# Advancing the Cryptographic Hash-Based Message Authentication Code

Manish Kumar, Ashish Avasthi, and Gaurav Mishra

*Abstract*—**In today's word the authentication is very much required for the purpose of the transfer of the information from one place to another. Now a day's the use of E-mail become very popular because of their fast and easy to use in nature. This new technology has led to the problem of Authentication of the Message. To perform this activity we use the technique called MAC (Message Authentication Code). The message authentication code is the digest that is send along with the message to authenticate the origin of the message from where it is generated. The MAC (Message Authentication Code) is generated by the process of creating the message digest and also adding the encryption to it. However this is not very secure. In this paper we will encrypt the message digest and then again use the previously available cryptographic algorithm and again encrypt the Message. We this the idea of confusion and diffusion make are message more secure.** 

*Index Term—***Authentication, Digest, Encryption, Secure, Cryptographic Solution.** 

#### I. INTRODUCTION

The Concept of Message Authentication Code (MAC) is quite similar to the message digest. The message digest is a finger print or the summary of the message. It is similar to the concepts Longitudinal Redundancy Check (LRC) or Cyclic Redundancy Check (CRC). That is, it is used to verify the integrity of the data (i.e. to ensure that a message has not been tampered with after it leaves the sender but before it reaches the receiver). Suppose a block of bits is organized in the form of a list (as rows) in the Longitudinal Redundancy Check (LRC). Here for instance, if we want to send 32 bits, we bits, we arrange them into a list of four (horizontals) rows. Then we count how many single bits occur in each of 8(vertical) columns.[if number of 1s in the columns is odd, then we say that the column has odd parity (indicated by a 1 bit in the shaded LRC rows); otherwise if the number of 1s in column is parity(indicated by a 0 bit in the shaded LRC).] for instance , in the first column, we have two 1s, indicating an even parity and have three 1s , indicating an odd parity, therefore we have a 1 in shaded LRC row for the last column. Thus, the parity for each column is calculated and a new row of eight parity bits is created these becomes the parity bits for the whole block.

Manuscript received November11, 2010; revised April 13, 2011.

Manish Kumar, Uttar Pradesh Technical University, CSE Dept., SRMSIBS, Member IACSIT, Lucknow, Uttar Pradesh, India, E-mail: dr.manish.2000@gmail.com

Ashish Avasthi, Uttar Pradesh Technical University, MCA Dept., SRMCEM. Member IACSIT, Lucknow, Uttar Pradesh, India, E-mail: ashishsrmcem@gmail.com

Gaurav Mishra, Uttar Pradesh Technical University, MCA Dept., SRMCEM. Member IACSIT, Lucknow, Uttar Pradesh, India, E-mail: gauravhanu@rediffmail.com



Thus the LRC is actually a fingerprint of the original message. The concept of message digests is based on similar principles. However, it is slightly wider in scope. For instance, suppose we have a number 4000 and we divide it by 4 to get 1000, 4 became a fingerprint of number 4000. Dividing 4000 by4 will always yield 1000. If we change either 4000 or 4, the result will not be 1000.

#### *A. Message Authentication Code (MAC)*

The concept of Message Authentication code is quite similar to that of a message digest. However, there is one difference. As we have seen, a message digest is simply a fingerprint of a message. There is no cryptography process involves in the case of message digests. In contrast, a MAC requires that the sender and receiver should know a shared symmetric (secret) key, which is used in the preparation of the MAC.

Thus, MAC involves cryptography processing. Let us see how this works.

Let us assume that the sender A wants to send a message M to received B.

1. A and B share a Symmetric(secret) key k,which is not known to anyone else A calculates the MAC by applying key k to message m.

2. A then sends the original message M and the MAC H1 to B.

3. When B received the message, B also used K to calculate its own MAC H2 over M.

4. B now compares H1 with H2. If the two match, B concludes that the message M has not been changed during transits. However, if  $H1 \# H2$ , B rejects the message, realizing that the message was changed during transits.

The significations of a MAC are as Follows:

1. The MAC assures the receiver (in this case B) that the message is not altered. This is become an attacker alters the message but does not alter the MAC (in this case, H1), then the receiver's calculation of the MAC (in this case, H2) will differ from it. As we know key used the calculation of the MAC (in this case k) is assumed to be known only to the sender and receiver (in this case, A and B). Therefore, the attacker does not know the key, K and therefore, she cannot alter the MAC.

2. The receiver (in this case B) is assumed that the message indeed came from the correct sender(in this case, B).since only the sender and receiver (A and B, respectively, in this case) know the secret key ( in this case, K), no one else could have calculated the MAC (in this case H1) sent by the sender (in this case A).

#### II. PROPOSED MODEL

In our model we use the Hash based Message authentication model. The fundamental idea behind HMAC is to reuse the existing message digest algorithm like MD5 or SHA-1. In our proposed model the message entered is first encrypted by using the DES Algorithm which produces the cipher text now this cipher text that is produced its message digest is used and send it to the other location using the symmetric key encryption the other location receiver now reverse the process and compare the message authentication code of the message if both MAC founds to be same then no alteration of the message is done during the transmission. This produced MAC is more secure as it is encrypted by the DES encrypted. We can also use any other cryptographic algorithm in place of the DES Algorithm in our proposed model.



#### **Working**

The working of our proposed model consists of the following abbreviations:-

MD = The Message Digest/Hash function used

 $M =$ The input message whose MAC is to be

calculate

M1 = Original Message whose encrypted text

has to be calculated and denoted as the message whose digest has to be calculated.

 $K1$  = First DES Key

 $K2$  = Second DES Key

 $L =$ The number of blocks in the message M

 $b =$ The number of bits in each block

 $K =$ The shared symmetric key to be used in **CHMAC** 

ipad =A string 00110110 repeated b/8 times opad= A string 01011010 repeated b/8 times Now with these variables we will discuss the different steps:

Step 1. Make the length of K equal to b. The algorithm starts with three possibilities, depending on the length of the key K :

• Length of  $K \leq b$ 

In this case we will expand the key  $(K)$  to make the length of K equal to the number of bits in the original message block (b). for this we add as many 0 bits as required to the left of K.

• Length of K=b (in this case do nothing)

• Length of K>b

In this we need to trim K to make the length of K equal to the number of bits in the original message block (b) . for this we pass K through the message digest algorithm (H). Step 2. XOR K with ipad to produce S1



Step 3. Encrypt the original message M1 with DES and key  $(K1)$  now the output produce is again encrypted using DES and key (K2) and now the output of this is called as Original message (M). Step 4 Append M to S1. Now we take the original message (M) and simply append it to the end of S1.



Step 5. Message Digest algorithm which has been selected is applied to the output of the step 4. And the output of this step is called as H.



Figure 5

Step6 . XOR R with opad to produce S2



Step 7. Append H to S2. In this step, we take the message digest calculated in the step 5 and simply append it to the end of s2.



Figure 8

Step 8**. Message Digest Algorithm**. Now the selected message digest algorithm is applied to the output of step 7. This is the final message authentication code (MAC) that we want.

#### III. IMPLEMENTATION

The HMAC algorithm is specified for an arbitrary Approved cryptographic hash function, H. With minor modifications, an HMAC implementation can easily replace one hash function, H, with another hash function, H'. Conceptually, the intermediate results of the compression function on the B-byte blocks (K0  $\oplus$  ipad) and (K0  $\oplus$ opad) can be pre computed once, at the time of generation of the key K, or before its first use. These intermediate results can be stored and then used to initialize H each time that a message needs to be authenticated using the same key. For each authenticated message using the key K, this method saves the application of the hash function of H on two Bbyte blocks (i.e., on  $(K \oplus$  ipad) and  $(K \oplus$  opad)). This saving may be significant when authenticating short streams of data. These stored intermediate values shall be treated and protected in the same manner as secret keys. The practical implementation is also shown on the various results by using the example of the HMAC and SHA-1 Digest Algorithm and also by introducing the double DES cryptographic algorithm.

# **HMAC EXAMPLES**

These examples are provided in order to promote correct implementations of HMAC. The SHA-1 hash function used in these examples is specified in [4]. A.1 SHA-1 with 64-Byte Key Text: "Sample #1"



# $K0 \oplus$  **ipad:**

![](_page_2_Picture_395.jpeg)

## $(Key \oplus \text{ipad})$ ||text:

![](_page_2_Picture_396.jpeg)

# $Hash((Key \oplus ipad)||text{x})$ :

 bcc2c68c abbbf1c3 f5b05d8e 7e73a4d2 7b7e1b20

# **K0** ⊕ **opad:**

![](_page_2_Picture_397.jpeg)

#### $(K0 \oplus$  opa d) || Hash((Key  $\oplus$  ipad)||text):

![](_page_2_Picture_398.jpeg)

# **HMAC(Key, Text)** = **Hash((K0**  $\oplus$  opad) || **Hash((Key**  $\oplus$ **ipad)||text)):**

 4f4ca3d5 d68ba7cc 0a1208c9 c61e9c5d a0403c0a

## **20-byte HMAC(Key, Text):**

![](_page_2_Picture_399.jpeg)

#### **A.2 SHA-1 with 20-Byte Key**

#### **Text: "Sample #2**"

- **Key:** 30313233 34353637 38393a3b 3c3d3e3f 40414243
- **K0:** 30313233 34353637 38393a3b 3c3d3e3f 40414243 00000000 00000000 00000000 00000000 00000000 00000000 00000000 00000000 00000000 00000000 00000000

## $K0 \oplus$  **ipad:**

![](_page_2_Picture_400.jpeg)

## $(Key \oplus \text{ipad})$ ||text:

![](_page_2_Picture_401.jpeg)

## $Hash((Key \oplus ipad)||text{x})$ :

![](_page_3_Picture_309.jpeg)

 96363636 36363636 36363636 36363636 53616d70 6c652023 34

# $Hash((Key \oplus ipad)||text{x})$ :

bf1e889d 876c34b7 bef3496e d998c8d1 16673a2e

# $K0 \oplus$ **opad:**

![](_page_4_Picture_311.jpeg)

# $(K0 \oplus$  opad) || Hash( $(Kev \oplus$  ipad)||text):

![](_page_4_Picture_312.jpeg)

**HMAC(Key, Text)** =  $\text{Hash}((K0 \oplus \text{opad}) || \text{Hash}((Key$ ⊕ **ipad)||text)):** 

9ea886ef e268dbec ce420c75 24df32e0 751a2a26

# **12-byte HMAC(Key, Text):**

## **9ea886ef e268dbec ce420c75**

## IV. CONCLUSION

At the end we have come to the conclusion that the use of CHMAC will increase the security and the authentication of

the message during the transmission of the message from the sender to the receiver end. This we have proved by the use of the example. This proposed model also require less security by the transmission system during it transmit, as the message is coded by using the cryptographic algorithm making it least vulnerable to the different attacks and can also be used by the help of other cryptographic algorithm other than the DES.

#### **REFERENCES**

- [1] Atul khate, Cryptographic and Network Security, TMH, 2007.
- [2] B. Preneel and P. van Oorschot, \On the security of two MAC algorithms," Advances in Cryptology { Eurocrypt 96 Proceedings, Lecture Notes in Computer Science} Vol. 22,U. Maurer ed., Springer-Verlag, 1996.
- [3] H. Dobbertin, \Cryptanalysis of MD4," Fast Software Encryption Workshop, Lecture Notes in Computer Sciences, vol. 1039, Springer Verlag, 1996, pp. 53-69.
- [4] H. Dobbertin,  $\overline{\ }$  The Status of MD5 After a Recent Attack", RSA Labs' CryptoBytes, Vol. 2 No. 2, Summer 1996.
- [5] I. Damgºard, \A design principle for hash functions," Advances in Cryptology {Crypto 89 Proceedings, Lecture Notes in Computer Science Vol. 435, G. Brassared., Springer-Verlag, 1989.
- [6] Mihir Bellare¤ Ran Canettiy Hugo Krawczykz Keying Hash Functions for Message Authentication Paper published in 1996 Advances in Cryptology { Crypto 96 Proceedings,Lecture Notes in Computer Science Vol. 1109, N. Koblitz ed., Springer- Verlag, 1996.
- M. Bellare, R. Guferin and P. Rogaway, \XOR MACs: New methods for message authentication using  $\overline{\phantom{a}}$  nite pseudorandom functions," Advances in Cryptology {Crypto 95 Proceedings, Lecture Notes in Computer Science Vol. 963, D. Coppersmithed., Springer-Verlag, 1995.
- [8] M. Bellare, R. Canetti and H. Krawczyk, \Pseudorandom functions revisted: the cascade construction and its concret security," Proceedings of the 37<sup>th</sup> Symposium on Foundations of Computer Science, IEEE, 1996.
- [9] National Institute for Standards and Technology, \Digital Signature Standard (DSS)", Federal Register, Vol. 56, No. 169, August, 1991.
- [10] O. Goldreich, S. Goldwasser and S. Micali, \How to construct random functions,"Journal of the ACM, Vol. 33, No. 4, 210{217, (1986).
- [11] R. Atkinson, *\Security Architecture for the Internet Protocol"*, IETF Network Working Group, RFC 1825, August 1995.
- [12] R. Atkinson, \IP Authentication Header", IETF Network Working Group, RFC 1826, August 1995.
- [13] William Stalling, Cryptography and Network Security, PHI, 2004.