QIT Press - International Journal of Artificial Intelligence (QITP-IJAI)
Journal ID: 5823-4719
Volume 3, Issue 1, July-December 2022, pp. 1-7

Advancing Precision Medicine Through Multimodal Artificial Intelligence Integration of Genomic, Radiologic, and Electronic Health Record Data

Sankaranarayanan S.,
Technical Lead, Sagarsoft (India)Limited, Chennai,
India.

Published on: 10th June 2022

Citation: Sankaranarayanan, S. (2022). Advancing precision medicine through multimodal artificial intelligence integration of genomic, radiologic, and electronic health record data. *QIT Press - International Journal of Artificial Intelligence (QITP-IJAI)*, 3(1), 1–7.
Full Text: https://qitpress.com/articles/QITP-IJAI/VOLUME_3_ISSUE_1/QITP-IJAI_03_01_001.pdf

Abstract

The convergence of artificial intelligence (AI) with genomics, radiology, and electronic health records (EHRs) marks a transformative era in precision medicine. Multimodal AI, which integrates data from multiple sources, enhances diagnostic accuracy, treatment personalization, and disease prediction. This paper examines the current state and future potential of multimodal AI in healthcare by synthesizing key developments, analyzing case studies, and discussing implementation challenges. The integration of heterogeneous data types leads to improved model robustness and interpretability, offering a powerful toolkit for personalized healthcare.

Keywords: Multimodal Artificial Intelligence, Precision Medicine, Genomics, Radiology, Electronic Health Records, Machine Learning, Data Integration, Personalized Healthcare

1. Introduction

The increasing availability of healthcare data from multiple sources—genomic sequences, radiological scans, and electronic health records—presents a profound opportunity for data-driven clinical decision-making. Precision medicine aims to tailor treatments based on individual variability, yet traditional uni-modal analyses fall short in capturing the full patient context.

Multimodal artificial intelligence (AI) bridges this gap by integrating diverse datasets, enabling a holistic understanding of disease. For instance, by combining genetic markers with radiographic features and longitudinal EHR data, AI systems can provide more accurate prognostic models and guide individualized therapy.

The challenge lies in data harmonization, computational complexity, and the need for transparent, explainable models. Nevertheless, advancements in deep learning, data representation, and federated learning have brought us closer to clinical-grade multimodal systems.

2. Literature Review

Studies explored the synergy of combining data modalities:

Study	**Year**	**Data Types Integrated**	**Key Findings**
Huang et al.	2021	Genomics + EHR	Improved risk stratification in oncology patients
Rajkomar et al.	2018	EHR + Imaging	Predictive models outperformed clinicians in some tasks
Esteva et al.	2019	Imaging + Clinical Notes	Dermatology diagnostics improved using multimodal inputs
Miotto et al.	2016	EHR	Deep Patient model showed predictive power for future disease
Gevaert et al.	2017	Radiology + Genomics	Radiogenomics used to non-invasively predict gene expression
Chen et al.	2020	Genomics + Proteomics + EHR	Novel biomarker discovery using deep learning

These efforts demonstrate that integrating even two modalities (e.g., radiology and genomics) significantly enhances accuracy. However, scaling these approaches to clinical settings remains non-trivial due to interoperability and data privacy concerns.

3. Methodologies of Multimodal AI Integration

Multimodal Artificial Intelligence (AI) integration refers to the process of combining data from different sources—such as genomics, radiologic imaging, and electronic health records (EHRs)—to train models that can understand and make predictions based on a comprehensive view of the patient. To effectively utilize these heterogeneous datasets, AI systems use **fusion strategies** that determine *how and when* data from different modalities are combined in the model pipeline. The three primary strategies are **Early Fusion**, **Intermediate Fusion**, and **Late Fusion**.

3.1 Early Fusion

In early fusion, the data from various modalities are combined **at the input level**—before being processed by the AI model. This approach involves preprocessing the data from each modality into a compatible format (e.g., numerical vectors) and then concatenating or aligning them to create a single joint input feature vector for the model.

Advantages:

- Simplicity and lower computational complexity
- Easier to implement for small-scale problems
- Good when modalities are tightly synchronized or represent similar abstractions

Disadvantages:

- Assumes all modalities are available at all times
- Can fail to capture modality-specific features
- Susceptible to missing data and misaligned features

Example:

Combining genetic mutation data and patient demographics into a unified vector for input to a neural network for cancer risk prediction.

3.2 Intermediate Fusion

Intermediate fusion is considered a **more sophisticated and flexible** approach. In this strategy, each data modality is first **processed independently** using a dedicated encoder (such as a CNN for imaging data and a transformer for text). The encoded representations are then **fused** (e.g., via concatenation, attention mechanisms, or bilinear pooling) before the final decision layer.

Advantages:

- Captures both modality-specific and cross-modal interactions
- More resilient to missing or noisy data
- Modular and allows for transfer learning from pre-trained models

Disadvantages:

- Increased architectural complexity
- Requires careful tuning of fusion layers

Example:

Using separate deep neural networks to encode radiology images, gene expression profiles, and EHR sequences, and merging their embeddings to predict disease progression.

3.3 Late Fusion

In late fusion, each modality is processed **completely independently**, and predictions (or high-level features) from separate models are **combined at the decision level**. This can involve simple methods like majority voting or more complex meta-models (ensemble methods) to merge predictions.

Advantages:

- Maximum flexibility; modalities can operate in isolation
- Useful when modalities are asynchronous or only partially available
- Easier to debug and interpret

Disadvantages:

- May overlook fine-grained intermodal interactions
- Lower performance in tasks requiring deep cross-modal understanding

Table 1: Comparison of Fusion Strategies

Fusion Type	Advantages	Disadvantages
Early	Low complexity, unified input	Ignores modality-specific features
Intermediate	Flexible architecture	Higher training cost
Late	Modularity	Loss of intermodal relationships

4. Applications in Precision Medicine

4.1 Oncology

Genomics and radiology fusion enables radiogenomics, which predicts molecular signatures from imaging alone.

4.2 Cardiovascular Diseases

AI models incorporating EHR + echocardiograms predict adverse events with high AUROC (0.92+ in some models).

4.3 Rare Diseases

Multimodal integration helps in diagnosing undiagnosed rare conditions by identifying subtle phenotype-genotype associations.

5. Challenges and Ethical Considerations

As promising as **multimodal artificial intelligence (AI)** is for advancing precision medicine, its real-world deployment introduces **significant challenges**—both technical and ethical. Understanding and addressing these challenges is crucial to ensuring that AI not only enhances healthcare outcomes but does so responsibly, equitably, and safely.

5.1 Data Interoperability and Integration Complexity

Multimodal AI requires integrating data from vastly different sources such as genomic sequencing platforms, radiologic imaging systems, and EHR software. Each of these sources comes with its **own data formats, standards, and terminologies**. The lack of unified data models makes seamless integration difficult.

- **Genomic data** are often high-dimensional and sequence-based
- **Radiologic data** are image-based and large in size
- **EHR data** are time-series structured and vary across institutions

Challenge: Creating unified representations or embeddings across such diverse formats requires advanced preprocessing pipelines and harmonization tools, which are not always standardized or scalable.

5.2 Explainability and Interpretability

In clinical contexts, black-box AI models—especially deep neural networks—can be **difficult to interpret**. Physicians are trained to rely on evidence-based, understandable reasoning. When an AI system outputs a decision (e.g., high cancer risk), clinicians need to understand *why* the model made that call.

- Lack of **transparency** reduces clinical trust
- Hinders **regulatory approval** (e.g., FDA demands model auditability)
- Difficult to use in **critical decisions**, such as surgical planning or life-saving therapies

Solution Directions: Explainable AI (XAI), attention maps, feature attribution methods (like SHAP or LIME), and hybrid models with rule-based components.

5.3 Bias and Fairness

Multimodal AI models are only as unbiased as the data they're trained on. Healthcare data often reflect existing inequalities—whether due to socioeconomic, racial, geographic, or gender disparities. If not carefully managed, AI may **exacerbate health disparities** rather than reduce them.

- Genomic datasets are often skewed toward populations of European descent
- EHR data may underrepresent marginalized communities
- Imaging data may come from well-funded urban hospitals only

Example: An AI trained mostly on MRI data from white male patients may yield less accurate predictions for minority women.

Mitigation Strategies: Bias audits, diverse data collection, fairness-aware learning algorithms, and stakeholder input during model development.

5.4 Data Privacy and Security

Multimodal data includes some of the **most sensitive types of patient information**. Genomic data can uniquely identify individuals and even expose information about their relatives. Imaging and EHR data often contain private health conditions, treatment history, and social data.

- **Regulations** like HIPAA (US) and GDPR (EU) strictly control data use
- **Data breaches** in healthcare are on the rise
- Federated learning and secure multi-party computation are being explored to keep data **on-premise while still training shared models**

Ethical Imperative: Ensuring that patient consent is informed and specific to AI use, implementing de-identification measures, and maintaining strict data governance protocols.

5.5 Generalization and Robustness

AI models often fail to generalize across institutions or populations not seen during training. This is especially true in multimodal settings, where data collection processes, imaging protocols, or genetic testing panels differ.

Problem: A model trained at Hospital A may not perform well at Hospital B without retraining or calibration, limiting scalability.

Approach: External validation, transfer learning, and domain adaptation methods are essential for developing robust models.

6. Conclusion

Multimodal AI holds enormous promise for precision medicine. It not only improves diagnostic accuracy but also enriches our understanding of disease mechanisms. Despite challenges, the trajectory of innovation and increasing collaboration between technologists and clinicians point toward a future where AI-integrated care becomes the standard.

References

(1) Chunduru, V.K., Gonepally, S., Amuda, K.K., Kumbum, P.K., & Adari, V.K. (2021). Real-time optical wireless mobile communication with high physical layer reliability using GRA method. Journal of Computer Science Applications and Information Technology, 6(1), 1–7. https://doi.org/10.15226/2474-9257/6/1/00149

(2) Miotto, R., Li, L., Kidd, B. A., & Dudley, J. T. (2016). Deep Patient: An unsupervised representation to predict the future of patients from the electronic health records. *Scientific Reports, 6*, 26094.

(3) Rajkomar, A., et al. (2018). Scalable and accurate deep learning with electronic health records. *npj Digital Medicine, 1*, 18.

(4) Gonepally, S., Amuda, K. K., Kumbum, P. K., Adari, V. K., & Chunduru, V. K. (2021). The evolution of software maintenance. Journal of Computer Science Applications and Information Technology, 6(1), 1–8. https://doi.org/10.15226/2474-9257/6/1/00150

(5) Esteva, A., et al. (2019). A guide to deep learning in healthcare. *Nature Medicine, 25*, 24–29.

(6) Huang, G., et al. (2021). Integrative analysis of multi-omics data for precision oncology. *Nature Reviews Genetics, 22*, 613–628.

(7) Chen, Y., et al. (2020). Integrating multi-omics for uncovering the architecture of cross-tissue transcriptome regulation. *Nature Communications, 11*, 1413.

(8) Wang, H., et al. (2022). Multi-modal deep learning for cancer prognosis prediction. *Journal of Biomedical Informatics, 127*, 104005.

(9) Amuda, K. K., Kumbum, P. K., Adari, V. K., Chunduru, V. K., & Gonepally, S. (2021). Performance evaluation of wireless sensor networks using the wireless power management method. Journal of Computer Science Applications and Information Technology, 6(1), 1–9. https://doi.org/10.15226/2474-9257/6/1/00151

(10) Gevaert, O., et al. (2017). Predicting clinical outcome and survival in non-small cell lung cancer using radiomics and genomics. *Clinical Cancer Research, 23*, 229–238.

(11) Beam, A. L., & Kohane, I. S. (2018). Big data and machine learning in health care. *JAMA, 319*(13), 1317–1318.

(12) Johnson, A. E. W., et al. (2016). MIMIC-III, a freely accessible critical care database. *Scientific Data, 3*, 160035.

(13) Chunduru, V. K., Gonepally, S., Amuda, K. K., Kumbum, P. K., & Adari, V. K. (2021). Real-time optical wireless mobile communication with high physical layer reliability using GRA method. Journal of Computer Science Applications and Information Technology, 6(1), 1–7. https://doi.org/10.15226/2474-9257/6/1/00149

(14) Dligach, D., & Miller, T. (2018). Neural temporal relation extraction. *Journal of the American Medical Informatics Association, 25*(5), 486–492.

(15) Zhang, Z., et al. (2019). Multi-view feature learning for biomedical data fusion. *IEEE Transactions on Biomedical Engineering, 66*(8), 2309–2318.

(16) Cai, T., et al. (2021). Machine learning in healthcare: Review, opportunities and challenges. *Frontiers in Digital Health, 3*, 689543.