, and alignment with organizational culture.

2.3.6 Summary of Empirical Findings

Author(s)	Context	Key Findings
Soudani (2012)	Iran	AIS improves decision-making quality
Grande et al. (2011)	Spain (SMEs)	AIS enhances operational efficiency
Ismail & King (2014)	Malaysia	AIS boosts firm performance
Alzoubi (2011)	Jordan	User competence affects AIS effectiveness
Abu-Musa (2011)	Saudi Arabia	Barriers to AIS include technical and human factors

Collectively, these empirical studies confirm that AIS plays a critical role in enhancing decision-making, improving efficiency, and boosting overall organizational performance. However, they also highlight the need for proper system alignment, user training, and organizational readiness.

2.4 Summary of Literature

The reviewed literature underscores the vital role of AIS in enhancing decision-making and operational efficiency. The Technology Acceptance Model and Contingency Theory both provide theoretical support for understanding AIS adoption and performance. Empirical studies consistently show that when properly implemented, AIS improves data accuracy, speeds up reporting, and supports better decisions.

However, successful AIS implementation is contingent upon organizational readiness, including technical capacity, user training, and leadership commitment. The gap in literature still exists in sector-specific applications of AIS and its longitudinal impact on decision-making effectiveness and organizational efficiency.

3. METHODOLOGY

This section outlines the research design, population and sampling techniques, methods of data collection, instrumentation, and the techniques for data analysis. The methodology is designed to empirically investigate the impact of Accounting Information Systems (AIS) on decision-making and organizational efficiency in selected firms.

3.1 Research Design

This study adopts a descriptive survey design, which is appropriate for collecting quantitative data that describe the current state of AIS usage and its influence on decision-making and efficiency. This design is suitable because it allows the researcher to collect data directly from respondents within real-world organizational settings, enabling the establishment of empirical relationships between variables (Creswell, 2014).

3.2 Population of the Study

The population of this study comprises accounting, finance, and IT staff in medium and large-scale organizations, particularly those that have implemented an AIS or ERP system. These include manufacturing firms, banks, and public sector institutions.

3.3 Sampling Technique and Sample Size

A purposive sampling technique was adopted to select firms that use AIS as a core part of their operations. From these, stratified random sampling was used to select participants from different departments (accounting, finance, IT, internal audit) to ensure representation.

Using Yamane's formula (1967) for sample size determination.

3.4 Sources and Methods of Data Collection

Primary data was collected through the administration of a structured questionnaire, which was divided into three sections:

- Section A: Demographic Information
- Section B: AIS Use and Decision-Making
- Section C: AIS and Organizational Efficiency

The questionnaire employed Likert-scale items (1 = Strongly Disagree to 5 = Strongly Agree) to quantify respondents' perceptions.

Additionally, semi-structured interviews were conducted with a few IT managers and finance executives to gain qualitative insights into AIS implementation challenges and benefits.

3.5 Instrumentation and Validation

The questionnaire items were adapted from validated instruments used in prior AIS studies (e.g., Grande et al., 2011; Soudani, 2012; Ismail & King, 2014). A pilot study was

conducted with 20 respondents to test for clarity and reliability. The Cronbach's Alpha was used to assess internal consistency reliability. Values above 0.70 were considered acceptable (Nunnally, 1978).

- AIS-Decision-Making Scale: $\alpha = 0.83$
- AIS-Efficiency Scale: $\alpha = 0.87$

Content validity was ensured by seeking expert review from two university lecturers and one AIS practitioner.

3.6 Method of Data Analysis

The data collected was coded and analyzed using Statistical Package for Social Sciences (SPSS). The following statistical tools were employed:

- Descriptive Statistics (mean, standard deviation, frequency) – to summarize demographic data.
- Pearson Correlation – to test the strength of the relationship between AIS usage and decision-making or efficiency.
- Multiple Regression Analysis – to test the predictive power of AIS usage on decision-making and operational efficiency.

A significance level of 0.05 was used to determine statistical significance.

3.7 Ethical Considerations

The study ensured ethical compliance in the following ways:

- Informed consent was obtained from all respondents.
- Participation was voluntary and anonymous.
- Data was treated with confidentiality and used solely for academic purposes.
- Ethical approval was secured from the host institution's research committee.

3.8 Limitations of the Methodology

Some limitations were anticipated:

- Possible non-response bias due to busy schedules of professionals.
- The cross-sectional design limits causality inference.
- The study may not generalize across industries without AIS infrastructure.

Despite these, care was taken to enhance validity and reliability.

4. RESULT AND DISCUSSION

This section presents the analysis of the data collected through structured questionnaires administered to accounting, finance, IT, and operations personnel in AIS-using organizations. A total of 222 questionnaires were distributed, and 204 were validly completed and returned, representing a response rate of 91.9%. Data was analyzed using SPSS version 20, and the results are presented using descriptive and inferential statistics.

Table:1 Demographic Characteristics of Respondents

Variable	Frequency	Percentage (%)
Gender		
Male	128	62.7%
Female	76	37.3%
Age Group		
18–25 years	30	14.7%
26–35 years	94	46.1%
36–45 years	52	25.5%
Above 45 years	28	13.7%
Department		
Accounting/Finance	96	47.1%
IT	54	26.5%
Audit	26	12.7%
Operations	28	13.7%
Years of Experience		
Less than 5 years	62	30.4%
5–10 years	92	45.1%
Above 10 years	50	24.5%

4.1 Interpretation:

The gender distribution shows a male dominance (62.7%), which is common in technical and finance-related roles. Most respondents are between 26–35 years old (46.1%), indicating a relatively young but experienced workforce. Departmental representation is led by accounting/finance (47.1%), ensuring relevant insights into AIS usage. Furthermore, 69.6% of respondents have more than 5 years of experience, reflecting their capability to assess AIS performance based on prolonged exposure.

Table 2: Descriptive Analysis of AIS Usage, Decision-Making, and Efficiency

Variable	Mean Score	Std. Deviation	Interpretation
AIS is frequently used in my department	4.21	0.66	Strong Agreement
AIS helps improve the accuracy of reports	4.18	0.72	Strong Agreement
AIS enhances timely decisions	4.10	0.75	Strong Agreement
AIS improves operational efficiency	4.05	0.81	Agreement
Users are well trained to use the AIS	3.89	0.93	Agreement

4.2 Interpretation:

The results suggest that AIS is widely and consistently used across departments (mean = 4.21), which supports the platform's embeddedness in daily operations. High mean values for accuracy (4.18) and timeliness (4.10) indicate that AIS plays a pivotal role in improving reporting and decision-making. Respondents also agreed that AIS contributes to organizational efficiency (4.05). However, the relatively lower mean (3.89) for user competence indicates some room for improvement in training and system familiarity, which can enhance overall benefits.

4.3 Test of Hypotheses

4.3.1 Hypothesis One

H_0: There is no significant relationship between AIS usage and decision-making. **H_1:** There is a significant relationship between AIS usage and decision-making.

Test: Pearson Correlation

Variables	Pearson r	Sig. (2-tailed)
AIS Usage & Decision-Making	0.612	0.000

4.4 Interpretation:

The Pearson correlation coefficient (r = 0.612) shows a strong positive relationship between AIS usage and decision-making. The p-value (0.000) is less than the significance level (0.05), confirming statistical significance. This means that as the extent of AIS usage increases, the quality and speed of decision-making improve accordingly. This result aligns with previous findings (e.g., Soudani, 2012), reinforcing the value of AIS in strategic and tactical decisions.

4.4.1 Hypothesis Two

H_0: AIS usage does not significantly affect organizational efficiency. **H_1:** AIS usage significantly affects organizational efficiency.

Test: Simple Linear Regression

Model Summary:

R	R^2	Adjusted R^2	Std. Error
0.583	0.340	0.336	0.526

4.5 Interpretation:

The correlation coefficient (R = 0.583) shows a moderate to strong relationship between AIS usage and organizational efficiency. The R^2 value (0.340) implies that AIS usage explains 34% of the variability in organizational efficiency. This is substantial in social sciences research, where many variables influence performance. The adjusted R^2 accounts for the sample size and supports the generalizability of the result.

4.6 ANOVA Table:

4.6.1 Interpretation:

The F-statistic of 103.91 with a significance level of 0.000 confirms that the regression model is valid. It implies that AIS usage significantly contributes to predicting efficiency improvements in the organization.

Coefficients Table:

Predictor	B	Std. Error	t	Sig.
(Constant)	1.025	0.251	4.08	0.000
AIS Usage	0.731	0.072	10.19	0.000

4.7 Interpretation:

The unstandardized coefficient (B = 0.731) means that for every 1-unit increase in AIS usage, efficiency improves by 0.731 units, assuming other factors remain constant. The t-value

(10.19) and p-value (0.000) confirm that this predictor is statistically significant. Therefore, we reject the null hypothesis and accept that AIS usage positively affects organizational efficiency.

4.7.1 Hypothesis Three

H_0: AIS user competence does not moderate the relationship between AIS usage and decision-making.

H_1: AIS user competence moderates the relationship.

Test: Multiple Regression with Interaction Term (AIS Usage × User Competence)

Model Summary:

R	R^2	ΔR^2	F Change	Sig. F Change
0.655	0.429	0.056	13.78	0.000

4.8 Interpretation:

The model shows an R^2 of 0.429, meaning 42.9% of the variation in decision-making is explained by AIS usage and user competence combined, including their interaction. The change in R^2 ($\Delta R^2 = 0.056$) due to the interaction term is statistically significant ($p = 0.000$), confirming that user competence moderates the relationship between AIS usage and decision-making. This means that better-trained users can leverage AIS more effectively, leading to enhanced decision outcomes.

4.9 Discussion of Findings

The findings of this study reinforce the critical role of Accounting Information Systems (AIS) in enhancing both decision-making quality and operational efficiency within organizations. The results align with prior research and expand on the understanding of AIS as not merely a technical system but a strategic management tool that supports evidence-based practices and improves firm performance.

4.9.1 AIS and Decision-Making

The first hypothesis tested revealed a strong and statistically significant positive relationship between AIS usage and decision-making quality ($r = 0.612$, $p < 0.05$). This implies that increased usage of AIS correlates with better, faster, and more accurate decisions in the organization. This result corroborates the work of Soudani (2012), who found that AIS

contributes significantly to improving managerial decision-making through timely financial reporting, performance evaluation, and budgeting.

Similarly, Alzoubi (2011) observed that organizations with high AIS integration tend to have superior decision-making structures, especially where financial data is complex and must be processed quickly. In a more sector-specific study, Salehi, Rostami, and Mogadam (2010) noted that in Iranian manufacturing firms, AIS facilitated better investment decisions, particularly through the integration of cost control and real-time feedback mechanisms.

Moreover, AIS supports strategic decision-making by offering predictive insights based on financial trends, ratios, and forecasts (Rom, 2011). This predictive capability empowers management to engage in proactive rather than reactive planning, which has been shown to improve competitive positioning in dynamic markets (Grande, Estébanez & Colomina, 2011).

4.9.2 AIS and Organizational Efficiency

The regression analysis for the second hypothesis revealed that AIS usage significantly predicts organizational efficiency, with $R^2 = 0.340$. This suggests that 34% of the variance in efficiency among organizations in the study is explained by AIS usage. This result aligns with the empirical evidence presented by Nicolaou (2011), who emphasized that integrated AIS platforms reduce duplication of tasks, minimize manual errors, and accelerate transaction processing, all of which enhance operational throughput.

AIS systems enhance efficiency by automating routine accounting processes such as ledger maintenance, invoicing, and inventory tracking (Hunton, 2011). Automation reduces labor costs and frees up staff time for higher-level analytical tasks. Furthermore, Dandago and Rufai (2014) found that real-time reporting enabled by AIS led to faster internal audits and more timely adjustments in budget allocations, leading to improved organizational responsiveness.

In addition, the structured data storage and retrieval features of AIS facilitate cross-departmental collaboration, reduce information silos, and improve internal communications factors that are known to enhance organizational performance (Laudon & Laudon, 2012).

4.9.3 Moderating Role of User Competence

The third hypothesis examined the moderating effect of user competence on the relationship between AIS usage and decision-making. The results showed that user competence significantly enhanced the positive effect of AIS on decision-making ($\Delta R^2 = 0.056$, $p < 0.05$). This finding is consistent with the Technology Acceptance Model (TAM), which posits that perceived ease of use and perceived usefulness are crucial for technology adoption (Davis,

1989; Venkatesh & Bala, 2008). Skilled users are more likely to explore AIS features fully and use outputs for complex decisions.

Ismail and King (2014) argued that AIS effectiveness depends heavily on the knowledge and competence of end-users. Similarly, Onaolapo and Odetayo (2012) emphasized that inadequate user training can diminish the benefits of AIS, leading to suboptimal reporting and misinformed decisions. The importance of human capital development in this area cannot be overemphasized. As Al-Dalaien and Dalayeen (2017) concluded, even the most advanced systems fail to yield results without well-trained and motivated personnel.

These findings suggest that for AIS to deliver on its potential, organizations must combine system deployment with targeted training programs, user support, and change management initiatives. A failure to invest in user competence can create bottlenecks in decision workflows and diminish the returns on AIS investments.

4.9.4 Conclusion of the Discussion

In summary, the findings from this study not only affirm the value of AIS in contemporary organizational settings but also contribute to the growing body of literature that highlights AIS as both a technological and managerial asset. Organizations that wish to optimize performance and enhance competitive advantage should prioritize not just the adoption of AIS but also the strategic alignment of systems, processes, and people (Hall, 2010; Romney & Steinbart, 2012). The results support the argument that the true value of AIS lies in how it is used—and by whom.

5. SUMMARY, CONCLUSION, AND RECOMMENDATIONS

5.1 Summary of Findings

This study was conducted to examine the extent to which Accounting Information Systems (AIS) can be leveraged for improved decision-making and organizational efficiency. The research specifically investigated:

1. The relationship between AIS usage and decision-making quality.
2. The impact of AIS usage on organizational efficiency.
3. Whether user competence moderates the relationship between AIS usage and decision-making.

Data was collected from 204 professionals across departments that interact with AIS, including accounting, IT, audit, and operations. Descriptive statistics, correlation analysis, and regression models were used to analyze the data.

The key findings of the study are as follows:

- AIS usage significantly enhances decision-making capabilities within organizations ($r = 0.612$, $p < 0.05$), indicating that systems that provide timely, relevant, and accurate information contribute to better management decisions.
- AIS usage also significantly improves organizational efficiency, explaining 34% of the variation in efficiency metrics, such as transaction speed, error reduction, and resource optimization.
- User competence plays a moderating role in the relationship between AIS and decision-making. When employees are well-trained and experienced in using AIS, the system's contribution to strategic and operational decisions is significantly enhanced.

These findings align with the literature, including works by Soudani (2012), Grande et al. (2011), and Ismail & King (2014), all of which emphasize the central role of AIS in modern business operations.

5.2 Conclusion

This study concludes that Accounting Information Systems are indispensable tools for effective decision-making and operational efficiency. AIS provides a structured platform for capturing, processing, storing, and reporting financial and non-financial data, thus enabling organizations to make informed, evidence-based decisions.

The strength of the system's impact is, however, contingent upon the level of user competence. Trained and experienced users are better positioned to utilize the system to its full potential, drawing meaningful insights and improving organizational outcomes.

Furthermore, this study underscores the importance of aligning AIS investments with human capital development. It is not enough to install sophisticated software; there must also be a concerted effort to train, support, and incentivize users to engage with the system effectively.

In sum, AIS contributes significantly to both strategic and operational success when implemented with adequate infrastructure, user competence, and organizational support mechanisms.

5.3 Recommendations

Based on the findings and conclusions of this study, the following recommendations are proposed:

1. Increase Investment in AIS Infrastructure

Organizations should continue to invest in modern, integrated AIS platforms that are adaptable and scalable. Systems should support real-time processing, analytics, and reporting to meet the dynamic needs of decision-makers.

2. Enhance Training and User Competence

Regular training programs, workshops, and certifications should be instituted to ensure users are competent in using AIS tools effectively. This includes both technical skills and analytical skills for interpreting reports.

3. Encourage AIS Integration Across Departments

AIS should not be isolated to the accounting department. Integration with operations, marketing, HR, and supply chain functions will create a holistic information system that supports enterprise-wide efficiency and synergy.

4. Establish Continuous Evaluation Mechanisms

Organizations should periodically assess the effectiveness of their AIS, using metrics such as user satisfaction, data accuracy, processing time, and decision outcomes. This will help identify weaknesses and opportunities for improvement.

5. Align AIS with Strategic Goals

AIS usage should be aligned with organizational objectives to ensure relevance and maximize impact. Strategic alignment ensures that data produced by AIS is actionable and supports long-term planning and competitive positioning.

6. Support Change Management Practices

When new AIS tools or updates are introduced, proper change management strategies must be deployed. This includes communication plans, training schedules, stakeholder engagement, and support systems.

5.4 Suggestions for Further Studies

- Future research could investigate the impact of cloud-based AIS and emerging technologies such as AI and blockchain on organizational performance.
- A comparative study between public and private sector organizations could reveal sector-specific AIS challenges and opportunities.
- Longitudinal studies that track AIS implementation and outcomes over time would provide insights into sustainability and long-term effects.

References

[1] Abu-Musa, A. A. (2011). Exploring the importance and implementation of AIS in Saudi organizations. *Journal of Accounting and Information Management, 19*(2), 130–150.

[2] Al-Hakim, L. A. Y., & Hassan, S. (2012). Knowledge management strategies, innovation, and organizational performance: An empirical study of the Iraqi MSEs. *International Journal of Management and Innovation, 4*(2), 1–16.

[3] Al-Jabri, I. M., & Sohail, M. S. (2012). Mobile banking adoption: Application of diffusion of innovation theory. *Journal of Electronic Commerce Research, 13*(4), 379–391.

[4] Alzoubi, A. (2011). The effectiveness of the accounting information system under the enterprise resources planning (ERP): A study on Al-Hikma Pharmaceutical Company. *Research Journal of Finance and Accounting, 2*(11), 10–19.

[5] Barney, J. (1991). Firm resources and sustained competitive advantage. *Journal of Management, 17*(1), 99–120.

[6] Creswell, J. W. (2014). *Research Design: Qualitative, Quantitative, and Mixed Methods Approaches* (4th ed.). Sage.

[7] Davis, F. D. (1989). Perceived usefulness, perceived ease of use, and user acceptance of information technology. *MIS Quarterly, 13*(3), 319–340.

[8] Gelinas, U. J., Dull, R. B., & Wheeler, P. R. (2012). *Accounting Information Systems* (9th ed.). Cengage Learning.

[9] Grande, E. U., Estébanez, R. P., & Colomina, C. M. (2011). The impact of Accounting Information Systems (AIS) on performance measures: Empirical evidence in Spanish SMEs. *International Journal of Digital Accounting Research, 11*, 25–43.

[10] Hall, J. A. (2011). *Accounting Information Systems* (7th ed.). Cengage Learning.

[11] Hunton, J. E., Hall, T. W., & Price, K. H. (2010). The value of voice in managerial decision-making. *Accounting, Organizations and Society, 35*(6), 533–541.

[12] Hunton, J. E., Lippincott, B., & Reck, J. (2010). Enterprise resource planning systems: Comparing firm performance of adopters and non-adopters. *International Journal of Accounting Information Systems, 4*(3), 165–184.

[13] Ismail, N. A., & King, M. (2014). Factors influencing the alignment of accounting information systems in Malaysian SMEs. *Journal of Information Systems and Small Business, 1*(1-2), 1–20.

[14] Laudon, K. C., & Laudon, J. P. (2012). *Management Information Systems: Managing the Digital Firm* (12th ed.). Pearson.

[15] Laudon, K. C., & Laudon, J. P. (2014). *Management Information Systems: Managing the Digital Firm* (13th ed.). Pearson.

[16] Mintzberg, H., Raisinghani, D., & Theoret, A. (1976). The structure of 'unstructured' decision processes. *Administrative Science Quarterly, 21*(2), 246–275.

[17] Nicolaou, A. I. (2011). Research issues in accounting information systems. *Journal of Information Systems, 25*(1), 209–213.

[18] Nunnally, J. C. (1978). *Psychometric Theory* (2nd ed.). McGraw-Hill.

[19] Otley, D. T. (1980). The contingency theory of management accounting: Achievement and prognosis. *Accounting, Organizations and Society, 5*(4), 413–428.

[20] Rogers, E. M. (2003). *Diffusion of Innovations* (5th ed.). Free Press.

[21] Romdhane, R. (2013). The role of AIS in decision making: Empirical evidence from Lebanon. *International Journal of Economics and Finance, 5*(5), 1–10.

[22] Romney, M. B., & Steinbart, P. J. (2015). *Accounting Information Systems* (13th ed.). Pearson.

[23] Saira, K., Zariyawati, M. A., & Annuar, M. N. (2010). Information systems usage and accounting information quality. *International Journal of Economics and Finance, 2*(4), 189–195.

[24] Sajady, H., Dastgir, M., & Nejad, H. H. (2012). Evaluation of the effectiveness of accounting information systems. *International Journal of Information Science and Management, 6*(2), 49–59.

[25] Salehi, M., Rostami, V., & Mogadam, A. (2010). Usefulness of accounting information system in emerging economy: Empirical evidence of Iran. *International Journal of Economics and Finance, 2*(2), 186–195.

[26] Soudani, S. N. (2012). The usefulness of an accounting information system for effective organizational performance. *International Journal of Economics and Finance, 4*(5), 136–145.

[27] Venkatesh, V., Morris, M. G., Davis, G. B., & Davis, F. D. (2003). User acceptance of information technology: Toward a unified view. *MIS Quarterly, 27*(3), 425–478.

[28] Yamane, T. (1967). *Statistics: An Introductory Analysis* (2nd ed.). Harper & Row.

Citation: Eigbe Emmanuel Taylor-Isiwele. (2018). Leveraging Accounting Information System (AIS) for Improved Decision-Making and Efficiency. International Journal of Accounting and Financial Management Research and Development (IJAFMRD), 1(3), 1-24.

Abstract Link: https://iaeme.com/Home/article_id/IJAFMRD_01_03_001

Article Link:
https://iaeme.com/MasterAdmin/Journal_uploads/IJAFMRD/VOLUME_1_ISSUE_3/IJAFMRD_01_03_001.pdf

✉ **editor@iaeme.com**